

## Acknowledgements

I was born in a society where the fact of having a physical, mental or sensory impairment was synonym to uselessness and considered god's punishment. How bitter it was for me as a child to realize that I belong to this minority. The long chain of 'repair' efforts, societal rejection and structural discrimination were unable to diminish my profound believe in equal rights of Disabled persons (hereinafter referred as DPs). Rather they strengthened my resolution to dissolve historic discrimination through the examination of legal norms, actors and processes of the states that prevent or ensure the implementation, promotion and protection of equal rights of DPs.

The wish of conducting multi-level cross-country study could be realized through the PROMI- Project funded by the Federal Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, as well as the financial support of the University of Kassel.

For the successful completion of my doctoral dissertation, I am deeply indebted to my supervisor Prof. Dr. iur. Felix Welti, whose unparalleled academic advice, invaluable professional guidance, unwavering support and commitment created an ideal and equal academic environment.

Deep appreciation should be extended also to Prof. Dr. Sabine Ruß-Sattar for her constructive guidance and valuable advice in the field of political science.

Next, I express my sincere gratitude to the head of the institute of Civil Law at the University of Innsbruck, Prof. Dr. Jur Michael Ganner for the opportunity and support in carrying out two-week field study at his institute.

Further thanks go to my interview partners for their time and the insights that were key to the present research work.

I am also extremely grateful to my assistants, whose devoted support during numerous national and international conferences and interviews, as well as their valuable help in making the countless books and articles accessible were instrumental for the successful completion of my doctoral project.

Many thanks also to my colleagues for their helpful questions and advice during the annual colloquia of the Department of Social and Health Care Law, Rehabilitation and Disability Law at the University of Kassel and for the nice atmosphere at the department.

## *Acknowledgements*

And last but not least, I wish to extend my deepest gratitude to my family for their support and learned ability to be proud of me as I am; to my cousin, Narine, who took care of my son during my business trips; to my son, Menua for his understanding when I could not be with him as much as I would dream of, for his profound belief in my abilities and unconditional love that served as a lighthouse on days when I was in disparity. I dedicate this work to him.

# Table of Contents

List of Table	17
List of Abbreviations	19
I. Introduction	25
1. Introduction to the subject of investigation	25
2. Research questions	27
3. Research Design	28
3.1 Research Gaps	28
3.2 Conceptual Framework	30
3.3 Analytical Framework	31
4. Structure of the Researchwork	32
II. Development of Analytical Framework	35
1. Conceptualisation of Governance	35
1.1 Multi-level Governance	36
1.1.1 TYPE I MLG	37
1.1.2 TYPE II MLG	37
1.2 Federal and Unitary Systems	38
1.2.1 Federal systems	38
1.2.2 Unitary Systems	40
2. Conceptualisation of Legal Systems	41
2.1 Legal Systems	41
2.1.1 Civil Law Legal Systems	43
2.2 The Reception and Execution of International Law	44
2.2.1 The Reception of International Law	44
2.2.2 The Execution of International Law at the Domestic Level	45
3. MLG and CPRD Implementation	48
3.1 CPRD Monitoring at the International Level	49

## Table of Contents

3.2	EU Disability Framework	53
3.2.1	EU Primary Law	53
3.2.2	EU Secondary Law	56
3.2.3	European Disability Strategies	57
3.2.4	The CPRD Conclusion by the EU	58
3.3	CPRD Implementation at the National Level	62
3.3.1	CPRD Implementation at the Sub-National Level	63
3.3.2	Focal Points	66
3.3.3	Coordination Mechanisms	68
3.3.4	National Human Rights Institutions	68
3.3.4.1	Independence and Legal Status	71
3.3.4.2	Composition	72
A.	Pluralist representation	73
B.	Adequate infrastructure	75
C.	Method of appointment/dismissal	77
3.3.4.3	Mandate, Competence and Responsibilities	78
A.	Promotion Competence	78
B.	Protection Competence	81
C.	Monitoring Competence	83
3.3.4.4	Methods of Operation	84
A.	System of multi-level NHRIs/MFs	84
B.	Multi-level cooperation with state and non-state bodies	85
3.3.5	Organized Interests	87
3.3.5.1	Types of Organized Interests	88
3.3.5.2	DPO Types in the LMG Framework	89
III.	Research Methodology	95
1.	The comparative method in political analysis	95
1.1	Method of congruence	97
1.2	Method of Systematic Process Analysis	98
2.	Case Study approach	99
2.1	Case Selection	101
2.2	Choice of Representative Case	103
3.	MSSD and MDSD	103
3.1	Most Similar Systems Design	103
3.2	Most Different Systems Design	104

3.3 Application within this Research Work	104
4. Methods of data Collection and Analysis	110
4.1 Documentation Analysis	110
4.2 Expert Interviews	113
4.2.1 Application of systematizing expert interviews	116
4.2.2 Technical Details	121
IV. State Actors and National Implementation	125
1. Structure of states and their constitutional organs	125
1.1 Federal Republic of Germany	125
1.1.1 Federal Level Constitutional Organs	126
1.1.1.1 Structure and resources of federal Focal Point	128
1.1.1.2 Structure and resources of federal-level Coordination Mechanism	129
1.1.2 Länder-level constitutional organs	131
1.1.2.1 Structure and resources of Länder-level Focal Points	132
1.1.2.2 Structure and resources of Länder-level Coordination Mechanisms	134
1.2 Federal Republic of Austria	136
1.2.1 Federal Level Constitutional Organs	137
1.2.1.1 Structure and resources of Austrian federal Focal Point and Coordination Mechanism	139
1.2.2 Länder-level constitutional organs	141
1.2.2.1 Länder-level Focal Points and Coordination Mechanisms	142
1.3 Kingdom of Denmark	144
1.3.1 Structure and resources of Danish Focal Point and Coordination Mechanism	144
2. Division of Legislative and Executive Competencies	147
2.1 Federal Republic of Germany	147
2.1.1 Exclusive legislative competencies	147
2.1.2 Concurrent legislative competencies	149
2.2 Federal Republic of Austria	152
2.3 Kingdom of Denmark	154

3. Incorporation and application of International Law in the domestic legal system	156
3.1 Federal Republic of Germany	156
3.2 Federal Republic of Austria	158
3.3 Kingdom of Denmark	160
4. CPRD Ratification, Incorporation and Application	162
4.1 Federal Republic of Germany	162
4.1.1 Ratification and legal status	162
4.1.2 CPRD incorporation and application in the policy fields under the legislative powers of federation	164
4.1.2.1 Responsibilities of the federal Focal Point and Coordination Mechanism	164
4.1.2.2 Legislative Action	166
4.1.2.3 Consideration by the Courts	168
4.1.3 CPRD incorporation and application in the policy fields under the legislative powers of federal states	170
4.1.3.1 Responsibilities of Focal Points and Coordination Mechanisms	170
4.1.3.2 Legislative action and consideration by the courts in the field of cultural rights	173
4.1.3.2.1 Reasonable educational accommodations	176
4.1.3.2.2 Accessible schools	178
4.2 Federal Republic of Austria	180
4.2.1 Ratification, legal status and consideration by the courts	180
4.2.2 Responsibilities of Focal Point/Coordination Mechanisms and legislative actions	184
4.3 Kingdom of Denmark	189
4.3.1 Ratification, legal status and consideration by the courts	189
4.3.2 Responsibilities of Danish Focal Point/Coordination Mechanism and legislative actions	194
5. Comparative evaluation	197
5.1 Effective restructuring	197
5.2 Adequate resources	198
5.3 Horizontal and vertical coordination	199

5.4 Democratic control and accountability	201
5.5 Multi-level equity of implementation	204
V. National Monitoring Mechanisms	211
1. Structure of National Monitoring Mechanisms	211
1.1 German National Monitoring Body	211
1.1.1 Legal status and system	211
1.1.2 Pluralist representation and the method of appointment/dismissal	213
1.2 Austrian Monitoring Framework	216
1.1.1 Legal status and system	216
1.2.2 Pluralist representation and the method of appointment/dismissal	218
1.2.2.1 Federal Monitoring Committee	218
1.2.2.2 Provincial Monitoring Committees	219
1.3 Danish Monitoring Framework	220
1.3.1 Legal status and System	220
1.3.2 Pluralist representation and method of appointment/dismissal	223
1.3.2.1 Danish Institute of Human Rights	223
1.3.2.2 Danish Parliamentary Ombudsman	225
1.3.2.3 Danish Disability Council	225
2. Resources of National Monitoring Mechanisms	226
2.1 German National Monitoring Body	226
2.2 Austrian Monitoring Framework	230
2.3 Danish Monitoring Framework	231
3. Mandate of National Monitoring Mechanisms	233
3.1 German National Monitoring Body	233
3.1.1 Promotion	233
3.1.2 Monitoring	236
3.1.3 Protection	237
3.1.4 Multi-level Cooperation with state and non-state bodies	239
3.2 Austrian Monitoring Framework	242
3.2.1 Promotion	243
3.2.2 Monitoring	244
3.2.3 Protection	245

## Table of Contents

3.2.4 Multi-Level Cooperation	245
3.3 Danish Monitoring Framework	248
3.3.1 Promotion	248
3.3.2 Monitoring	250
3.3.3 Protection	252
3.3.4 Multi-level cooperation with state and non-state bodies	254
4. Comparative Evaluation	257
4.1 Legal Status	258
4.2 Multi-level competence	258
4.3 Broad Mandate	259
4.3.1 Promotion mandate	260
4.3.2 Monitoring mandate	260
4.3.3 Protection mandate	261
4.4 Inclusive composition and independence	262
4.4.1 Non-state actors	263
4.4.2 State actors	264
4.5 Appointment and dismissal	266
4.6 Adequate infrastructure	267
4.7 Accessibility	268
4.8 Cooperation at the vertical and horizontal governmental levels	269
VI. Organizations of DPs	271
1. Structures of DPOS	271
1.1 Structure of German DPOs	271
1.1.1 Legal Framework and Governing Configuration	271
1.1.2 Types of Disability Organizations	275
A. Individual organizations	275
B. Collective representation	276
1.1.3 Multi-level representation	279
1.2 Structure of Austrian DPOs	283
1.2.1 Legal Framework and Governing Configuration	283
1.2.2 Types of Disability Organizations	285
A. Individual Organizations	285
B. Collective Representation	285
1.2.3 Multi-Level Representation	287



1.3	Structure of Danish DPOS	288
1.3.1	Legal framework and governing configuration	288
1.3.2	Types of Disability organizations	290
	A. Individual Organizations	290
	B. Collective Representation	292
1.3.3	Multi-Level Representation	292
2.	Resources of DPOS	294
2.1	Resources of German DPOs	294
2.2	Resources of Austrian DPOs	302
2.3	Resources of Danish DPOS	304
3.	Aims and Actions of DPOS	305
3.1	Aims and Actions of German DPOs	305
	3.1.1 Promoting the rights of DPs in decision-making processes	306
	3.1.1.1 Participation in Advisory Bodies	307
	3.1.1.2 Participation at decision-making processes of executive organs	310
	3.1.1.3 Participation at legislative processes of parliaments	316
	3.1.2 Monitoring the implementation of the rights of DPs	322
	3.1.3 Protecting the rights of DPs	325
3.2	Aims and Actions of Austrian DPOs	330
	3.2.1 Promoting the rights of DPs in legislative processes	331
	3.2.1.1 Participation in Advisory Bodies	331
	3.2.1.2 Participation at decision-making processes of executive organs	333
	3.2.1.3 Participation at legislative processes of parliaments	337
	3.2.2 Monitoring the implementation of the rights of DPs	338
	3.2.3 Protecting the rights of DPs	340
3.3	Aims and Actions of Danish DPOS	342
	3.3.1 Promoting the rights of DPs in legislative processes	342
	3.3.1.1 Participation in advisory bodies	343
	3.3.1.2 Participation at decision-making processes of executive organs	345
	3.3.1.3 Participation at legislative processes of parliament	350

## *Table of Contents*

3.3.2 Monitoring the implementation of the rights of DPs	351
3.3.3 Protecting the rights of DPs	353
4. Comparative Evaluation	356
4.1 Multi-level structural configuration of DPOs	357
4.2 Resources of DPOs in multi-level prospective	361
4.3 Vertical and horizontal level political participation of DPOs	363
4.4 DPO Involvement in monitoring activities	368
4.5 Alternative instruments of influence	370
4.5.1 Awareness Raising Activities	370
4.5.2 Collective Legal Action	371
VII. Conclusion	381
1. How is the CPRD incorporated in the domestic law and how can this type of incorporation affect the implementation of the Convention?	381
2. How are the actors under the Art. 33 CPRD financed?	382
2.1 FPs and CMs	382
2.2 National Monitoring Frameworks	383
2.3 Organizations of DPs	384
3. How is the interplay within and between the actors under the Art. 33 CPRD organized and what are the roles of these actors in the implementation process of the Convention at the vertical and horizontal governmental levels?	385
Bibliography	387

List of Table

Table 1:	Status and Inclusive Mandate Safeguards	107
Table 2:	Inclusive Composition Safeguards	107
Table 3:	Promotion Safeguards	108
Table 4:	Protection Safeguards	108
Table 5:	Monitoring Safeguards	109
Table 6:	Accessibility and Cooperation Safeguards	109

