

§ 8 Annex II: Cases

- Ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania:* (Case No. 33/03) on the compliance of item 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 62, paragraph 4 (wording of 11 July 1996) of Article 69 of the Republic of Lithuania Law on the Constitutional Court and paragraph 3 (wording of 24 January 2002) of Article 11, paragraph 2 (wording of 24 January 2002) of Article 96 of the Republic of Lithuania Law on Courts with the Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania, 28 March 2006
- Ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania:* regarding the request of the applicant Panevėžys District Court if Article 214¹⁰ paragraph 1 of the Code of Administrative Offences of the Republic of Lithuania and Article 192 Paragraph 1 of the Criminal Code of the Republic of Lithuania is in compliance with the constitutional principal of a legal state as set out in Article 31 Paragraph 4 of the Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania, 13 November 2006
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Consultation No. A3-64 on aspects of the application of some provisions of the Law on Copyright and Related Rights, 22 February 2002
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Consultation No. B3-25, 27 September 2001 :Resolution of the Senate of Judges of the Supreme Court of Lithuania No. 1 on “Application of Articles 7 and 7(1) of the Civil Code of the Republic of Lithuania and Public Information Laws of the Republic of Lithuania in the court practice while considering personal honour and dignity civil protection cases”, Gazette of the Supreme Court of Lithuania “Teismų praktika”, 1998, No. 9
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-4/2008, LATGA-A vs. UAB “Trajektorija”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Criminal Case No. 2K-7-201/2008, T.K., UAB “Tadetas”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Case No. 3P-3621/2007
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-353/2007, B.V.-F. vs. National M.K.Čiurlionis Art Museum, UAB “Fodio” et al.
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-422/2006, Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Arginta”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-311/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., BĮ UAB “VTeX” vs. UAB “Vilpostus”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-270/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Adobe Systems, Inc., Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Kompiuterių mokymo centras”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-270/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Adobe Systems, Inc., Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Kompiuterių mokymo centras”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-209/2006, Danish Company “Kirkli A/S” (“Lego Juris A/S”) vs. UAB “Legosta”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Criminal Case No. 2K-354/2006, under Art. 192, Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Case No 2K-7-3-2006, under Art. 192(1), 182(1), Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-200/2005, Microsoft Corp., Autodesk, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc. et al. vs. UAB “Tūris”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Criminal Case No. 2K-218/2004, under Art. 192(1), Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-197/2004, Linas Karalius vs. UAB “Ieva” et al.
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-1069/2003, Italian Company “Diesel S.p.A.” vs. UAB “Mita”, Klaipėda Territorial Customs as third party
- Lithuanian Supreme Court:* Civil Case No. 3K-3-132/2003, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Autodesk, Inc., BĮ UAB “VTeX” vs. UAB “Fima”

- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Civil Case No. 3K-3-273/2003, J. Jakštas et al. and LATGA-A vs. UAB “Mūsų gairės”
- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Criminal Case No. 2K-723/2003, A. Ivoškus under Article 142¹ of the Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Criminal Case No. 2K-467/2002, V. Zaura under Article 142¹ of the Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Criminal Case No. 2K-656/2002, G. Astrauskas under Criminal Code Art. 142¹
- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Criminal Case 2K-102/2002, under Art. 142¹, Criminal Code
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2A-123/2008, Prosecutor of Vilnius City District, La Face Records, LLC., Virgin Records America, Inc. et al. vs. UAB “Baltic optical disk”
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2A-98/2007, B.V.-F. vs. National M.K.Čiurlionis Art Museum, UAB “Fodio” and the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2-707/2007, “Ashburn International” vs. AB “Lukoil” et. al
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2-564/2007, LATGA-A et al. vs. AB “Hesona” et al.
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2-49/2006, AB “Krašto projektai” vs. UAB “Vilniaus kapitalinė statyba” et al.
- Lithuanian Court of Appeal*: Civil Case No. 2A-352/2001, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Incorporated, Symantec Corporation and BĮ UAB “VteX” vs. UAB “Sagra”
- Ruling of Vilnius City 3rd Circuit Court*: as of 11 December 2007, Case No. 2-5921-391/07, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Inc., Corel Corporation vs. UAB “DDB Vilnius”
- Ruling of Trakai District Circuit Court*: as of 17 May 2007, Civil Case No. 2-1056-764/2007, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. the individual company “Prepozicija”
- Ruling of Kaunas City Circuit Court*: as of 28 May 2007, Civil Case No. 2-10071-151/2007, Microsoft Corporation vs. UAB “Alaista”
- Ruling of Panevėžys City Circuit Court*: as of 28 August 2006, Microsoft Corporation vs. J. Skodžius Firm “Skominta”
- Ruling of Vilnius City 2nd Circuit Court*: as of 4 September 2006, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. UAB “Šilo bitė”
- Ruling of Kaunas City Circuit Court*: of 28 June 2004 on the request of Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. UAB “Autosabina”
- Ruling of Vilnius City 2nd Circuit Court*: as of 21 June 2004, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Inc. vs. AB “Panerių investicijos”
- Ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania*: (Case No. 33/03) on the compliance of item 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 62, paragraph 4 (wording of 11 July 1996) of Article 69 of the Republic of Lithuania Law on the Constitutional Court and paragraph 3 (wording of 24 January 2002) of Article 11, paragraph 2 (wording of 24 January 2002) of Article 96 of the Republic of Lithuania Law on Courts with the Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania, 28 March 2006
- Ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania*: regarding the request of the applicant Panevėžys District Court if Article 214¹⁰ paragraph 1 of the Code of Administrative Offences of the Republic of Lithuania and Article 192 Paragraph 1 of the Criminal Code of the Republic of Lithuania is in compliance with the constitutional principal of a legal state as set out in Article 31 Paragraph 4 of the Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania, 13 November 2006
- Lithuanian Supreme Court*: Consultation No. A3-64 on aspects of the application of some provisions of the Law on Copyright and Related Rights, 22 February 2002

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Consultation No. B3-25, 27 September 2001

Resolution of the Senate of Judges of the Supreme Court of Lithuania: No. 1 on “Application of Articles 7 and 7(1) of the Civil Code of the Republic of Lithuania and Public Information Laws of the Republic of Lithuania in the court practice while considering personal honour and dignity civil protection cases”, Gazette of the Supreme Court of Lithuania “Teismų praktika”, 1998, No. 9

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-4/2008, LATGA-A vs. UAB “Trajektorija”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-7-201/2008, T.K., UAB “Tadetas”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Case No. 3P-3621/2007

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-353/2007, B.V.-F. vs. National M.K.Čiurlionis Art Museum, UAB “Fodio” et al.

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-422/2006, Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Arginta”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-311/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., BĮ UAB “VTeX” vs. UAB “Vilpostus”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-270/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Adobe Systems, Inc., Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Kompiuterių mokymo centras”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-270/2006, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Adobe Systems, Inc., Autodesk, Inc. vs. UAB “Kompiuterių mokymo centras”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-209/2006, Danish Company “Kirkli A/S” (“Lego Juris A/S”) vs. UAB “Legosta”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-354/2006, under Art. 192, Criminal Code

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Case No 2K-7-3-2006, under Art. 192(1), 182(1), Criminal Code

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-200/2005, Microsoft Corp., Autodesk, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc. et al. vs. UAB “Tūris”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-218/2004, under Art. 192(1), Criminal Code

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-197/2004, Linas Karalius vs. UAB “Ieva” et al.

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-1069/2003, Italian Company “Diesel S.p.A.” vs. UAB “Mita”, Klaipėda Territorial Customs as third party

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-132/2003, Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., Autodesk, Inc., BĮ UAB “VTeX” vs. UAB “Fima”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3K-3-273/2003, J. Jakštas et al. and LATGA-A vs. UAB “Mūsų gairės”

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-723/2003, A. Ivoškus under Article 142¹ of the Criminal Code

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-467/2002, V. Zaura under Article 142¹ of the Criminal Code

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case No. 2K-656/2002, G. Astrauskas under Criminal Code Art. 142¹

Lithuanian Supreme Court: Criminal Case 2K-102/2002, under Art. 142¹, Criminal Code

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2A-123/2008, Prosecutor of Vilnius City District, La Face Records, LLC., Virgin Records America, Inc. et al. v. UAB “Baltic optical disk”

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2A-98/2007, B.V.-F. vs. National M.K.Čiurlionis Art Museum, UAB “Fodio” and the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2-707/2007, “Ashburn International” vs. AB “Lukoil” et. al

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2-564/2007, LATGA-A et al. vs. AB “Hesona” et al.

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2-49/2006, AB “Krašto projektai” vs. UAB “Vilniaus kapitalinė statyba” et al.

Lithuanian Court of Appeal: Civil Case No. 2A-352/2001, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Incorporated, Symantec Corporation and BĮ UAB “VteX” vs. UAB “Sagra”

Ruling of Vilnius City 3rd Circuit Court: as of 11 December 2007, Case No. 2-5921-391/07, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Inc., Corel Corporation vs. UAB “DDB Vilnius”

Ruling of Trakai District Circuit Court: as of 17 May 2007, Civil Case No. 2-1056-764/2007, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. the company “Prepozicija”

Ruling of Kaunas City Circuit Court: as of 28 May 2007, Civil Case No. 2-10071-151/2007, Microsoft Corporation vs. UAB “Alaista”

Ruling of Panevėžys City Circuit Court: as of 28 August 2006, Microsoft Corporation vs. J. Skodžius Firm “Skominta”

Ruling of Vilnius City 2nd Circuit Court: as of 4 September 2006, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. UAB “Šilo bitė”

Ruling of Kaunas City Circuit Court: of 28 June 2004 on the request of Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems, Inc. vs. UAB “Autosabina”

Ruling of Vilnius City 2nd Circuit Court: as of 21 June 2004, Microsoft Corporation, Adobe Systems Inc. vs. AB “Panerių investicijos”

Estonian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3-2-167-04

Estonian Supreme Court: Civil Case No. 3-2-1-39-03, Leonhard Lapin vs. Kihnu county

Estonian Supreme Court: Civil Case No 3-2-1-84-98, J.Einard vs. P.Laurits, H-E.Merila & AS Iguaan

Estonian Supreme Court: Civil Case No 3-2-1-60-98, Tiit Räis vs. AS Laks & Ko

Tartu Circuit Court: Civil Case No. 2-2-188/2003, AS Flora Liit vs. AS Flora

Tartu Circuit Court: Civil Case No. II-2-95/96, V.Jürisson vs. AS Postimees

Other ECJ: Decision as of 29 January 2008, Case No C-275/06, Productores de Música de España (Promusicae) v. Telefónica de España S.A.U. (2008)

US Supreme Court: Decision as of 15 May 2006, Ebay Inc. et al vs. Mercexchange LLC

Court of Justice of the European Communities: Decision as of 13 September 2001: Az: Case No C-89/99, IIC 2002, p. 718

EctHR: Chappel v. United Kingdom, 30 March 1989, Case No. 17/1987/140/194

Anton Piller K.G. v. Manufacturing Process Ltd.: [1976] Ch. 55 (C.A.)

ECJ: Judgement of 26 March 1992, Case C-261/90, OJ 1989, L 317/48