

EDITORS

Martin Benkenstein
Manfred Bruhn
Marion Büttgen
Christiane Hipp
Martin Matzner
Friedemann W.
Nerdingen

Professionalism Kills the Trading Star: Explaining Member Participation in Trading Communities
Sabine Benoit, Jens Hogreve, Christina Sichtmann, and Nicola Bilstein

Delightful Service Innovations in the Context of Technology-Based Self-Services – Scale Development and Validation
Florian Becker, Stephanie Bothe, Karsten Hadwich, and Mareike Falter

Roommates in Hospitals – A New and Relevant Dimension of Health Care Quality Models
Saskia Hantel and Martin Benkenstein

Risk Reporting in the Banking Sector: Risk Exposure versus Risk Management
Kathrin Kureck

Volume 3

2/2019

C.H.BECK · Vahlen · Munich
www.journal-smr.de



81. Annual Conference of the German Academic Association for Business Research (VHB)

Services in Transition -
Implications for Business Research

12 - 14 June 2019

REGISTER NOW!



Conference Tracks

- Business Taxation
- Controlling
- Corporate Finance
- Service Management
- Financial Accounting
- Financial Institutions
- Educational Services
- International Management
- Marketing
- Sustainability Management
- Public Management
- Organisation
- HR Management
- Strategic Management
- Technology, Innovation and Entrepreneurship
- Business Informatics

Keynotes

Prof. Dr. Isabel Schnabel

(University of Bonn, Member of
German Council of Economic Experts)

Prof. em. Dr. Christian Grönroos

(Hanken School of Economics,
Helsinki)

Further information on the conference as well as the
accompanying program is available at:

www.bwl2019.org

Managing Editor

Martin Benkenstein, University of Rostock/Germany

Editorial Board

Manfred Bruhn, University of Basel/Switzerland

Marion Büttgen, University of Hohenheim/Germany

Christiane Hipp, Brandenburg University of Technology/Germany

Martin Matzner, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg/Germany

Friedemann W. Nerdingen, University of Rostock/Germany

Advisory Board

Jörg Finsterwalder, University of Canterbury/New Zealand

Dwayne Grempler, Bowling Green State University/USA

Joachim Hüffmeier, TU Dortmund/Germany

Michael Kleinaltenkamp, FU Berlin/Germany

Werner H. Kunz, University of Massachusetts Boston/USA

Michael Leyer, University of Rostock/Germany

Peter Magnusson, Karlstad University/Sweden

Kathrin Mösllein, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg/Germany

Andy Neely, University of Cambridge/UK

Frank Piller, RWTH Aachen/Germany

Anat Rafaeli, Technion Haifa/Israel

Sven Tuzovic, QUT Business School/Australia

Florian von Wangenheim, ETH Zürich/Switzerland

Jochen Wirtz, NUS Business School/Singapore

CONTENT

Editorial	53
Professionalism Kills the Trading Star: Explaining Member Participation in Trading Communities By Sabine Benoit, Jens Hogreve, Christina Sichtmann, and Nicola Bilstein	54
Delightful Service Innovations in the Context of Technology-Based Self-Services – Scale Development and Validation By Florian Becker, Stephanie Bothe, Karsten Hadwich, and Mareike Falter	66
Roommates in Hospitals – A New and Relevant Dimension of Health Care Quality Models By Saskia Hantel and Martin Benkenstein	82
Risk Reporting in the Banking Sector: Risk Exposure versus Risk Management By Kathrin Kureck	91
Imprint	81

SMR JOURNAL OF SERVICE MANAGEMENT RESEARCH

Call for Papers

The Role of Context in Resource Integration and Value Cocreation

Special Issue Journal of Service Management Research

Guest Editors:

Michael Kleinaltenkamp, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

Ingo O. Karpen, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

Stephen L. Vargo, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, U.S.A.

Deadline: December 1st, 2019

Research on resource integration underlines the importance of context for value cocreation (Chandler and Vargo 2011; Vargo and Lusch 2011). Context generally refers to an “environment, domain, setting, background, or milieu that includes some entity, subject, or topic of interest” (Sowa 1997, p. 41). From a service ecosystem perspective, context can be defined as “a set of unique actors with unique reciprocal links among them” (Chandler and Vargo 2011, p. 40) or as the “aspects of a situation, which are relevant for the resource-integrating activities” (Löbler and Hahn 2013, p. 259). While context has been suggested to have an important influence on the courses as well as the outcomes of resource integration processes and value cocreation, existing concepts do not lend themselves to an adequate understanding of the underlying mechanisms of how physical and/or social surroundings influence actors’ behaviours.

In service literature, context has often been discussed under the notion of “servicescape”. Introduced by Booms and Bitner (1981, p. 38), servicescape has been defined as “the environment in which the service is assembled and in which the seller and customer interact, combined with tangible commodities that facilitate performance or communication of the service”. Characterized as aspects of a firm’s environment, servicescapes are conceptualized as organizationally-controllable physical stimuli that enable firms to influence customer perceptions and satisfaction with the provided service. Servicescapes are seen as consisting of three environmental dimensions, namely (1) ambient conditions (e.g., temperature, air quality, noise, music, odour), (2) spatial layout and functionality (e.g., layout, equipment, furnishing), and (3) signs, symbols and artefacts (e.g., signage, personal artefacts, style of décor) (Bitner 1992). Building on this, Tombs and McColl-Kennedy (2003) introduced the term “social-servicescape” to highlight the impact of the physical environment on social interactions. The concept outlines the influence of the less firm-controllable service context (i.e., purchase occasion) and social stimuli (i.e., social density and the expressed

emotions of other customers) on a customer’s response during service provision.

However, ultimately every form of resource integration and value cocreation is shaped by the institutional environment in which it takes place. Such institutions are defined as “the humanly devised rules, norms, and beliefs that enable and constrain action and make social life predictable and meaningful” (Vargo and Lusch 2016, p. 11). Embedded in “carriers”, symbolic systems, relational systems, routines and artefacts that form the context of value cocreation, they comprise “regulative, normative and cultural-cognitive elements that [...] provide stability and meaning to social life” (Scott 2014, p. 56). Hence, neither the concept of servicescapes nor the concept of *social* servicescapes captures the full picture of institutional elements that coordinate value cocreation in a certain context.

Increasingly however, there exists also an understanding that institutions themselves, at the micro-level, are shaped and maintained by multiple practices such as resource integration (e.g., Smets et al. 2017; Ansari et al. 2010; Lawrence and Suddaby 2006). Similar to the literature on servicescapes and carrier artefacts, these practice accounts (Pickering 1995; Schatzki et al. 2001) stress not only the social but also the material and temporal dimensions of value cocreation. However, where the former might consider social, material and temporal dimensions to simply influence one another, practice scholars have increasingly questioned the *a priori* separateness of human and material actors based on ontologies of relationality and process (e.g., Orlikowski and Scott 2008; Feldman and Orlikowski 2011; Carlile et al. 2013; Vargo 2018).

Against this diverse theoretical backdrop, the proposed Special Issue invites contributions that clarify what role context, materiality and temporality play in processes of resource integration and value cocreation. Both, conceptual/theoretical as well as empirical manuscripts are welcome.

Topics of primary interest are centered around the institutional context of value cocreation, including but not being limited to:

- The impact of contextual elements in value attribution
- The impact of actor-to-actor interactions on resource integration and value cocreation
- The interplay of human and non-human actors on resource integration and value cocreation
- The impact of contextual elements on individual and social well-being
- Designing context in order to improve resource integration and value cocreation
- The effects of misaligned institutional settings as context
- The role of boundary objects translating incompatible contextual conditions
- The emergence of practices as contextual elements on resource integration and value cocreation
- The interplay of context and institutional change
- Case studies investigating the role of context in different industries

Submission

All manuscripts submitted must not have been published, accepted for publication, or be currently under consideration elsewhere. Manuscripts should be submitted in accordance with the author guidelines available on the journal homepage <https://rsw.beck.de/zeitschriften/smr/for-authors>.

All submissions should be made via <https://www.openconf.org/smr/>.

Submission Deadline: December 1st, 2019

Expected Publication: Issue 4–2020

Please direct any further inquiries to the editors, listed below.

Guest Editor Contact Details

Michael Kleinaltenkamp, Marketing Department, Freie Universität Berlin, E-Mail: michael.kleinaltenkamp@fu-berlin.de

Ingo O. Karpen, Graduate School of Business & Law, RMIT University (Melbourne), E-Mail: ingo.karpen@rmit.edu.au

Stephen L. Vargo, Shidler College of Business, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, E-Mail: svargo@hawaii.edu

References

Akaka, M., Vargo, S.L., & Lusch, R.F. (2013). The Complexity of Context: A Service Ecosystems Approach for International Marketing, *Journal of International Marketing*, 21 (4), 1–20.

Ansari, S. J., Fiss, P., & Zajac, E. J. (2010). Made to Fit: How Practices Vary as They Diffuse. *Academy of Management Review*, 35, 67–92.

Bitner, M. J. (1992). Servicescapes: The impact of physical surroundings on customers and employees, *Journal of Marketing*, 56 (2), 57–71.

Booms, B. H. & Bitner, M. J. (1981). Marketing strategies and organization structures for service firms. In J. Donnelly, J. & W. R. George (Eds.). *Marketing of Services*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL, 47–52.

Bruns, K. & Jacob F. (2016). Value-in-use: Antecedents, dimensions, and consequences. *Marketing ZFP*, 38 (3), 137–151.

Carlile, P. R., Nicolini, D., Langley, A., & Tsoukas, H. (2013). How Matter Matters: Objects, Artifacts, and Materiality in Organization Studies, *Oxford Scholarship Online*, Oxford.

Chandler, J. D. & Vargo, S. L. (2011). Contextualization and Value-in-Context: How Con-text Frames Exchange. *Marketing Theory*, 11 (1), 35–49.

Edvardsson, B., Kleinaltenkamp, M., Tronvoll, B., McHugh, P., & Windahl, C. (2014). Institutional Logics Matter When Coordinating Resource Integration, *Marketing Theory*, 14 (3), 291–309.

Feldman, M. S. & Orlikowski, W. J. (2011). Theorizing Practice and Practicing Theory. *Organization Science*, 22 (5), 1240–1253.

Karpen, I. O. & Kleinaltenkamp, M. (2018). Coordinating Resource Integration and Value Cocreation through Institutional Arrangements: Aligned versus Misaligned Institutions, in: Vargo, S. L. & Lusch, R. F. (Eds.). *The SAGE Handbook of Service-Dominant Logic*, London, SAGE, 284–298.

Kleinaltenkamp, Michael (2018). Institutions and Institution-alization, in: Vargo, S. L. & Lusch, R. F. (Eds.). *The SAGE Handbook of Service-Dominant Logic*, London, SAGE, 265–283.

Kleinaltenkamp, M. & Dekanozishvili, D. (2018). The Contextual Nature of Value in Use, in: Parvatiyar, A. & Sisodia, R. (Eds.). *Handbook of Marketing Advances in the Era of Disrup-tions – Essays in Honor of Jagdish N. Sheth*, New Delhi, SAGE, 223–235.

Lawrence, T. B. & Suddaby, R. (2006). Institutions and institutional work. In: S. R. Clegg, C. Hardy, T. B. Lawrence, & W. R. Nord (Eds.). *Handbook of Organization Studies*, London, UK, Sage, 2nd ed., 215–254.

Löbler, H. & Hahn, M. (2013). Measuring Value-in-Context from a Service-Dominant Logic's Perspective, *Review of Marketing Research*, 10, 255–282.

Orlikowski, W. J. & Scott, S. V. (2008). Sociomateriality: chal-lenging the separation of technology, work and organization, *Academy of Management Annals*, 2 (1), 433–474.

Pfisterer, L. & Roth, S. (2015). Customer Usage Processes: A Conceptualization and Differentiation, *Marketing Theory*, 15 (3), 401–422.

Pickering, A. (1995). *The Mangle of Practice: Time, Agency, and Science*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Schatzki, T. R. (2001). Introduction: Practice theory. In T. R. Schatzki, K. Knorr-Cetina, & E. v. Savigny (Eds.). *The*

practice turn in contemporary theory, London, Routledge, 1–149.

Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and Organizations – Ideas, Interests & Identities*, 4th ed., Sage.

Smets, M., Aristidou, A., & Whittington, R. (2017). Towards a practice-driven institution-alism, In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, T. B. Lawrence, & R. Meyer (Eds.). *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism*, 2nd ed., London, SAGE, 384–411.

Sowa, J. F. (1997). Peircean foundations for a theory of context. In Lukose, D., Delugach, H., Keeler, M., Searle, L., & Sowa. J. (Eds.). *Lecture notes-in computer science*, Berlin, Springer, 41–64.

Tombs, A. G. & McColl-Kennedy, J. R. (2003). The social-servicescape: A conceptual model, *Marketing Theory*, 3 (4), 447–475.

Vargo, S. L. (2018). Situating Humans, Technology, and Materiality in Value Co-creation, *Journal of Creating Value*, 4 (2), 202–204.

Vargo, S. L. & Lusch, R. F. (2016). It's all B2B...and beyond: Toward a systems perspective of the market, *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40 (2), 181–187.

Vargo, S. L. & Lusch, R. F. (2016). Institutions and Axioms: An Extension and Update of Service-Dominant Logic, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44 (1), 5–23.