

Julia Gebhard

Necessity or Nuisance?

Recourse to Human Rights in
Substantive International Criminal Law



Nomos

Vereinigung für Recht und Gesellschaft
Law and Society

– vormals Vereinigung für Rechtssoziologie –

Herausgegeben von
Prof. Dr. Susanne Baer, Prof. Dr. Kai-D. Bussmann,
Prof. Dr. Galf-Peter Calliess, Prof. Dr. Susanne Karstedt
und Prof. Dr. Matthias Mahlmann

Band 9

Julia Gebhard

Necessity or Nuisance?

Recourse to Human Rights in
Substantive International Criminal Law



Nomos

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Hamburg, Univ., Diss., 2017

ISBN 978-3-8487-4427-5 (Print)
978-3-8452-8644-0 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-4427-5 (Print)
978-3-8452-8644-0 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gebhard, Julia

Necessity or Nuisance?

Recourse to Human Rights in Substantive International Criminal Law

Julia Gebhard

296 p.

ISBN 978-3-8487-4427-5 (Print)
978-3-8452-8644-0 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2018

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2018. Printed and bound in Germany.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

Preface and Acknowledgements

This book is based my doctoral thesis at the University of Hamburg. It was written between 2009 and 2015 and updated in October 2017. More recent legal developments could unfortunately not be taken into account.

The research on which this book is based, as well as the writing itself, could not have been made possible without a multitude of different people and institutions which provided me with incredible research opportunities and environments, criticized and encouraged my research with their feedback and granted me their time to answer all my questions.

First and foremost, I owe my gratitude to my supervisor, em. Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Rüdiger Wolfrum for believing in my work, providing me with freedom and time to research while working as a researcher at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public and International Law and for supporting me on numerous occasions on which my research took me outside the library and outside of Heidelberg. For his thorough report, kind words and valuable suggestions, I would also like to thank my second supervisor, Prof. Dr. Stefan Oeter.

One of the opportunities which Prof. Wolfrum provided me with was to conduct my research as part of the International Max Planck Research School on Retaliation, Mediation and Punishment (IMPRS-REMEP). I want to thank Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. mult. Hans-Jörg Albrecht and Prof. Dr. Günther Schlee for their investment in this interdisciplinary research group which offers its students a unique environment to test and develop their research. Thank you, Prof. Dr. Anja Seibert-Fohr, for your guidance and your feedback. Furthermore, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Günther Schlee and the Max Planck Society for the generous financial contribution towards the publication of this work. Thank you, Dr. Carolin Hillemanns, for the smooth operation of our group and for all your support and encouragement throughout the years.

I would like to thank the FAZIT-Stiftung for granting me a scholarship enabling me to concentrate on finalizing my dissertation.

The libraries of the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public and International Law in Heidelberg, Germany, the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law in Freiburg, Germany and the Central European University in Budapest, Hungary and their excellent,

helpful and welcoming staff I thank for unearthing every publication I was looking for and for extending kind words. I am furthermore grateful for the support received by Prof. Dr. Michael Anderheiden and Prof. Dr. András Jakab while finalizing my thesis in Budapest.

The insights that this book provides would not have been possible without the judges at the International Criminal Court and the International Tribunal of the Former Yugoslavia who agreed to answer my questions and let me in on their thoughts regarding the significance of international human rights law on their work while working as a Visiting Professional at the ICC in 2010. I owe particular gratitude to the late Judge Hans-Peter Kaul for accepting me as a Visiting Professional and for his curiosity and interest in my research. Furthermore, I am grateful to Xabier Agirre Aranburu, Eleni Chatidou and William Rosato for discussing my research and for the opportunities and the support extended.

I thank Professor William Schabas for inviting me to Galway and guiding my research in more scholarly sound and realistic ways when it was still at the very beginning and Professor Gudmundur Alfredsson, for his enthusiasm for this project and for irregularly checking in and inquiring about its progress.

I want to thank the editors of “Recht und Gesellschaft – Law and Society” for their decision to accept my research within their series. In particular, I am grateful to Prof. Dr. Susanne Karstedt for her support and her comments on the empirical part of this book.

Over the years, I had countless discussions, got so much excellent and honest feedback and the support without which this book might have never been finished. I am indebted to Andreas Armborst, Stephanie Berry, Berit Beyer, Kristina Blohm, Olivia Danai, Mikael Ekman, Julia Breslin Foster, Kiyomi von Frankenberg, Andrea Furger, Johannes Fuchs, Anne Giebel, Jenny Grote-Stoutenburg, Mayeul Hiéramente, Julia Kasselt, Nandor Knust, Mandana Knust Rassekh Afshar, Björn Länsisyrjä, Sally Longworth, Polina Levina Mahnad, Sigrid Mehring, Ulysses Moreira Formiga, Carse Ramos, Isabel Röcker, Jennifer Schuetze-Reymann, Christina Sell, Inga Švarca, Helena Sunnegårdh, Alice Thomas, Diana Trimiño and Johann-Christoph Woltag.

In particular, eternal gratitude to Berit, Diana, Jules, Johannes, Kristina, Sally and Ulysses. Your love, friendship and support have many times saved my sanity and made my days and will surely continue to do so in the future. Thank you.

To my parents, Angelika and Heinz Gebhard, and to Felix, I am grateful for all the love and encouragement, for always being there in any moment of need, for having my back and for putting things into perspective, not only, but also, during the course of this project.

Finally, to Csaba, thank you for your love, your unwavering and enthusiastic support, for cheering me on and for believing and living in an equal partnership. And to Levi, for being with us, himself and a part of us.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 17 |
| I. Approach and Conceptual Framework | 17 |
| 1. Overview of the Research Topic | 17 |
| 2. Approach and Demand for Research | 21 |
| II. Scope and Methodology | 22 |
| Part One: The Relationship between International Criminal Law and Human Rights | 29 |
| Chapter One: Introduction | 29 |
| Chapter Two: Human Rights Law and Procedural International Criminal Law | 33 |
| I. Ad Hoc Tribunals | 35 |
| II. ICC | 39 |
| 1. Art. 21 (3) ICC | 39 |
| 2. What are ‘internationally recognized human rights’? | 42 |
| Chapter Three: Human Rights Law and Substantive International Criminal Law | 46 |
| I. Relationship ICL – Substantive HR: | 46 |
| 1. Hierarchy vs Horizontal Completion | 50 |
| 2. Structural Differences vs Universality | 55 |
| II. Legal Basis for the Application of Extra-Statutory Substantive Law | 60 |
| 1. Ad Hoc Tribunals | 61 |
| 2. ICC | 62 |
| a. Art. 21 (3) Rome Statute | 63 |
| b. Art. 21 (1) (b) Rome Statute | 64 |
| c. Guidance and Interpretational Aid | 68 |
| III. Application of Substantive Extra-Statutory Human Rights Law and the Principle of Nullum Crimen Sine Lege | 69 |
| 1. The concept of nullum crimen sine lege? | 69 |
| 2. Nullum Crimen Sine Lege in International Criminal Law | 70 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3. How is this area of conflict solved in international criminal jurisprudence? | 76 |
| Chapter Four: Concluding Remarks | 81 |
| Part Two: How are Different Areas of Human Rights Law Referred to in International Criminal Jurisprudence? | 83 |
| Chapter One: Prohibition of Torture and ‘Other Inhumane Acts’ | 84 |
| I. Where was the Prohibition of Torture Referred to at Ad Hoc Tribunals? | 84 |
| 1. The Specific Elements in the Definition of Torture as a Crime against Humanity | 84 |
| a. Akayesu | 85 |
| b. Delalić and others (Čelebići) | 86 |
| c. Furundžija | 90 |
| d. Kvočka | 94 |
| e. Krnojelac | 97 |
| f. Kunarac and others | 97 |
| g. Brđanin | 104 |
| 2. The Definition of ‘Other Inhumane Acts’ | 105 |
| II. Where Could the Prohibition of Torture Have Been Referred to? | 107 |
| 1. State Obligations Regarding the Prohibition of Torture under Human Rights Law | 108 |
| a) CAT | 108 |
| b) Universal Declaration of Human Rights | 109 |
| c) International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 110 |
| d) Other Instruments Prohibiting Torture | 111 |
| 2. The Prohibition of Torture under International Criminal Law | 112 |
| a) Genocide | 112 |
| (1) Causing serious bodily or mental harm (Art. 6 (b) Rome Statute) | 112 |
| (2) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part (Art. 6 (c) Rome Statute) | 114 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| (3) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group (Art. 6 (d) Rome Statute) | 115 |
| This modality of committing genocide covers acts such as ‘[forced] sterilization, compulsory abortion, segregation of the sexes and obstacles to marriage’. | 115 |
| b) Crimes against Humanity | 116 |
| (1) Torture (Art. 7 (1) (f) Rome Statute) | 116 |
| (2) Rape, sexual slavery, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, enforced sterilization, or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity (Art. 7 (1) (g) Rome Statute) | 118 |
| (3) Enforced disappearance of persons (Art. 7 (1) (i) Rome Statute) | 118 |
| (5) Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health (Art. 7 (1) (k) Rome Statute) | 121 |
| c) War Crimes | 122 |
| (1) Grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions | 122 |
| (2) Other serious violations of the laws and customs applicable in international armed conflict | 122 |
| (3) In the case of an armed conflict not of an international character, serious violations of article 3 common to the four Geneva Conventions | 123 |
| (4) Other serious violations of the laws and customs applicable in armed conflicts not of an international character, within the established framework of international law | 123 |
| IV. Concluding Remarks | 123 |
| Chapter Two: Minority Rights Law | 124 |
| I. What is a Minority in the Context of Crimes under International Law? | 124 |
| II. Where Has Minority Rights Law Been Referred to? | 127 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. Where Could Minority Right Law Have Been Referred to? | 129 |
| 1. The Categorization of Groups Falling under the Ambit of Protected Groups Within the Definition of Genocide | 130 |
| 2. The Definition of Persecution | 132 |
| 3. The Definition of a Stigmatised Group within the Crime of Persecution | 134 |
| IV. Where is the Link? | 135 |
| 1. State Obligations regarding the Protection of Minorities from Crimes under International Law | 135 |
| a) Charter of the United Nations | 137 |
| b) International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 139 |
| c) United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Persons belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities | 141 |
| d) Responsibility to Protect | 144 |
| e) International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination | 145 |
| f) Council of Europe Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities | 146 |
| g) Other Instruments Relevant to the Protection of Minorities from Crimes under International Law | 147 |
| 2. Protection of Minorities under International Criminal Law | 149 |
| a) Genocide (Art. 6 Rome Statute) | 149 |
| b) Crimes against Humanity/Persecution | 153 |
| (1) Important Developments in Terms of Minority Protection | 153 |
| (1.1) Crimes against Humanity and Armed Conflict | 154 |
| (1.2) Crimes Against Humanity and Non-State Actors | 156 |
| (2) Specific Crimes and Their inherent Minority Element | 157 |
| (2.1) Murder/Extermination | 157 |
| (2.2) Deportation or Forcible Transfer of Population | 158 |
| (2.3) Rape, Sexual Slavery, Enforced Prostitution, Forced Pregnancy, Enforced Sterilisation, or any other Form of Sexual Violence of Comparable Gravity | 158 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| (2.4) Persecution as a Crime against Humanity with a Particularly Prominent Minority Element | 159 |
| (2.5) Enforced Disappearance of Persons | 162 |
| (2.5) The Crime of Apartheid | 162 |
| c) ‘Ethnic Cleansing’ in International Criminal Law | 163 |
| d) War Crimes (Art. 8 Rome Statute) | 166 |
| (1) International Armed Conflicts | 168 |
| (1.1) Article 8 (2) (a) Rome Statute: Grave Breaches of the Fourth Geneva Convention Protecting Civilian Persons in Times of War: | 168 |
| (1.2) Article 8 (2) (b) Rome Statute: Other serious violations of the Laws and Customs Applicable in International Armed Conflict, within the Established Framework of International Law: | 169 |
| (2) Non- International Armed Conflicts | 169 |
| (2.1) Article 8 (2) (c) Rome Statute: Serious Violations of Article 3 Common to the four Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 | 169 |
| (2.2) Article 8 (2) (e) Rome Statute : Other Serious Violations of the Laws and Customs Applicable in Armed Conflicts not of an International Character, within the Established Framework of International Law: | 170 |
| V. Concluding Remarks | 170 |
| Chapter Three: Women’s Rights/The Prohibition of Gender-Based Violence | 171 |
| I. Where Were Women’s Human Rights Referred to? | 175 |
| 1. Sexual Assault as Persecution | 175 |
| 2. Rape as Torture | 177 |
| II. Where Could Women’s Human Rights Have Been Referred to? | 183 |
| 1. Genocide | 183 |
| 2. Definition of Rape | 185 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3. Persecution | 192 |
| III. Where is the Link? | 196 |
| 1. State Obligations regarding Violence against Women | 197 |
| a. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 199 |
| b. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights | 202 |
| c. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women | 206 |
| d. International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination | 210 |
| e. UNGA Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women | 210 |
| f. African Charter on Human and Peoples Rights (Banjul Charter) | 211 |
| g. Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action | 212 |
| 2. Protection of Women against Gender-Based Violence in International Criminal Law | 213 |
| a. Genocide | 214 |
| b. Crimes against Humanity | 216 |
| (1) Sexual Violence | 216 |
| (2) Persecution | 216 |
| (3) Enslavement/ Sexual Slavery | 220 |
| c. War Crimes | 221 |
| IV. Concluding Remarks | 226 |
| Chapter Four: Conclusions Drawn from Case-Law Analysis | 228 |
| Part Three: Perception of the Value of Human Rights Law from the View of Practitioners | 233 |
| Chapter One: Perceptions of Human Rights Law in a Diverse Professional Environment | 233 |
| I. Safeguarding Professional Diversity on the Bench at the ICC and the ICTY | 239 |
| 1. General attitude of judges towards the importance of HRL in ICL | 243 |
| 2. Specific relevance of the recourse to human rights law in substantive international criminal law | 245 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| II. Professional and Personal Factors Contributing to the Attitude towards International Human Rights Law | 247 |
| 1. Public International Law Experts/(National) Criminal Law Experts | 247 |
| 2. Common Law/Civil Law | 249 |
| 3. Academics/Practitioners | 250 |
| 4. Developing Country/Industrialized Country | 250 |
| Chapter Two: Concluding Remarks | 252 |
| Conclusion | 255 |
| Annex | 265 |
| Bibliography | 267 |

