



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT



THE GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
UNIVERSITY  
LAW SCHOOL  
WASHINGTON DC

## MIPLC Studies

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Christoph Ann, LL.M. (Duke Univ.)  
Technische Universität München

Prof. Robert Brauneis  
The George Washington University Law School

Prof. Dr. Josef Drexler, LL.M. (Berkeley)  
Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property,  
Competition, and Tax Law

Prof. Dr. Thomas M.J. Möllers  
University of Augsburg

Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus,  
Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property,  
Competition, and Tax Law

Volume 7

Kristina Janušauskaitė

# Implementation of the EU Enforcement Directive in the Baltic Countries

Experience in View of the Development of Protection of Intellectual Property Rights



**Nomos**

**MIPLC**

Munich  
**Intellectual  
Property**  
Law Center

Augsburg  
München  
Washington DC

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

a.t.: Munich, Univ., Diss., 2010

ISBN 978-3-8329-5784-1

1. Auflage 2010

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 2010. Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten. Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

*To my parents*



## Preface

This monograph was published on the basis of the dissertation which had been submitted to the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (Munich) in winter semester 2009/2010. The dissertation was devoted to comprehensive collection and examination of the legislation and practice of the Baltic countries regarding enforcement of intellectual property rights. As it can already be seen from the title of the monograph, the analysis of the implementation of the provisions of the EU Enforcement Directive in the Baltic countries was made considering relevant historical, social and economic aspects of the Baltic region. Therefore, such research can be interesting not only in terms of relevant legal issues, it also has practical dimension which can be useful for scholars as well as practitioners.

The author of the monograph first of all expresses her thanks to the supervisor of her dissertation Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus for his constructive guidance and his full support while doing the research on the topic and writing the dissertation. The author also thanks Prof. Dr. Michael Lehmann for his revision of the dissertation and positive evaluation as well as Prof. Dr. Vytautas Mizaras for his appreciable suggestions which enabled the dissertation to be more synchronised with the local actualities. Special thanks go to the MIPLC whose staff were extremely helpful during the author's doctoral studies in Munich, also to the MIPLC faculty members, especially to Chief Judge Rader R. Randall, Prof. Martin Adelman, Prof. Dr. Heinz Goddar, Prof. Dr. Thomas Dreier, all dear friends and colleagues from all over the world as well as the author's family whose help and full support was constantly felt.

Vilnius, August 2010

*Kristina Janušauskaitė*



## Contents

|                                                                                                                              |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>§ 1 Abbreviations</b>                                                                                                     | 17 |
| <b>§ 2 Introduction</b>                                                                                                      | 21 |
| A. Subject-matter and objectives of the research                                                                             | 21 |
| B. Structure of this study                                                                                                   | 25 |
| <b>§ 3 Protection of IP rights in the Baltic countries: retrospective observations and the current infrastructure</b>        | 29 |
| A. Specificity of the geopolitical situation of the Baltic countries: the general context                                    | 29 |
| B. Historical overview of the protection of IP rights in the Baltic countries                                                | 31 |
| I. Before World War II (1918 – 1940): the origins of modern national IP legislation                                          | 32 |
| 1. Industrial property legislation                                                                                           | 32 |
| 2. Copyright legislation                                                                                                     | 35 |
| II. The Soviet occupation (1940 – 1990/1991): the strained existence of IP rights                                            | 36 |
| 1. IP as a part of Soviet civil law                                                                                          | 36 |
| 2. Aspects of enforcement of IP rights under the Soviet regulation                                                           | 38 |
| III. The period of substantial changes of IP rights protection (1990/1991 – 1994)                                            | 39 |
| 1. Adoption of the new national IP legislation                                                                               | 39 |
| 2. Adherence to the international treaties on IP protection                                                                  | 43 |
| IV. Substantial reforms in the field of enforcement of IP rights regarding the implementation of <i>acquis communautaire</i> | 46 |
| V. Concluding remarks                                                                                                        | 48 |
| C. Overview of the current national regulatory and institutional framework for the enforcement of IP rights                  | 49 |
| I. Adoption of national IP legislation: some procedural aspects                                                              | 49 |
| II. Competence and functions of the main national IP institutions                                                            | 51 |
| 1. The Ministries of Culture: the Copyright Divisions and Boards                                                             | 51 |
| 2. The collective administration societies                                                                                   | 52 |

|                                                                                                           |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3. The trademark and patent offices                                                                       | 53 |
| III. The role of the government institutions responsible for IP rights enforcement                        | 54 |
| 1. The police authorities: a good start in IP rights infringement cases is crucial                        | 54 |
| 2. Customs authorities: ensuring effective measures at the borders                                        | 56 |
| IV. The national judicial systems in view of IP rights enforcement                                        | 57 |
| 1. Competence to hear IP rights infringement cases and jurisdiction                                       | 57 |
| a) General structure of the court systems                                                                 | 57 |
| b) Role of the national Supreme Courts                                                                    | 59 |
| 2. Establishment of specialized courts: a solution for the Baltic countries?                              | 61 |
| 3. Common judiciary in the framework of community rights: Baltic perspective                              | 63 |
| V. IP practitioners and their role in IP enforcement-related processes: professionalism as the key factor | 63 |
| 1. Legal representation                                                                                   | 63 |
| 2. Patent and trademark attorneys                                                                         | 64 |
| VI. Concluding remarks                                                                                    | 65 |

#### **§ 4 Considerations of the relevant social and economic factors which are important to the implementation of the Enforcement Directive** 67

|                                                                                                                  |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| A. General considerations                                                                                        | 67 |
| I. Social changes in the Baltic countries in view of the accession into the European Union                       | 67 |
| II. IP piracy in the Baltics: prevailing tendencies and impact on the national IP rights enforcement legislation | 69 |
| B. Aspects of the Baltic IP research, innovation and industry                                                    | 71 |
| I. General remarks                                                                                               | 71 |
| II. IP teaching: a role of the national educational institutions and a level of the scientific research          | 72 |
| III. Local research and innovation                                                                               | 74 |
| 1. Research and development areas                                                                                | 74 |
| 2. Foreign and local investments in local IP industries                                                          | 75 |
| IV. Factors promoting the local research and innovation                                                          | 77 |
| 1. Promoting activities in the Baltic universities                                                               | 77 |
| 2. IP strategy in the local business sector                                                                      | 79 |
| 3. Level of the national governments' regulation and their support in the field of research and development      | 79 |
| V. Concluding remarks                                                                                            | 80 |

|                                                                                                                                                      |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>§ 5 Aspects of enforcement of IP rights in the Baltic countries in view of the implementation of the EU Enforcement Directive</b>                 | <b>83</b> |
| A. EU Enforcement Directive: idea, objectives and scope of application                                                                               | 83        |
| I. Brief review on the adoption of the Directive                                                                                                     | 83        |
| 1. Commission's Proposal for a Draft Enforcement Directive: between support and critique                                                             | 83        |
| a) Supportive positions                                                                                                                              | 83        |
| b) Criticised aspects                                                                                                                                | 84        |
| c) Adopted EU Enforcement Directive: tension remained?                                                                                               | 86        |
| 2. Relation between the Enforcement Directive, other international standards on IP enforcement and EU directives                                     | 87        |
| a) Part III of TRIPS Agreement: was the Enforcement Directive necessary?                                                                             | 87        |
| (1) Community's competence to legislate on enforcement of IP rights                                                                                  | 87        |
| (2) Necessity to adopt a directive on enforcement of IP rights                                                                                       | 88        |
| b) Enforcement Directive as the first extensive horizontal instrument on enforcement of the substantive IP rights                                    | 90        |
| (1) Prior EU-wide provisions on enforcement of IP rights                                                                                             | 90        |
| (2) Character of the provisions of the Enforcement Directive                                                                                         | 92        |
| II. Review of the catalogue of enforcement measures, procedures and remedies under the Enforcement Directive: "grandfather" provisions and novelties | 93        |
| 1. Substantive provisions under the Directive                                                                                                        | 93        |
| a) Right of information (Article 8)                                                                                                                  | 94        |
| b) Corrective measures (Article 10)                                                                                                                  | 95        |
| c) Injunction (Article 11)                                                                                                                           | 96        |
| d) Alternative measures (Article 12)                                                                                                                 | 98        |
| e) Damages (Article 13)                                                                                                                              | 98        |
| f) Publication of judicial decisions (Article 15)                                                                                                    | 101       |
| 2. Procedural provisions under the Directive                                                                                                         | 102       |
| a) Evidence (Article 6)                                                                                                                              | 102       |
| b) Measures for preserving evidence (Article 7)                                                                                                      | 103       |
| c) Provisional and precautionary measures (Article 9)                                                                                                | 105       |
| d) Legal costs (Article 14)                                                                                                                          | 106       |
| III. Concluding remarks                                                                                                                              | 107       |

|                                                                                                                                  |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| B. Implementing legislation of the Baltic countries                                                                              | 108 |
| I. Legislative (formal) implementation                                                                                           | 108 |
| 1. Prior-to-implementation provisions on IP enforcement, duration of the implementation and the implementing provisions          | 108 |
| a) Prior-to-implementation national IP enforcement provisions: key aspects                                                       | 108 |
| (1) Copyright legislation                                                                                                        | 108 |
| (2) Industrial property legislation                                                                                              | 111 |
| b) Duration of the implementation of the Directive                                                                               | 112 |
| c) Adoption of the implementing amendments on IP enforcement                                                                     | 114 |
| (1) General remarks                                                                                                              | 114 |
| (2) Copyright legislation                                                                                                        | 115 |
| (3) Industrial property legislation                                                                                              | 116 |
| II. Concluding remarks                                                                                                           | 118 |
| C. General provisions of the Enforcement Directive in view of the implementing Baltic legislation and other Baltic national laws | 119 |
| I. Interpretation of the “subject-matter” under Article 1 of the Directive                                                       | 119 |
| 1. The Commission’s position                                                                                                     | 119 |
| 2. The protected “subject-matter” under the Baltic national legislation                                                          | 120 |
| a) The definition of “IP rights” under the Baltic legislative acts                                                               | 120 |
| (1) IP right holders and their economic rights                                                                                   | 120 |
| (2) Moral rights                                                                                                                 | 121 |
| b) “Grey areas” under the national legislation                                                                                   | 122 |
| (1) Non-property rights, firm names, commercial (industrial) secrets                                                             | 122 |
| (2) Civil enforcement remedies in cases of infringements of “grey area” rights                                                   | 123 |
| II. The scope of the application of the Enforcement Directive                                                                    | 126 |
| 1. Covered and excluded legal areas under Article 2 of the Directive                                                             | 126 |
| a) Areas to which the Directive has no prejudice                                                                                 | 126 |
| b) Applicability of the Directive to any infringement of IP rights                                                               | 128 |
| 2. The term “ <i>commercial scale</i> ” (“ <i>commercial purposes</i> ”) under the Directive                                     | 129 |
| a) Embodiment of the term in the Draft Enforcement Directive                                                                     | 129 |
| b) Relevance of the definition of “commercial scale” in IP enforcement practice                                                  | 130 |
| c) Judicial interpretation of the term “commercial purposes”: the Lithuanian court practice                                      | 131 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                       |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| (1) Before the implementation of the Directive                                                                                                                                        | 131 |
| (2) Implementing amendments: finally solving the issue of the “ <i>commercial purposes</i> ”?                                                                                         | 133 |
| III. The principle of “Fair and Equitable Measures, Procedures and Remedies” under Article 3 of the Directive                                                                         | 134 |
| 1. Essence of the principle                                                                                                                                                           | 134 |
| 2. Embodiment of the principal in the Baltic legislation and practice                                                                                                                 | 135 |
| IV. Presumption of authorship and ownership                                                                                                                                           | 137 |
| 1. Debatable aspects of the “presumption” provision set out in Article 5 of the Directive                                                                                             | 137 |
| 2. Authorship presumption and reversal of burden of proof under the Baltic legislation and practice                                                                                   | 138 |
| a) Authorship presumption                                                                                                                                                             | 138 |
| b) Reversal of burden of proof under the national patent legislation                                                                                                                  | 140 |
| V. Legal standing in civil proceedings ( <i>locus standi</i> )                                                                                                                        | 142 |
| 1. List of persons having a right to assert enforcement measures and remedies under Article 4 of the Directive                                                                        | 142 |
| 2. <i>Locus standi</i> under the Baltic legislation                                                                                                                                   | 143 |
| a) IP right holders                                                                                                                                                                   | 143 |
| b) Licensees                                                                                                                                                                          | 144 |
| (1) Before the implementation of the Directive                                                                                                                                        | 144 |
| (2) The implementing provisions regarding <i>locus standi</i>                                                                                                                         |     |
| c) Rights-management collective societies and professional defence bodies                                                                                                             | 146 |
| d) Foreign natural and legal persons                                                                                                                                                  | 148 |
| VI. Concluding remarks                                                                                                                                                                | 148 |
| D. Preserving evidence in IP infringement cases and right of information under the national legislation and court practice in view of the implementation of the Enforcement Directive | 150 |
| I. Evidence and measures to preserve evidence in IP rights infringement cases in view of Articles 6 and 7 of the Enforcement Directive                                                | 150 |
| 1. General remarks                                                                                                                                                                    | 150 |
| 2. National measures for preserving evidence: before and after the implementation of the Enforcement Directive                                                                        | 151 |
| a) Prior-to-implementation regulation of measures preserving evidence                                                                                                                 | 151 |
| b) Implementing amendments on measures preserving evidence                                                                                                                            | 152 |

|                                                                                                          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3. Lithuanian practice on civil (ex parte) searches                                                      | 154 |
| a) General aspects                                                                                       | 154 |
| b) Requirements for civil (ex parte) searches requests                                                   | 156 |
| (1) Substantiated requests by interested parties                                                         | 156 |
| (2) Presentation of “ <i>reasonably available evidence</i> ” by the requesting party                     | 158 |
| (3) Most frequent evidence in <i>civil search</i> cases in Lithuania                                     | 160 |
| (4) Application of measures preserving evidence on <i>ex parte</i> basis                                 | 161 |
| (5) Sufficiency of evidence and application of “ <i>samples</i> ” provision                              | 162 |
| c) Enforcing court rulings on civil searches                                                             | 164 |
| d) Requirement to submit a civil claim                                                                   | 165 |
| e) Protection of interests of the opposing party (alleged infringers)                                    | 166 |
| II. Right of information under the national legislation in view of Article 8 of the Directive            | 168 |
| 1. Scope and content of requested information                                                            | 168 |
| 2. Providers of requested information                                                                    | 170 |
| III. Concluding remarks                                                                                  | 172 |
| E. Provisional measures and injunctions under the implementing national legislation and court practice   | 174 |
| I. Provisional measures under the national legislation in view of Article 9 of the Enforcement Directive | 174 |
| 1. Application of provisional measures as procedural civil remedies                                      | 174 |
| a) Objectives and nature                                                                                 | 174 |
| b) Requirements for application of interlocutory injunctions                                             | 176 |
| 2. Permanent injunctions under the national legislation in view of Article 11 of the Directive           | 178 |
| a) Injunctions against the continuation of IP infringements                                              | 178 |
| b) Possibilities of preventive claims                                                                    | 179 |
| 3. Injunctions against intermediaries                                                                    | 180 |
| 4. Assurance of compliance with permanent injunctions                                                    | 182 |
| II. Concluding remarks                                                                                   | 183 |
| F. Damages, legal costs and other enforcement measures                                                   | 184 |
| I. Adjudication of damages in IP rights infringement cases in view of Article 13 of the Directive        | 184 |

|                                                                                                               |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. Actual damages and alternative methods to calculate damages: dilemma in the national IP infringement cases | 184 |
| a) General remarks regarding compensation of damages                                                          | 185 |
| b) Actual damages (losses)                                                                                    | 187 |
| (1) Civil legal liability conditions                                                                          | 187 |
| (2) Reimbursement of direct material damages                                                                  | 189 |
| (3) Loss of profit                                                                                            | 191 |
| (4) An infringer's gained profit; unjustified enrichment                                                      | 193 |
| (5) Pre-established damages                                                                                   | 194 |
| c) Alternative methods to compute damages: is fair and adequate estimation possible?                          | 194 |
| (1) General grounds for alternative methods to compensate damages                                             | 194 |
| (2) Compensation instead of actual damages (losses)                                                           | 196 |
| (3) License analogy method                                                                                    | 199 |
| d) Moral prejudice (non-pecuniary damages)                                                                    | 200 |
| II. Legal costs as an important procedural factor in view of Article 14 of the Directive                      | 203 |
| 1. National procedural rules on legal costs                                                                   | 203 |
| 2. Practical aspects regarding covering of legal costs                                                        | 204 |
| III. Application of corrective and alternative measures                                                       | 205 |
| 1. Corrective measures in view of Article 10 of the Directive                                                 | 205 |
| 2. Alternative measures in view of Article 12 of the Directive                                                | 208 |
| IV. Publication of judicial decisions in view of Article 15 of the Directive                                  | 210 |
| V. Concluding remarks                                                                                         | 211 |
| G. Other national IP enforcement measures which do not fall under the scope of the Directive                  | 213 |
| I. Other sanctions for IP infringements in view of Article 16 of the Directive                                | 213 |
| 1. Administrative and criminal liability and sanctions under the Baltic legislation                           | 213 |
| a) General overview of the national provisions                                                                | 213 |
| b) Relevant aspects of administrative and criminal liability                                                  | 216 |
| c) Adjudication of civil damages in administrative and criminal cases                                         | 220 |
| II. Border measures under the EC Regulation 1383/2003                                                         | 222 |
| 1. General remarks                                                                                            | 222 |
| 2. Border measures and civil remedies as implemented under the Enforcement Directive                          | 224 |
| III. Concluding remarks                                                                                       | 225 |

|                                                                                                   |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>§ 6 Conclusions and observations</b>                                                           | 227 |
| A. Implementation of the Enforcement Directive in the Baltic countries: outcomes                  | 227 |
| B. Further strengthening IP rights enforcement: incentives to innovate and create in the Baltics? | 229 |
| Bibliography                                                                                      | 231 |
| <br><b>§ 7 Annex I: International, EU and National Legislation</b>                                | 241 |
| A. International Treaties                                                                         | 241 |
| B. European Union Legislation and Preparatory Acts                                                | 241 |
| C. National Legislation                                                                           | 242 |
| I. Lithuania                                                                                      | 242 |
| II. Latvia                                                                                        | 243 |
| III. Estonia                                                                                      | 244 |
| <br><b>§ 8 Annex II: Cases</b>                                                                    | 245 |
| <br><b>§ 9 Summary (in German)</b>                                                                | 249 |
| <br><b>§ 10 Index</b>                                                                             | 257 |