

Literatur

Alle Internetverweise wurden vor der Drucklegung geprüft.

- Abbott, A. (2014). The Problem of Excess. *Sociological Theory*, 32(1), 1–26.
- Agre, P. E. (1994). Surveillance and capture: Two models of privacy. *The Information Society*, 10(2), 101–127.
- Akrich, M. (1992). The description of technical Objects, Bijker, WE. In W. E. Bijker, & J. Law (Eds.) *Shaping technology/building society*, (pp. 205–224). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Alaimo, C., & Kallinikos, J. (2016). Encoding the Everyday: The Infrastructural Apparatus of Social Data. In C. R. Sugimoto, H. R. Ekbia, & M. Mattioli (Eds.) *Big data is not a monolith*, Information policy series, (pp. 77–90). Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Alaimo, C., & Kallinikos, J. (2017). Computing the everyday: Social media as data platforms. *The Information Society*, 33(4), 175–191.
- Alaimo, C., & Kallinikos, J. (2019). Social Media and the Infrastructuring of Sociality. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 62, 289–306.
- Alexander, J. (2019). Netflix tracks shows by measuring »starters«, »watchers«, and »completers«. *The Verge*. URL <https://www.theverge.com/2019/10/21/20924954/netflix-viewers-numbers-metrics-data-stranger-things-tuca-bertie-oa-renew-cancel>
- Amann, K., & Hirschauer, S. (1997). Die Befremdung der eigenen Kultur. Ein Programm. In S. Hirschauer, & K. Amann (Eds.) *Die Befremdung der eigenen Kultur. Zur ethnographischen Herausforderung soziologischer Empirie*, vol. 1, (pp. 7–52). Frankfurt a.M.: Suhrkamp.

- Amatriain, X. (2013). Big & personal: data and models behind netflix recommendations. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Big Data, Streams and Heterogeneous Source Mining Algorithms, Systems, Programming Models and Applications - BigMine '13*, (pp. 1–6). Chicago, Illinois: ACM Press.
- Amoore, L. (2011). Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 28(6), 24–43.
- Anderson, C. (2004). The Long Tail. *Wired*. URL <https://www.wired.com/2004/10/tail/>
- Anderson, C. (2008). The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete. *Wired*. URL <https://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>
- Andrejevic, M. (2013). *Infoglut: How too much information is changing the way we think and know*. New York: Routledge.
- Arvidsson, A. (2016). Facebook and Finance: On the Social Logic of the Derivative. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 33(6), 3–23.
- Barbrook, R. (1998). The Hi-Tech Gift Economy. *First Monday*, 3(12). URL <https://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/631>
- Barocas, S., & Nissenbaum, H. (2014). Big Data's End Run around Anonymity and Consent. In H. Nissenbaum, J. Lane, S. Bender, & V. Stodden (Eds.) *Privacy, Big Data, and the Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement*, (pp. 44–75). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bearman, P. (1997). Generalized Exchange. *American Journal of Sociology*, 102(5), 1383–1415.
- Beauvisage, T., & Mellet, K. (2020). Datasets: Assetizing and Marketizing Personal Data. In K. Birch, & F. Muniesa (Eds.) *Assetization: turning things into assets in technoscientific capitalism*, Inside technology, (pp. 76–95). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Bechmann, A., & Bowker, G. C. (2019). Unsupervised by any other name: Hidden layers of knowledge production in artificial intelligence on social media. *Big Data & Society*, 6(1), 1–11.
- Beel, J., Gipp, B., Langer, S., & Breiting, C. (2016). Recommender systems: a literature survey. *International Journal on Digital Libraries*, 17(4), 305–338.

- Beer, D. (2017). The social power of algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), 1–13.
- Beer, D., & Burrows, R. (2007). Sociology and, of and in Web 2.0: Some Initial Considerations. *Sociological Research Online*, 12(5), 67–79.
- Bell, D. (1999). *The coming of post-industrial society: a venture in social forecasting*. New York: Basic Books.
- Beniger, J. R. (1986). *The control revolution: technological and economic origins of the Information Society*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Benjamin, R. (2019). *Race after technology: abolitionist tools for the new Jim code*. Medford, MA: Polity.
- Bennani, H., Bühler, M., Cramer, S., Glauser, A., & Campus Verlag (Eds.) (2020). *Global beobachten und vergleichen: Soziologische Analysen zur Weltgesellschaft*. Frankfurt/New York: transcript.
- Bennholdt-Thomsen, V., Mies, M., & von Werlhof, C. (1992). *Frauen, die letzte Kolonie: zur Hausfrausierung der Arbeit*. Zürich: Rotpunktverlag.
- Benway, J. P., & Lane, D. M. (1998). Banner blindness: Web searchers often miss »obvious« links. *Itg Newsletter*, 1(3), 1–22.
- Berg, M. (1997). Of Forms, Containers, and the Electronic Medical Record: Some Tools for a Sociology of the Formal. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 22(4), 403–433.
- Berghoff, H., Scranton, P., & Spiekermann, U. (Eds.) (2012). *The Rise of Marketing and Market Research*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bermejo, F. (2009). Audience manufacture in historical perspective: from broadcasting to Google. *New Media & Society*, 11(1-2), 133–154.
- Bhatia, R. (2016). The inside story of Facebook's biggest setback. *The Guardian*. URL <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/may/12/facebook-free-basics-india-zuckerberg>
- Biruk, C. (2018). *Cooking data: culture and politics in an African research world*. Critical global health: evidence, efficacy, ethnography. Durham: Duke University Press.

- Bishop, S. (2019). Managing visibility on YouTube through algorithmic gossip. *New Media & Society*, 21(11-12), 2589–2606.
- Bivens, R. (2017). The gender binary will not be deprogrammed: Ten years of coding gender on Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 19(6), 880–898.
- Bivens, R., & Haimson, O. L. (2016). Baking Gender Into Social Media Design: How Platforms Shape Categories for Users and Advertisers. *Social Media + Society*, 2(4), 1–12.
- Blair, A. (2003). Reading Strategies for Coping with Information Overload ca. 1550–1700. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 64(1), 11–28.
- Blair, A. (2011). Information Overload's 2,300-Year-Old History. *Harvard Business Review*. URL <https://hbr.org/2011/03/information-overloads-2300-yea>
- Bobadilla, J., Ortega, F., Hernando, A., & Bernal, J. (2012). A collaborative filtering approach to mitigate the new user cold start problem. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 26, 225–238.
- Bodle, R. (2014). Predictive Algorithms and Personalization Services on Social Network Sites: Implications for Users and Society. In S. Lomborg, & A. Bechmann (Eds.) *The Ubiquitous Internet: User and Industry Perspectives*, (pp. 130–145). London: Routledge.
- Boellstorff, T. (2015). Making Big Data, In Theory. In T. Boellstorff, & B. Maurer (Eds.) *Data: now bigger and better!*, (pp. 87–108). Chicago, IL: Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Bolin, G. (2009). Symbolic Production and Value in Media Industries. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 2(3), 345–361.
- Bolin, G., & Andersson Schwarz, J. (2015). Heuristics of the algorithm: Big Data, user interpretation and institutional translation. *Big data & Society*, 2(2), 1–12.
- Borgman, C. L. (2015). *Big data, little data, no data: scholarship in the networked world*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Bowker, G. (2014). Big Data, Big Questions: The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication*, 8, 1795–1799.

- Bowker, G. C. (2013). Data flakes: An afterword to »Raw Data« is an oxymoron. In *Raw data is an oxymoron*, (pp. 167–172). Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Bowker, G. C., & Star, S. L. (1999). *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- boyd, d. (2006). Friends, Friendsters, and Top 8: Writing community into being on social network sites. *First Monday*, 11(12). URL <https://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1418>
- boyd, d. (2014). *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. New Haven/London: Yale University Press.
- boyd, d., & Crawford, K. (2012). Critical Questions for Big Data: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 662–679.
- Bruns, A. (2008). *Blogs, Wikipedia, Second life, and Beyond: from production to produsage*. Digital formations. New York: Peter Lang.
- Bruns, A. (2019). *Are filter bubbles real?*. Digital futures. Cambridge, UK/Medford, MA: Polity Press.
- Brunton, F. (2013). *Spam: a shadow history of the Internet*. Infrastructures. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Brunton, F., & Nissenbaum, H. F. (2015). *Obfuscation: a user's guide for privacy and protest*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Bucher, T. (2012). A Technicity of Attention: How Software »Makes Sense«. *Culture Machine*, 13, 1–23.
- Bucher, T. (2013). The Friendship Assemblage: Investigating Programmed Sociality on Facebook. *Television & New Media*, 14(6), 479–493.
- Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2017). The Affordances of Social Media Platforms. In J. Burgess, T. Poell, & A. E. Marwick (Eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Social Media*, (pp. 233–253). London/New York: SAGE Publications.
- Bundesverfassungsgericht (1983). Zur Verfassungsmäßigkeit des Volkszählungsgesetzes 1983. URL https://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/Shar edDocs/Entscheidungen/DE/1983/12/rs19831215_1bvro20983.html

- Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification. In *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 81, (pp. 1–15).
- Burrell, J. (2009). The Field Site as a Network: A Strategy for Locating Ethnographic Research. *Field Methods*, 21(2), 181–199.
- Burrell, J. (2016). How the machine »thinks«: Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society*, 3(1), 1–12.
- Bush, V. (1945). As We May Think. *The Atlantic*. URL <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1945/07/as-we-may-think/303881/>
- Cardon, D. (2016). Deconstructing the algorithm: four types of digital information calculations. In R. Seyfert, & J. Roberge (Eds.) *Algorithmic cultures: Essays on meaning, performance and new technologies*, vol. 189 of *Routledge advances in sociology*, (pp. 95–110). London/New York: Routledge.
- Cardon, D. (2017). How to rank the web? Competition among metrics of digital information. In N. Douay, & A. Wan (Eds.) *Big Data & Civic Engagement*, (pp. 55–61). Roma/Milano: Planum.
- Charitsis, V., Zwick, D., & Bradshaw, A. (2018). Creating Worlds that Create Audiences: Theorising Personal Data Markets in the Age of Communicative Capitalism. *tripleC: Communication, Capitalism & Critique. Open Access Journal for a Global Sustainable Information Society*, 16(2), 820–834.
- Chayka, K. (2019). Does monoculture still exist on the internet? *Vox*. URL <https://www.vox.com/the-goods/2019/12/17/21024439/monoculture-algorithm-netflix-spotify>
- Cheney-Lippold, J. (2011). A New Algorithmic Identity: Soft Biopolitics and the Modulation of Control. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 28(6), 164–181.
- Cheney-Lippold, J. (2017). *We Are Data: Algorithms and The Making of Our Digital Selves*. New York: NYU Press.
- Chernev, A., Böckenholt, U., & Goodman, J. (2015). Choice overload: A conceptual review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 25(2), 333–358.

- Chesnais, P., Mucklo, M., & Sheena, J. (1995). The Fishwrap personalized news system. In *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Community Networking »Integrated Multimedia Services to the Home«*, (pp. 275–282). Princeton: IEEE.
- Chong, D. (2020). Deep Dive into Netflix's Recommender System. URL <https://towardsdatascience.com/deep-dive-into-netflixs-recommender-system-341806ae3b48>
- Christin, A. (2018). Counting Clicks: Quantification and Variation in Web Journalism in the United States and France. *American Journal of Sociology*, 123(5), 1382–1415.
- Christl, W., & Spiekermann, S. (2016). *Networks of control: a report on corporate surveillance, digital tracking, big data & privacy*. Wien: Facultas.
- Chun, W. H. K. (2016). *Updating to remain the same: habitual new media*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Cluley, R., & Brown, S. D. (2015). The dividualised consumer: sketching the new mask of the consumer. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 31(1-2), 107–122.
- Cochoy, F. (2007). A Brief Theory of the »Captation« of Publics: Understanding the market with Little Red Riding Hood. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 24(7-8), 203–223.
- Cochoy, F. (2016). *On curiosity: the art of market seduction*. Manchester: Mattering Press.
- Cochoy, F., Deville, J., & McFall, E. R. (2017). *Markets and the arts of attachment*. Abingdon/New York: Routledge.
- Cockburn, C., & Ormrod, S. (1993). *Gender and technology in the making*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Cohn, J. (2019). *The burden of choice: recommendations, subversion, and algorithmic culture*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Coll, S. (2013). Consumption as biopower: Governing bodies with loyalty cards. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 13(3), 201–220.

- Coll, S. (2015). *Surveiller et récompenser: les cartes de fidélité qui nous gouvernent*. Zürich/Genf: Seismo.
- Coll, S. (2016). Discipline and Reward: The Surveillance of Consumers through Loyalty Cards. *Geschichte und Gesellschaft*, 42(1), 113–143.
- Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019a). *The costs of connection: how data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Culture and economic life. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019b). Data Colonialism: Rethinking Big Data's Relation to the Contemporary Subject. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 336–349.
- Couldry, N., & Turow, J. (2014). Advertising, Big Data and the Clearance of the Public Realm: Marketers' New Approaches to the Content Subsidy. *International Journal of Communication*, 8(1), 1710–1726.
- Coursera (2017). Introduction to recommender systems. URL <https://www.coursera.org/specializations/recommender-systems>
- Crain, M. (2018). The limits of transparency: Data brokers and commodification. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 88–104.
- Crawford, K., & Paglen, T. (2019). Excavating AI. URL <https://www.excavating.ai>
- Darr, A., & Pinch, T. (2013). Performing Sales: Material Scripts and the Social Organization of Obligation. *Organization Studies*, 34(11), 1601–1621.
- Degeling, M. (2017). Googles Interessenprofilung. In M. Degeling, J. Othmer, A. Weich, & B. Westermann (Eds.) *Profile: interdisziplinäre Beiträge*, Digital cultures series, (pp. 115–128). Lüneburg: meson press.
- Deloitte (2018). Drowning in data, but starving for insights. URL <https://www2.deloitte.com/ro/en/pages/strategy/articles/drowning-in-data-but-starving-for-insights.html>
- Desrosiers, C., & Karypis, G. (2011). A Comprehensive Survey of Neighborhood-based Recommendation Methods. In F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira, & P. B. Kantor (Eds.) *Recommender Systems Handbook*, (pp. 107–144). Boston, MA: Springer US.

- Desrosières, A. (1998). *The Politics of Large Numbers: A History of Statistical Reasoning*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Deutschmann, C. (2000). Geld als »absolutes Mittel«. *Berliner Journal für Soziologie*, 10(3), 301–313.
- Dewey, C. (2015). When did we stop »surfing« the Web? *Washington Post*. URL <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-intersect/wp/2015/01/30/when-did-we-stop-surfing-the-web/>
- Dholakia, N., Dholakia, R. R., & Firat, A. F. (2019). Choice and Choicelessness in Consumer Practice. In M. Tadajewski, M. Higgins, J. Denegri-Knott, & R. Varman (Eds.) *The Routledge Companion to Critical Marketing*, (pp. 337–352). Abingdon/New York: Routledge.
- Dixon, P., & Gellman, R. (2014). The Scoring of America: How Secret Consumer Scores Threaten Your Privacy and Your Future. Tech. rep., World Privacy Forum. URL <https://www.worldprivacyforum.org/2014/04/wpf-report-the-scoring-of-america-how-secret-consumer-scores-threaten-your-privacy-and-your-future/>
- Doctorow, C. (2020). How to Destroy »Surveillance Capitalism«. URL <https://onezero.medium.com/how-to-destroy-surveillance-capitalism-8135e6744d59>
- Douglas, M. (1990). No Free Gifts. In M. Mauss (Ed.) *The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies*, (pp. ix–xxiii). London: Routledge.
- Dourish, P., & Gómez Cruz, E. (2018). Datafication and data fiction: Narrating data and narrating with data. *Big Data & Society*, 5(2), 1–10.
- Draper, N. A., & Turow, J. (2019). The corporate cultivation of digital resignation. *New Media & Society*, 21(8), 1824–1839.
- Driscoll, K. (2012). From Punched Cards to »Big Data«: A Social History of Database Populism. *communication+1*, 1(1), 1–33.
- Duhigg, C. (2012). How Companies Learn Your Secrets. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/19/magazine/shopping-habits.html>
- Dörre, K., Lessenich, S., & Rosa, H. (2013). *Soziologie - Kapitalismus - Kritik Eine Debatte*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

- Ebeling, M. F. E. (2016). *Healthcare and big data: digital specters and phantom objects*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Edmunds, A., & Morris, A. (2000). The problem of information overload in business organisations: a review of the literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 20(1), 17–28.
- Ekbja, H. R., & Nardi, B. A. (2017). *Heteromation, and other stories of computing and capitalism*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Ekstrand, M. D. (2013). Similarity Functions for User-User Collaborative Filtering. URL <https://grouplens.org/blog/similarity-functions-for-user-user-collaborative-filtering/>
- Ekstrand, M. D., Riedl, J., & Konstan, J. A. (2011). Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems. *Foundations and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(2), 81–173.
- Ekstrand, M. D., & Willemsen, M. C. (2016). Behaviorism is Not Enough: Better Recommendations through Listening to Users. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems - RecSys '16*, (pp. 221–224). Boston, Massachusetts: ACM Press.
- Elder-Vass, D. (2015). Does Google give gifts? URL <https://eldervass.com/2015/10/does-google-give-gifts-2/>
- Elder-Vass, D. (2016). *Profit and gift in the digital economy*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Elish, M. C., & boyd, d. (2018). Situating methods in the magic of Big Data and AI. *Communication Monographs*, 85(1), 57–80.
- Elmer, G. (2004). *Profiling machines: Mapping the personal information economy*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (2011). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes*. Chicago guides to writing, editing, and publishing. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ensmenger, N. (2014). When good software goes bad. In *MICE (Mistakes, Ignorance, Contingency, and Error) Conference*. Fürstenfeldbruck: TU München. URL <http://homes.sice.indiana.edu/nensmeng/files/ensmenger-mice.pdf>

- Eriksson, M., Fleischer, R., Johansson, A., Snickars, P., & Vonderau, P. (2019). *Spotify teardown: inside the black box of streaming music*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Eriksson, M., & Johansson, A. (2017). Keep Smiling: Time, Functionality and Intimacy in Spotify's Featured Playlists. *Cultural Analysis*, 16(1), 67–82.
- Eslami, M., Karahalios, K., Sandvig, C., Vaccaro, K., Rickman, A., Hamilton, K., & Kirlik, A. (2016). First I like it, then I hide it: Folk Theories of Social Feeds. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, (pp. 2371–2382). San Jose: ACM.
- Espeland, W., & Sauder, M. (2007). Rankings and Reactivity: How Public Measures Recreate Social Worlds. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(1), 1–40.
- Espeland, W. N., & Stevens, M. L. (1998). Commensuration as a Social Process. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24(1), 313–343.
- Espeland, W. N., & Stevens, M. L. (2008). A Sociology of Quantification. *European Journal of Sociology*, 49(03), 401–436.
- Fisher, E., & Mehozay, Y. (2019). How algorithms see their audience: media epistemes and the changing conception of the individual. *Media, Culture & Society*, 41(8), 1176–1191.
- Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter Bubbles, Echo Chambers, and Online News Consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(S1), 298–320.
- Fortunati, L. (1995). *The arcane of reproduction: housework, prostitution, labor and capital*. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Autonomedia.
- Fourcade, M. (2016a). Earmarking, Matching and Social Order. URL <http://www.booksandideas.net/Twenty-Years-After-The-Social-Meaning-of-Money.html>
- Fourcade, M. (2016b). Ordinalization: Lewis A. Coser Memorial Award for Theoretical Agenda Setting 2014. *Sociological Theory*, 34(3), 175–195.
- Fourcade, M., & Healy, K. (2016). Seeing like a market. *Socio-Economic Review*, 15(1), 9–29.
- Fourcade, M., & Healy, K. (2017a). Categories All the Way Down. *Historical Social Research*, 42(1), 286–296.

- Fourcade, M., & Healy, K. (2017b). Classification Situations: Life-Chances in the Neoliberal Era. *Historical Social Research*, 42(1), 23–51.
- Fourcade, M., & Klutetz, D. N. (2020). A Maussian bargain: Accumulation by gift in the digital economy. *Big Data & Society*, 7(1), 1–16.
- Fuchs, C. (2014). *Digital labour and Karl Marx*. New York: Routledge/Taylor & Francis.
- Gabriel, Y., & Lang, T. (2015). *The unmanageable consumer*. London/Thousand Oaks: SAGE.
- Gabrilovich, E., & Markovitch, S. (2007). Computing semantic relatedness using Wikipedia-based explicit semantic analysis. In *Proceedings of the 20th international joint conference on Artificial intelligence, IJCAI'07*, (pp. 1606–1611). San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Gandy, O. H. (1993). *The Panoptic Sort: A Political Economy of Personal Information*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Gell, A. (1996). Vogel's net: Traps as artworks and artworks as traps. *Journal of Material Culture*, 1(1), 15–38.
- Gerlitz, C. (2017). Data Point Critique. In M. T. Schäfer, & K. Van Es (Eds.) *The Datafied Society - Studying Culture Through Data*, (pp. 241–244). Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Gerlitz, C., & Helmond, A. (2013). The like economy: Social buttons and the data-intensive web. *New Media & Society*, 15(8), 1348–1365.
- Gillespie, T. (2010). The politics of »platforms.« *New Media & Society*, 12(3), 347–364.
- Gillespie, T. (2012). Can an Algorithm be Wrong? *Limn*, 1(2). URL <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/ojk9k4hj>
- Gillespie, T. (2014). The Relevance of Algorithms. In T. Gillespie, P. J. Boczkowski, & K. A. Foot (Eds.) *Media Technologies*, (pp. 167–194). Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Gillespie, T. (2016). Algorithm. In B. Peters (Ed.) *Digital keywords: a vocabulary of information society and culture*, (pp. 18–30). Princeton/Oxford: Princeton University Press.

- Gillespie, T. (2017). #trendingistrending. Wenn Algorithmen zu Kultur werden. In R. Seyfert, & J. Roberge (Eds.) *Algorithmenkulturen. Über die rechnerische Konstruktion der Wirklichkeit.*, (pp. 75–106). Bielefeld: transcript.
- Gillespie, T., & Seaver, N. (2015). Critical Algorithm Studies: a Reading List. URL <https://socialmediacollective.org/reading-lists/critical-algorithm-studies/>
- Gitelman, L. (Ed.) (2013). *Raw data is an oxymoron*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Gitelman, L., & Jackson, V. (2013). Introduction. In L. Gitelman (Ed.) *Raw data is an oxymoron*, (pp. 1–14). Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Gladwell, M. (1999). The Science of the Sleeper. URL <https://web.archive.org/web/20140219174614/http://gladwell.com/the-science-of-the-sleeper/>
- Goldberg, D., Nichols, D., Oki, B. M., & Terry, D. (1992). Using collaborative filtering to weave an information tapestry. *Communications of the ACM*, 35(12), 61–70.
- Graeber, D. (2001). *Toward an anthropological theory of value: the false coin of our own dreams*. New York: Palgrave.
- Graeber, D. (2011). *Debt: the first 5,000 years*. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Melville House.
- Graeber, D. (2015). *The utopia of rules: on technology, stupidity, and the secret joys of bureaucracy*. Brooklyn/London: Melville House.
- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481–510.
- Gregg, M. (2015). The Gift that Is Not Given. In T. Boellstorff, & B. Maurer (Eds.) *Data: now bigger and better!*, (pp. 47–66). Chicago, IL: Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Gugerli, D. (2018). *Wie die Welt in den Computer kam: zur Entstehung digitaler Wirklichkeit*. S. Fischer Geschichte. Frankfurt am Main: S. Fischer.
- Hacking, I. (2001). *The social construction of what?.* Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

- Hallinan, B., & Striphos, T. (2016). Recommended for you: The Netflix Prize and the production of algorithmic culture. *New Media & Society*, 18(1), 117–137.
- Haraway, D. (1988). Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies*, 14(3), 575–599.
- Hargittai, E., & Marwick, A. (2016). What Can I Really Do? Explaining the Privacy Paradox with Online Apathy. *International Journal of Communication*, 10, 3737–3757.
- Hargittai, E., Neuman, W. R., & Curry, O. (2012). Taming the Information Tide: Perceptions of Information Overload in the American Home. *The Information Society*, 28(3), 161–173.
- Hargittai, E., & Shaw, A. (2015). Mind the skills gap: the role of Internet know-how and gender in differentiated contributions to Wikipedia. *Information, Communication & Society*, 18(4), 424–442.
- Harper, C. (2009). The Daily Me. URL <https://web.archive.org/web/20090328114708/http://www.ajr.org/Article.asp?id=268>
- Hart, K. (2001). Money in an unequal world. *Anthropological Theory*, 1(3), 307–330.
- Hart, K. (2005). *The hitman's dilemma: or, business, personal and impersonal*. Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Harvey, D. (2003). *The New Imperialism*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Heintz, B. (2010). Numerische Differenz. Überlegungen zu einer Soziologie des (quantitativen) Vergleichs / Numerical Difference. Toward a Sociology of (Quantitative) Comparisons. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 39(3), 162–181.
- Heintz, B. (2016). »Wir leben im Zeitalter der Vergleichung.« Perspektiven einer Soziologie des Vergleichs. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 45(5), 305–323.
- Heintz, B. (2018). Von der Allmacht der Zahlen und der Allgegenwart des Bewertens. *Soziologische Revue*, 41(4), 629–642.

- Heintz, B. (2019). Vom Komparativ zum Superlativ. In S. Nicolae, M. Endreß, O. Berli, & D. Bischur (Eds.) (*Be*)*Werten. Beiträge zur sozialen Konstruktion von Wertigkeit*, Soziologie des Wertens und Bewertens, (pp. 45–79). Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Heintz, B. (2021). Big Observation – Ein Vergleich moderner Beobachtungsformate am Beispiel von amtlicher Statistik und Recommendersystemen. *Sonderheft Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, 73(Suppl 1), 137–167.
- Helmond, A. (2015). The Platformization of the Web: Making Web Data Platform Ready. *Social Media + Society*, 1(2), 1–11.
- Herlocker, J. L., Konstan, J. A., Borchers, A., & Riedl, J. (1999). An algorithmic framework for performing collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the 22nd annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, (pp. 230–237). ACM.
- Herrman, J. (2019). How TikTok Is Rewriting the World. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/03/10/style/what-is-tik-tok.html>
- Hine, C. (2017). From Virtual Ethnography to the Embedded, Embodied, Everyday Internet. In L. Hjorth, H. A. Horst, A. Galloway, & G. Bell (Eds.) *The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography*, (pp. 21–28). London: Routledge.
- Holbrook, M. B., & Hulbert, J. M. (2002). Elegy on the death of marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*, 36(5/6), 706–732.
- Holson, L. M. (2009). Putting a Bolder Face on Google. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/01/business/01marissa.html>
- Hulsey, N., & Reeves, J. (2014). The Gift that Keeps on Giving: Google, Ingress, and the Gift of Surveillance. *Surveillance & Society*, 12(3), 389–400.
- Hwang, T. (2020). *Subprime attention crisis: advertising and the time bomb at the heart of the Internet*. New York: FSG Originals.
- Häußling, R. (2019). *Techniksoziologie: eine Einführung*. Leverkusen-Opladen: Verlag Barbara Budrich.

- Introna, L. D. (2007). Maintaining the reversibility of foldings: Making the ethics (politics) of information technology visible. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 9(1), 11–25.
- Iyengar, S. S., & Lepper, M. R. (2000). When choice is demotivating: Can one desire too much of a good thing? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(6), 995–1006.
- Jannach, D., Zanker, M., Felfernig, A., & Friedrich, G. (2011). *Recommender systems: an introduction*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Jarrett, K. (2014). The Relevance of »Women's Work«: Social Reproduction and Immaterial Labor in Digital Media. *Television & New Media*, 15(1), 14–29.
- Jarrett, K. (2015). »Let's Express Our Friendship by Sending Each Other Funny Links instead of Actually Talking«. In K. Hillis, S. Paasonen, & M. Petit (Eds.) *Networked Affect*, (pp. 203–219). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Jehan, T., & DesRoches, D. (2014). The Echo Nest Analyzer Documentation. URL https://web.archive.org/web/20180716163205/http://docs.echonest.com.s3-website-us-east-1.amazonaws.com/_static/AnalyzeDocumentation.pdf
- Just, N., & Latzer, M. (2017). Governance by algorithms: Reality construction by algorithmic selection on the Internet. *Media, Culture & Society*, 39(2), 238–258.
- Kallinikos, J., & Alaimo, C. (2019). Recommender System as a mediating technology of organization. In T. Beyes, R. Holt, & C. Pias (Eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Media, Technology, and Organization Studies*, (pp. 400–411). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kant, T. (2020). *Making it personal: algorithmic personalization, identity, and everyday life*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Karpik, L. (2010). *Valuing the unique: the economics of singularities*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kent, W. (2012). *Data and reality a timeless perspective on perceiving and managing information in our imprecise world*. Westfield: Technics publications.
- Keshet, Y. (2011). Classification systems in the light of sociology of knowledge. *Journal of Documentation*, 67(1), 144–158.

- Kirchner, S., & Beyer, J. (2016). Die Plattformlogik als digitale Marktordnung
Wie die Digitalisierung Kopplungen von Unternehmen löst und Märkte transformiert. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 45(5), 324–339.
- Kitchin, R. (2014). *The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures & Their Consequences*. London: SAGE.
- Kitchin, R. (2016). Thinking critically about and researching algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), 14–29.
- Kockelman, P. (2013). The anthropology of an equation. Sieves, spam filters, agentive algorithms, and ontologies of transformation. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(3), 33–61.
- Konstan, J. (2012). Recommender Systems: The Power of Personalization with Joseph Konstan. URL <https://learning.acm.org/techtalks/recommender>
- Kopytoff, I. (1986). The cultural biography of things: commoditization as process. In A. Appadurai (Ed.) *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*, (pp. 64–92). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kornberger, M., Bowker, G. C., Elyachar, J., Mennicken, A., Miller, P., Nucho, J., & Pollock, N. (Eds.) (2019). *Thinking infrastructures*. Bingley: Emerald Publishing.
- Kornberger, M., Pflueger, D., & Mouritsen, J. (2017). Evaluative infrastructures: Accounting for platform organization. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 60, 79–95.
- Kotler, P. (2003). *Marketing insights from A to Z: 80 concepts every manager needs to know*. Hoboken, N.J: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2006). *Marketing management*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Krämer, S., Kogge, W., & Grube, G. (Eds.) (2007). *Spur: Spurenlesen als Orientierungstechnik und Wissenskunst*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Lamere, P. (2008). Social Tagging and Music Information Retrieval. *Journal of New Music Research*, 37(2), 101–114.
- Lamere, P. ([o.D.]a). The Eternal Jukebox. URL https://www.eternalboxmirror.xyz/jukebox_index.html

- Lamere, P. ([o.D.]b). Sort Your Music. URL <http://sortyourmusic.playlistmachinery.com/>
- Lampland, M., & Star, S. L. (Eds.) (2009). *Standards and their stories: how quantifying, classifying, and formalizing practices shape everyday life*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Langley, P., & Leyshon, A. (2017). Platform capitalism: The intermediation and capitalization of digital economic circulation. *Finance and Society*, 3(1), 11–31.
- Lanier, J. (2014). *Who owns the future?*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Lanier, J. (2018). *Ten arguments for deleting your social media accounts right now*. New York: Random House.
- Latour, B. (2011). Drawing Things Together. In M. Dodge, R. Kitchin, & C. Perkins (Eds.) *The Map Reader*, (pp. 65–72). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Latour, B., & Callon, M. (1997). Tu ne calculeras pas! Ou comment symétriser le don et le capital («Thou shall not calculate!» or How To Symmetricalize Gift and Capital). *La Revue du M.A.U.S.S.*, 9(1), 45–70. URL <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/downloads/P-71%20CAPITALISME-MAUSS-GB.pdf>
- Lauer, J. (2020). Plastic surveillance: Payment cards and the history of transactional data, 1888 to present. *Big Data & Society*, 7(1), 1–14.
- Law, J. (2009). Seeing Like a Survey. *Cultural Sociology*, 3(2), 239–256.
- Lee, F., Bier, J., Christensen, J., Engelmann, L., Helgesson, C.-F., & Williams, R. (2019). Algorithms as folding: Reframing the analytical focus. *Big Data & Society*, 6(2), 1–12.
- Leonelli, S. (2019). Data — from objects to assets. *Nature*, 574(7778), 317–320.
- Levy, D. M. (2008). Information Overload. In K. E. Himma, & H. T. Tavani (Eds.) *The Handbook of Information and Computer Ethics*, (pp. 497–515). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Levy, K. (2013). Relational Big Data. *Stanford Law Review*, 66, 73–79.

- Lien, M. (2000). Imagined Cuisines: »Nation« and »Market« as Organizing Structures in Norwegian Food Marketing. In M. Lowe, D. Miller, F. Mort, & P. Jackson (Eds.) *Commercial Cultures: Economies, Practices, Spaces*, (pp. 153–173). Oxford: Berg.
- Livant, B. (2008). I'll Make You an Offer You Can't Refuse. In B. Ollman, & T. Smith (Eds.) *Dialectics for the New Century*, (pp. 83–84). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Lops, P., de Gemmis, M., & Semeraro, G. (2011). Content-based Recommender Systems: State of the Art and Trends. In F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira, & P. B. Kantor (Eds.) *Recommender Systems Handbook*, (pp. 73–106). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Lotan, G. (2011). Data Reveals That »Occupying« Twitter Trending Topics is Harder Than it Looks! | SocialFlow Blog. URL <https://web.archive.org/web/2011014095400/http://blog.socialflow.com/post/7120244374/data-reveals-that-occupying-twitter-trending-topics-is-harder-than-it-looks>
- Loukissas, Y. A. (2019). *All data are local: thinking critically in a data-driven society*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Lupton, D. (2014). Liquid metaphors for Big Data seek to familiarise technology. URL <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2014/07/11/philosophy-of-data-science-series-deborah-lupton/>
- Lupton, D. (2016). *The quantified self: a sociology of self-tracking*. Cambridge, UK: Polity.
- Lury, C., & Day, S. (2019). Algorithmic Personalization as a Mode of Individuation. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 36(2), 17–37.
- Lury, C., Parisi, L., & Terranova, T. (2012). Introduction: The Becoming Topological of Culture. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 29(4-5), 3–35.
- Lynch, M. (1992). From the 'Will to Theory' to the Discursive Collage: A Reply to Bloor's' Left and Right Wittgensteinians'. In A. Pickering (Ed.) *Science as Practice and Culture*, (pp. 283–300). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lyon, D. (2003). Surveillance as Social Sorting: Computer Codes and Mobile Bodies. In D. Lyon (Ed.) *Surveillance as social sorting: privacy, risk, and digital discrimination*, (pp. 13–30). London/New York: Routledge.

- Machlup, F. (1972). *The production and distribution of knowledge in the United States*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Mackenzie, A. (2017). *Machine learners: archaeology of a data practice*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Mackenzie, A. (2018). Personalization and probabilities: Impersonal propensities in online grocery shopping. *Big Data & Society*, 5(1), 1–15.
- MacKenzie, D. A. (2006). *An engine, not a camera: how financial models shape markets*. Inside technology. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Madrigal, A. C. (2014). How Netflix Reverse-Engineered Hollywood. *The Atlantic*. URL <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2014/01/how-netflix-reverse-engineered-hollywood/282679/>
- Mai, J.-E. (2016). Big data privacy: The datafication of personal information. *The Information Society*, 32(3), 192–199.
- Marcus, G. E. (2010). *Ethnography through thick and thin*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Marr, B. (2018). What Is A Data Lake? A Super-Simple Explanation For Anyone. *Forbes*. URL <https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2018/08/27/what-is-a-data-lake-a-super-simple-explanation-for-anyone/>
- Marres, N. (2017). *Digital sociology: the reinvention of social research*. Malden, MA: Polity.
- Marwick, A. (2014). How Your Data Are Being Deeply Mined. *The New York Review of Books*, January Issue, 8.
- Marx, K. (2018). *Das Kapital: Ungekürzte Ausgabe nach der zweiten Auflage von 1872, mit einem Geleitwort von Karl Kosch aus dem Jahre 1932*. Köln: Anaconda Verlag.
- Mason, J. (2002). *Qualitative researching*. London; Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Maurer, B. (2013). Transacting ontologies: Kockelman's sieves and a Bayesian anthropology. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(3), 63–75.
- Maurer, B. (2015). Principles of Descent and Alliance for Big Data. In T. Boellstorff, & B. Maurer (Eds.) *Data: now bigger and better!*, (pp. 67–86). Chicago, IL: Prickly Paradigm Press.

- Mauss, M. (1923). Essai sur le don. Forme et raison de l'échange dans les sociétés archaïques. *L'Année sociologique*, 1, 30–186. URL <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27883721>
- Mauss, M. (1990a). *Die Gabe. Form und Funktion des Austauschs in archaischen Gesellschaften*. Frankfurt a.M.: Suhrkamp.
- Mauss, M. (1990b). *The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies*. London: Routledge.
- Mayer-Schönberger, V., & Cukier, K. (2013). *Big data: A revolution that will transform how we live, work, and think*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Mayntz, R. (2017). Zählen – Messen – Entscheiden. Wissen im politischen Prozess. In *MPIfG Discussion Paper 17/12*, vol. 12, (pp. 1–19). Köln: Max-Planck-Institut für Gesellschaftsforschung.
- McKelvey, F., & Hunt, R. (2019). Discoverability: Toward a Definition of Content Discovery Through Platforms. *Social Media + Society*, 5(1), 1–15.
- McLean, A. (2017). Algorave – algorithmic dance culture. URL https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nAGjTYa95HM&ab_channel=TEDxTalks
- Meier, F., Peetz, T., & Waibel, D. (2016). Bewertungskonstellationen. Theoretische Überlegungen zur Soziologie der Bewertung. *Berliner Journal für Soziologie*, 26(3-4), 307–328.
- Mennicken, A., & Espeland, W. N. (2019). What's New with Numbers? Sociological Approaches to the Study of Quantification. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 45(1), 223–245.
- Miller, D. (2000). The Fame of Trinis: Websites as Traps. *Journal of Material Culture*, 5(1), 5–24.
- Miller, D. (2016). *How the world changed social media*. London: UCL Press.
- Miller, E. (2009). How Not To Sort By Average Rating. URL <https://www.evannmiller.org/how-not-to-sort-by-average-rating.html>
- Milner, G. (2019). They Really Don't Make Music Like They Used To. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/02/07/opinion/what-these-grammy-songs-tell-us-about-the-loudness-wars.html>

- Moor, L., & Lury, C. (2018). Price and the person: markets, discrimination, and personhood. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 11(6), 501–513.
- Morozov, E. (2012). The Death of the Cyberflâneur. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/05/opinion/sunday/the-death-of-the-cyberflaneur.html>
- Morris, J., & Murray, S. (Eds.) (2018). *Appified: Culture in the Age of Apps*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Morris, J. W. (2015). Curation by code: Infomediaries and the data mining of taste. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 18(4-5), 446–463.
- Morris, J. W., & Powers, D. (2015). Control, curation and musical experience in streaming music services. *Creative Industries Journal*, 8(2), 106–122.
- Muniesa, F., Millo, Y., & Callon, M. (2007). An Introduction to Market Devices. *The Sociological Review*, 55(2), 1–12.
- Munroe, R. (2009). Reddit's new comment sorting system. URL <http://redditblog.blogspot.com/2009/10/reddits-new-comment-sorting-system.html>
- Mützel, S., Saner, P., & Unternährer, M. (2018). Schöne Daten! Konstruktion und Verarbeitung von digitalen Daten. In B. Prietl, & D. Houben (Eds.) *Datengesellschaft: Einsichten in die Datafizierung des Sozialen*, (pp. 111–132). Bielefeld: Transcript.
- Nafus, D., & Sherman, J. (2014). Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication*, 8, 1784–1794.
- Napoli, P. M. (2012). Audience Evolution and the Future of Audience Research. *International Journal on Media Management*, 14(2), 79–97.
- Narayanan, A. (2023). Twitter showed us its algorithm. What does it tell us? URL <http://knightcolumbia.org/blog/twitter-showed-us-its-algorithm-what-does-it-tell-us>
- Neff, G., & Nafus, D. (2016). *Self-tracking*. The MIT Press essential knowledge series. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Negroponce, N. (1996). *Being digital*. New York, NY: Vintage Books.

- Nelms, T. C. (2014). Immersion: Four Beginnings for an Anthropology of Big Data. URL <https://medium.com/@tnelms/immersion-4c103c0fcac5>
- Neuman, W. R. (2019). Three guys walk into a bar: an information theoretic analysis. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(2), 193–212.
- Nissenbaum, H. (2011). A Contextual Approach to Privacy Online. *Daedalus*, 140(4).
- Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: how search engines reinforce racism*. New York: New York University Press.
- Noyes, J. M., & Thomas, P. J. (1995). Information overload: An overview. In *Proc. IEE Colloquium »Information Overload«*, vol. 95/223.
- Oliver, M. (2020). »You're not welcome«: rap's racial divide in France. *The Guardian*. URL <https://www.theguardian.com/music/2020/apr/22/rap-music-racial-divide-france>
- O'Reilly, K. (2005). *Ethnographic methods*. London; New York: Routledge.
- Oremus, W. (2018). It's Time to Stop Saying »If You're Not Paying, You're the Product«. *Slate Magazine*. URL <https://slate.com/technology/2018/04/are-you-really-facebooks-product-the-history-of-a-dangerous-idea.html>
- Orlikowski, W. J., & Scott, S. V. (2014). What Happens When Evaluation Goes Online? Exploring Apparatuses of Valuation in the Travel Sector. *Organization Science*, 25(3), 868–891.
- Paasonen, S. (2016). Fickle focus: Distraction, affect and the production of value in social media. *First Monday*, 21(10). URL <https://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/6949>
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. London: Penguin UK.
- Passoth, J.-H., Sutter, T., & Wehner, J. (2014). The Quantified Listener: Reshaping Providers and Audiences with Calculated Measurements. In A. Hepp, & F. Krotz (Eds.) *Mediatized Worlds: Culture and Society in a Media Age*, (pp. 271–287). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Paßmann, J., & Gerlitz, C. (2014). »Good« platform-political reasons for »bad« platform-data. Zur sozio-technischen Geschichte der Plattformaktivitäten Fav, Retweet und Like. *Mediale Kontrolle unter Beobachtung*, 3.1, 1–40.
- Pentland, A. (2014). *Social Physics: How Social Networks Can Make Us Smarter*. New York: Penguin.
- Peters, I., & Stock, W. G. (2008). Folksonomy and information retrieval. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 44(1), 1–28.
- Pinch, T. J. (2012). Book Reviewing for Amazon.com - How Socio-technical Systems Struggle to Make Less from More. In Czarniawska (Ed.) *Managing Overflow in Affluent Societies*, (pp. 68–87). London: Routledge.
- Pinch, T. J., & Bijker, W. E. (1984). The social construction of facts and artefacts: or how the sociology of science and the sociology of technology might benefit each other. *Social Studies of Science*, 14(3), 399–441.
- Pink, S., Horst, H. A., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., & Tacchi, J. (Eds.) (2016). *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Pink, S., Lanzeni, D., & Horst, H. (2018). Data anxieties: Finding trust in everyday digital mess. *Big Data & Society*, 5(1), 1–14.
- Plantin, J.-C., Lagoze, C., Edwards, P. N., & Sandvig, C. (2017). Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 293–310.
- Pomerantz, J. (2015). *Metadata*. The MIT Press essential knowledge series. Cambridge, Massachusetts; London, England: The MIT Press.
- Porter, T. M. (2001). *Trust in Numbers: the Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Prey, R. (2016). Musica analytica: the datafication of listening. In R. Nowak, & A. Whelan (Eds.) *Networked Music Cultures*, Pop music, culture and identity, (pp. 31–48). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Prey, R. (2018). Nothing personal: algorithmic individuation on music streaming platforms. *Media, Culture & Society*, 40(7), 1086–1100.

- Pridmore, J. (2010). Reflexive marketing: The cultural circuit of loyalty programs. *Identity in the Information Society*, 3(3), 565–581.
- Pridmore, J. (2012). Consumer surveillance. In K. Ball, K. Haggerty, & D. Lyon (Eds.) *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*, (pp. 321–329). London: Routledge.
- Pridmore, J. (2013). Collaborative surveillance: Configuring contemporary marketing practice. In K. Ball, & L. Snider (Eds.) *The Surveillance-Industrial Complex - A Political Economy of Surveillance*, (pp. 107–122). London: Routledge.
- Pridmore, J., & Hämäläinen, L. E. (2017). Market Segmentation in (In)Action: Marketing and »Yet to Be Installed« Role of Big and Social Media Data. *Historical Social Research*, 42(1), 103–122.
- Pridmore, J., & Zwick, D. (2011). Editorial - Marketing and the Rise of Commercial Consumer Surveillance. *Surveillance & Society*, 8(3), 269–277.
- Provost, F., & Fawcett, T. (2013). *Data science for business: what you need to know about data mining and data-analytic thinking*. Beijing: O'Reilly.
- Puschmann, C., & Burgess, J. (2014). Big Data, Big Questions - Metaphors of Big Data. *International Journal of Communication*, 8, 1690–1709.
- Reddit (2010). Everyone on team reddit would like to raise a toast to jedberg and the missus, in celebration of their embarkation into the exhilaration that is married life! URL www.reddit.com/r/blog/comments/d14xg/everyone_on_team_reddit_would_like_to_raise_a/
- Reddit (2015). Reddit in 2015. URL <https://redditblog.com/2015/12/31/reddit-in-2015/>
- Ricci, F., Rokach, L., Shapira, B., & Kantor, P. B. (Eds.) (2011). *Recommender Systems Handbook*. Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Ridenour, L. N., & Brown, G. W. (1953). The Processing of Information-Containing Documents. In *Proceedings of the Western Computer Conference*, (pp. 80–95). Los Angeles.
- Rieder, B. (2020). *Engines of order a mechanology of algorithmic techniques*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

- Riedl, J., & Konstan, J. (2002). *Word of mouse: the marketing power of collaborative filtering*. New York: Warner Books.
- Ritzer, G., & Jurgenson, N. (2010). Production, Consumption, Prosumption: The nature of capitalism in the age of the digital »prosumer«. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 10(1), 13–36.
- Roberge, J., & Melançon, L. (2017). Being the King Kong of algorithmic culture is a tough job after all: Google's regimes of justification and the meanings of Glass. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 23(3), 306–324.
- Rogers, R. (2009). Post-Demographic Machines. In A. Dekker, & A. Wolfsberger (Eds.) *Walled Garden*, (pp. 29–39). Amsterdam: Virtuell Platform.
- Romele, A., & Severo, M. (2016). The Economy of the Digital Gift: From Socialism to Sociality Online. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 33(5), 43–63.
- Rona-Tas, A. (2017). The Off-Label Use of Consumer Credit Ratings. *Historical Social Research / Historische Sozialforschung*, 42(1 (159)), 52–76.
- Rosenberg, D. (2003). Early Modern Information Overload. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 64(1), 1–9.
- Rosenberg, D. (2013). Data Before the Fact. In L. Gitelman (Ed.) *Raw data is an oxymoron*, (pp. 15–40). Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Rouvroy, A. (2013). The end(s) of critique : data-behaviourism vs. due-process. In M. Hildebrandt, & K. De Vries (Eds.) *Privacy, Due Process and the Computational Turn - The Philosophy of Law Meets the Philosophy of Technology*, (pp. 143–168). New York: Routledge.
- Ruckenstein, M., & Granroth, J. (2019). Algorithms, advertising and the intimacy of surveillance. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 13(1), 12–24.
- Rudder, C. (2014). *Dataclysm: love, sex, race, and identity– what our online lives tell us about our offline selves*. New York: Crown.
- Ruppert, E. (2012). Seeing population: census and surveillance by numbers. In K. Ball, K. Haggerty, & D. Lyon (Eds.) *Routledge International Handbook of Surveillance Studies*, (pp. 209–216). London: Routledge.

- Sadowski, J. (2019). When data is capital: Datafication, accumulation, and extraction. *Big Data & Society*, 6(1), 1–12.
- Salihendic, A. (2015). How Hacker News ranking algorithm works. URL <https://medium.com/hacking-and-gonzo/how-hacker-news-ranking-algorithm-works-1d9bocf2c08d>
- Salton, G., Wong, A., & Yang, C.-S. (1975). A vector space model for automatic indexing. *Communications of the ACM*, 18(11), 613–620.
- Sandvig, C. (2014). Corrupt Personalization. URL <https://socialmediacollective.org/2014/06/26/corrupt-personalization/>
- Schein, A. I., Popescul, A., Ungar, L. H., & Pennock, D. M. (2002). Methods and Metrics for Cold-start Recommendations. In *Proceedings of the 25th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '02, (pp. 253–260). New York: ACM.
- Schröter, J. (2004). 8448 verschiedene Jeans: Zur Wahl und Selektion im Internet. In F. Balke, G. Schwerin, & U. Stäheli (Eds.) *Paradoxien der Entscheidung: Wahl/Selektion in Kunst, Literatur und Medien*, (pp. 117–138). Bielefeld: transcript.
- Schutt, R., & O'Neil, C. (2013). *Doing data science*. Beijing/Sebastopol: O'Reilly.
- Schwab, K. (2011). Personal Data: The Emergence of a New Asset Class. Tech. rep., World Economic Forum. URL <https://www.weforum.org/reports/personal-data-emergence-new-asset-class/>
- Schäfer, M. T., & Van Es, K. (2017). *The datafied society: Studying culture through data*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Schüll, N. D. (2012). *Addiction by design: machine gambling in Las Vegas*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Seaver, N. (2012). Algorithmic Recommendations and Synaptic Functions. *Limn*, 2. URL <https://limn.it/articles/algorithmic-recommendations-and-synaptic-functions/>
- Seaver, N. (2015). The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37(7), 1101–1109.

- Seaver, N. (2017). Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*, 4(2), 1–12.
- Seaver, N. (2018). Captivating algorithms: Recommender systems as traps. *Journal of Material Culture*, 24(4), 1–16.
- Seaver, N. (2019). Knowing Algorithms. In J. Vertesi, D. Ribes, C. DiSalvo, Y. Loukissas, L. Forlano, D. K. Rosner, S. J. Jackson, & H. R. Shell (Eds.) *digitalSTS: A Field Guide for Science & Technology Studies*, (pp. 412–422). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Shardanand, U., & Maes, P. (1995). Social information filtering: algorithms for automating “word of mouth”. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems - CHI '95*, (pp. 210–217). Denver: ACM Press.
- Shenk, D. (1997). *Data smog: surviving the information glut*. San Francisco: Harper Edge.
- Simmel, G. (1903). Die Grossstädte und das Geistesleben. In T. Petermann (Ed.) *Die Grossstadt. Vorträge und Aufsätze zur Städteausstellung*, Band 9, (pp. 185–206). Dresden: v. Zahn & Jaensch.
- Simsion, G. C. (2007). *Data Modeling: theory and Practice*. Bradley Beach: Technics Publications.
- Simsion, G. C. (2013). *Data Modeling Essentials*. London/New York: Elsevier.
- Singhal, A., Buckley, C., & Mitra, M. (2017). Pivoted Document Length Normalization. *ACM SIGIR Forum*, 51(2), 176–184.
- Smythe, D. W. (1977). Communications: Blindspot of Western Marxism. *Canadian Journal of Political and Social Theory*, 1(3), 1–27.
- Snickars, P. (2016). More music is better music. In P. Wikström, & R. DeFillipi (Eds.) *Business Innovation and Disruption in the Music Industry*, (pp. 191–210). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Solove, D. J. (2008). *Understanding privacy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Solsman, J. E. (2018). Ever get caught in an unexpected hourlong YouTube binge? Thank YouTube AI for that. URL <https://www.cnet.com/news/youtube-ces-2018-neal-mohan/>
- Spotify Community (2013). How does the numbers work on Popular songs by an artist? URL <https://community.spotify.com/t5/Desktop-Windows/How-does-the-numbers-work-on-Popular-songs-by-an-artist/m-p/557352#M8057>
- Spärck Jones, K. (1972). A Statistical Interpretation of Term Specificity and Its Application in Retrieval. *Journal of Documentation*, 28(1), 11–21.
- Srnicek, N. (2017). *Platform capitalism*. Theory redux. Cambridge, UK ; Malden, MA: Polity.
- Stalder, F. (2016). *Kultur der Digitalität*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Stanley, A. J., & Clipsham, P. S. (1997). Information overload - myth or reality? In *IEE Colloquium on IT Strategies for Information Overload*, (pp. 1–4). London: IEE.
- Star, S. L. (1999). The Ethnography of Infrastructure. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(3), 377–391.
- Sterne, J. (2012). *MP3: the meaning of a format*. Sign, storage, transmission. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Stäheli, U. (2016). Indexing – The politics of invisibility. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 34(1), 14–29.
- Sunstein, C. (2017). *#Republic*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Republic.com 2.0*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Swift, B., Sorensen, A., Martin, M., & Gardner, H. (2014). Coding livecoding. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '14*, (pp. 1021–1024). New York: Association for Computing Machinery.
- Tanweer, A., Fiore-Gartland, B., & Aragon, C. (2016). Impediment to insight to innovation: understanding data assemblages through the breakdown–repair process. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(6), 736–752.

- Tedlow, R. S. (1996). *New and improved: the story of mass marketing in America*. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press.
- Terranova, T. (2000). Free Labor: Producing Culture for the Digital Economy. *Social Text*, 18(2), 33–58.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Nudge: improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Thatcher, J., O'Sullivan, D., & Mahmoudi, D. (2016). Data colonialism through accumulation by dispossession: New metaphors for daily data. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 34(6), 990–1006.
- The Onion (2014). Netflix Introduces New »Browse Endlessly« Plan. URL <https://www.theonion.com/netflix-introduces-new-browse-endlessly-plan-1819595604>
- Thomas, N. (1991). *Entangled objects: exchange, material culture, and colonialism in the Pacific*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Thurman, N., & Schifferes, S. (2012). The Future of Personalization at News Websites: Lessons from a longitudinal study. *Journalism Studies*, 13(5-6), 775–790.
- Tolentino, J. (2019). How TikTok Holds Our Attention. *The New Yorker*. URL <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2019/09/30/how-tiktok-holds-our-attention>
- Tsing, A. L. (2013). Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(1), 21–43.
- Tsing, A. L. (2015). Salvage Accumulation, or the Structural Effects of Capitalist Generativity. URL <https://culanth.org/fieldsights/salvage-accumulation-or-the-structural-effects-of-capitalist-generativity>
- Tsing, A. L. (2018). *Der Pilz am Ende der Welt: über das Leben in den Ruinen des Kapitalismus*. Berlin: Matthes & Seitz.
- Tufekci, Z. (2014). Big Questions for Social Media Big Data: Representativeness, Validity and Other Methodological Pitfalls. *ICWSM '14: Proceedings of the 8th International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, 14, 505–514.

- Tufekci, Z. (2017). *Twitter and tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press.
- Tufekci, Z. (2018). YouTube, the Great Radicalizer. *The New York Times*. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/10/opinion/sunday/youtube-politics-radical.html>
- Turow, J. (2000). Segmenting, Signalling and Tailoring: Probing the Dark Side of Target Marketing. In R. Anderson, & K. Strate (Eds.) *Critical studies in media commercialism*, (pp. 239–249). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Turow, J. (2003). *Breaking up America: advertisers and the new media world*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Turow, J. (2008). *Niche envy: marketing discrimination in the digital age*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Turow, J. (2010). Segment-Making and Society-Making Media: What Is a Good Balance? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(5), 6928–6936.
- Turow, J. (2017). Personalization. In L. Ouellett, & J. Gray (Eds.) *Keywords in Media Studies*, (pp. 135–137). New York: NYU Press.
- Turow, J., & Draper, N. (2014). Industry Conceptions of Audience in the Digital Space. *Cultural Studies*, 28(4), 643–656.
- Turow, J., Hennessy, M., & Draper, N. (2015). The Tradeoff Fallacy: How Marketers Are Misrepresenting American Consumers and Opening Them Up to Exploitation. Tech. rep., Annenberg School for Communication. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2820060>
- Unternährer, M. (2016). Selbstquantifizierung als numerische Form der Selbstthematization. In S. Duttweiler, R. Gugutzer, J.-H. Passoth, & J. Strübing (Eds.) *Leben nach Zahlen: Self-Tracking als Optimierungsprojekt?*, vol. 10 of *Digitale Gesellschaft*, (pp. 201–220). Bielefeld: transcript.
- Unternährer, M. (2020a). Pop die Bubble Bubble. *Megafon*, 455, 1–2.
- Unternährer, M. (2020b). »user item item user« - Zur Vergleichspraxis von Recommender Systemen. In H. Bennani, M. Bühler, S. Cramer, & A. Glaußer (Eds.) *Global beobachten und vergleichen: Soziologische Analysen zur Weltgesellschaft*, (pp. 381–408). Frankfurt/New York: transcript.

- Unternährer, M. (2021). Die Ordnung der Empfehlung. *KZfSS Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, 73(Suppl 1), 397–423.
- Vaidhyanathan, S. (2011). *The Googlization of everything: and why we should worry*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Van Couvering, E. (2007). Is Relevance Relevant? Market, Science, and War: Discourses of Search Engine Quality. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(3), 866–887.
- Van Dijck, J. (2014). Datafication, dataism and dataveillance: Big Data between scientific paradigm and ideology. *Surveillance & Society*, 12(2), 197–208.
- Van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & Waal, M. d. (2018). *The Platform Society: Public Values in a Connective World*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Vatin, F. (2013). Valuation as Evaluating and Valorizing. *Valuation Studies*, 1(1), 31–50.
- Wang, Y., & Kosinski, M. (2018). Deep neural networks are more accurate than humans at detecting sexual orientation from facial images. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 114(2), 246–257.
- Wehner, J. (2010). Numerische Inklusion – Wie die Medien ihr Publikum beobachten. In T. Sutter, & A. Mehler (Eds.) *Medienwandel als Wandel von Interaktionsformen*, (pp. 183–210). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Weinberger, D. (2007). *Everything is miscellaneous: The power of the new digital disorder*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- West, S. M. (2017). Data capitalism: Redefining the logics of surveillance and privacy. *Business & Society*, 58(1), 20–41.
- Willson, M. (2017). Algorithms (and the) everyday. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), 137–150.
- Yeung, K. (2017). »Hypernudge«: Big Data as a mode of regulation by design. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), 118–136.
- Zelizer, V. (2006). Money, Power, and Sex. *Yale Journal of Law and Feminism*, 18(1), 303–315.

- Zelizer, V. A. (1994). *The social meaning of money*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Zelizer, V. A. (2011). *Economic Lives*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Zelizer, V. A. (2012). How I Became a Relational Economic Sociologist and What Does That Mean? *Politics & Society*, 40(2), 145–174.
- Zerubavel, E. (1996). Lumping and splitting: Notes on social classification. *Sociological Forum*, 11(3), 421–433.
- Ziewitz, M. (2016). Governing Algorithms: Myth, Mess, and Methods. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 41(1), 3–16.
- Ziewitz, M. (2017). A not quite random walk: Experimenting with the ethnomethods of the algorithm. *Big Data & Society*, 4(2), 1–13.
- Zittrain, J. (2006). A History of Online Gatekeeping. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*, 19(2), 253–298.
- Zuboff, S. (2015). Big other: surveillance capitalism and the prospects of an information civilization. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30(1), 75–89.
- Zuboff, S. (2018). *Das Zeitalter des Überwachungskapitalismus*. Frankfurt/New York: Campus Verlag.
- Zwick, D., Bonsu, S. K., & Darmody, A. (2008). Putting Consumers to Work: »Co-creation« and new marketing govern-mentality. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 8(2), 163–196.
- Zwick, D., & Denegri Knott, J. (2009). Manufacturing Customers: The database as new means of production. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 9(2), 221–247.

