

Robert Belot

Memory and Heritage as Geopolitical Actors and Markers



Nomos

Robert Belot

Memory and Heritage as Geopolitical Actors and Markers



Nomos

The support of the European Commission for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of its contents, which reflects only the views of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein

With the support of the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

ISBN 978-3-7560-2259-5 (Print)
978-3-7489-4911-4 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2024

© The Authors

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden
www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-2259-5 (Print)

ISBN 978-3-7489-4911-4 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748949114>



Online Version
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution
– Non Commercial – No Derivations 4.0 International License.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Presentation The Polysemy and Mutability of Heritage	11
1 Monumentality and European geopolitics in the 19th century	27
The city that saved the honour of France deserves a monumental tribute	28
A politically correct lion: Bravery over revenge	30
A divisive and arduous subscription	33
The geopolitical context in Europe deprives the Lion of an inauguration	35
After the offence of the inauguration, the insolence of the courts	38
Would the barely erected Lion fall to ruin?	40
The Lion reclaimed by nationalists	44
Modern artists mock the Lion and reject 'official' heritage.	47
Conclusion: The Monumental abuse	50
2 An 'absurd prodigy' turned hyper-monument: the Eiffel Tower	53
Primitive inspiration: The technical revolution of the Statue of Liberty	54
Engineer art	57
New 'artificial landscapes'	60
A temporary installation to highlight the 1889 World Fair	61
Bourgeois culture saw the Tower as a symbol of the 'barbaric' power of industry	63
The dispute between the Ancients and the Moderns	67
The Eiffel Tower as a spiritual threat to the Nation	69
The Tower became the muse of modern painters and poets 'weary of the old world'	71

'Should the Eiffel Tower be knocked down?' A look back at a survey from 1929	75
Conclusion	78
3 France's unwanted gift to America: the Statue of Liberty	81
The French liberals' myth of Franco-American friendship	83
Idealisation of the 'great Republic' under the Second Empire	86
The American dream to forget France's defeat by Prussia	89
Was America banking on a German Europe?	92
The difficulties of implementing history's first bi-national 'fund-raising' campaign	94
Indifference and ingratitude across the Atlantic	98
An unwanted gift	101
America threatened with 'eternal shame' and the French press disgusted	103
The contemporary view of the meaning of the Statue of Liberty	106
Conclusion: Popularity won at the cost of forgetting the work's original meaning	109
4 'War memorials, is that what the dead would have wanted?'	115
Revolutionary Trade Unionist Culture and Pacifist Propaganda	117
'War memorials: is that what the dead would have wanted?'	122
The "Poilus" died for 'interests and a cause that was not their own'	124
A source of 'shame': The endless deliberations over the Saint-Étienne War Memorial	127
Constructing War Heritage Outside Public Spaces	130
Conflicts between monument committees and municipal councils	133
Religious Symbols: A Source of Conflict	135
'Politicians don't understand the word "Fatherland"'	138
Conclusion	141

5	Hiroshima. How to Create Heritage from the ‘Promethean shame’?	143
	Western Indifference	145
	Geopolitics and Metaphysics of Fear and Shame	149
	Creating Heritage as Redemption	154
	Revisionism and Memory Wars	163
	Conclusion	166
6	Controversy over UNESCO World Heritage List: Le Corbusier	169
	The ‘venom’ of ‘memorial correctness	170
	Reconsidering Le Corbusier's past	172
	Le Corbusier, ‘the dishonest Architect’	178
	Disregard for the academic ethos	181
	Le Corbusier ‘a notorious fascist and collaborator’?	185
	Demystifying the demystifiers	188
	The dangers of a decontextualised memory	192
7	The UNESCO World Heritage ‘black’ list: Uses and misuses	199
	How to define ‘threats’ and ‘danger’?	200
	How to assess? The role of UNESCO's partner institutions and their limitations	204
	Why enter the ‘black’ list, and how to be removed from it?	209
	How to avoid the blacklist? Political manoeuvring	214
	The ‘blacklist’, a symbolic and geopolitical weapon?	217
	Conclusion	221
8	The Charles de Gaulle’s Appeal of 18 June 1940 as a ‘lieu de mémoire’	223
	June 1940: Winston Churchill welcomes Charles de Gaulle to London	224
	General de Gaulle’s Appeal of 18 June 1940 at the BBC was not recorded	228

A (Self-)Censured Text?	231
Can AI reproduce the truth?	235
Conclusion	238
9 Is a museum of European history possible? Heritage and European ‘narrative identity’	241
The European Union's powerlessness to define the cultural ‘identity’ of Europe	243
The challenge of creating a unitas multiplex heritage	249
Can cultural heritage embody ‘the common value of Europe’?	254
The challenge of the European narrative: The House of European History in Brussels	258
10 Did Europeans steal non-European heritage?	265
Reclaiming heritage as a guarantee of authenticity and identity	266
The Quai Branly Museum of Primitive Art, an untimely emergence	269
The Declaration of Ouagadougou and the new topoi: ‘spoliation’	273
The statue of ‘Champollion’ in the courtyard of the Collège de France	275
Conclusion: The paradox of reclaiming heritage	278
11 Russia and Ukraine: The Battle of memory and historical Heritage	281
An example of historical ‘gaslighting’	282
Ukraine’s ‘Memorial Building’	284
Cultural war and battle for origins	286
A divisive ‘common literary and cultural heritage’	289
Putin: ‘de-Nazifier’ of Ukraine’s memory	293
Conclusion	296

12 Memory activism, resilience and reconciliation	299
‘Resilience’ as a marker of a change in the relationship to memory	300
The turn of the 1970s and the beginning of the ‘era of the victim’	304
Turning violence, injustice, and loss into heritage to ward off pain	309
Ruins as heritage-relics and involuntary monument	313
The analgesic virtues of heritage action	316
How to escape alibi and placebo heritage?	320
The restitution of cultural property as reparation	323
Demolition as ‘deconditioning’ of the public memorial space	327
Conclusion	334

