

Bibliography

- Aguilar Alvarez, G. and Park, W., *The new face of investment arbitration: NAFTA Chapter 11*, 28 *The Yale Journal of International Law* (2003), pp. 365-407
- Ala'ï, P., *Judicial lobbying at the WTO – the debate over the use of amicus curiae briefs and the U.S. experience*, 24 *Fordham International Law Journal* (2000), pp. 62-94
- Alberti, C., *Iura novit curia in international commercial arbitration*, in: S. Kröll/L. Mistelis/P. Perales Viscasillas/V. Rogers (Eds.), *International arbitration and international commercial law – liber amicorum Eric Bergsten*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2011, pp. 3-32
- Aldrich, G., *The jurisprudence of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1996
- Alford, R., *Reflections on US-Zeroing: a study in judicial overreaching by the WTO Appellate Body (2006-07)*, 45 *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law* (2006), pp. 196-220
- Almqvist, J., *The accessibility of European Integration Courts from an NGO perspective*, in: T. Treves/M. Frigessi di Rattalma et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 271-291
- Alston, P., *The 'not-a-cat' syndrome: can the international human rights regime accommodate non-state actors?*, in: P. Alston (Ed.), *Non-state actors and human rights*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2005, pp. 3-36
- Amerasinghe, C., *Evidence in international litigation*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2005
- Andersen, S., *Administration of evidence in WTO dispute settlement proceedings*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 177-189
- Anderson, D., *Article 84*, in: P. Chandrasekhara Rao/P. Gautier (Eds.), *The Rules of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: a commentary*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2006
- Angell, E., *The amicus curiae – American development of English institutions*, 16 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (1967), pp. 1017-1044
- Antonietti, A., *The 2006 amendments to the ICSID Rules and Regulations and the Additional Facility Rules*, 21 *ICSID Review* (2006), pp. 427-448
- Appleton, A., *Shrimp/turtle: untangling the nets*, 2 *Journal of International Economic Law* (1999), pp. 477-496
- Appleton, A., *Amicus curiae submissions in the Carbon Steel Case: another rabbit from the Appellate Body's hat?*, 3 *Journal of International Economic Law* (2000), pp. 691-699
- Appleton, A., *Transparency, amicus curiae briefs and third party rights, discussion session*, 5 *Journal of World Investment and Trade* (2004), pp. 341-348

- Ascensio, H., *L'amicus curiae devant les juridictions internationales*, 105 *Revue générale de droit international public* (2001), pp. 897-930
- Asteriti, A. and Tams, C., *Transparency and representation of the public interest in investment treaty arbitration*, in: S. Schill (Ed.), *International investment law and comparative public law*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010, pp. 787-816
- Atik, J., *Legitimacy, transparency and NGO participation in the NAFTA Chapter 11 process*, in: T. Weiler (Ed.), *NAFTA investment law and arbitration: past issues, current practice, future prospects*, Transnational Publishers, Ardsley, New York 2004, pp. 135-150
- Aust, A., *Modern treaty law and practice*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2000
- Balasko, A., *Causes de la nullité de la sentence arbitrale en droit international public*, Pedone, Paris 1938
- Balcerzak, F., *Jurisdiction of tribunals in investor-state arbitration and the issue of human rights*, 29 *ICSID Review* (2014), pp. 216-230
- Banifatemi, Y., *The law applicable in investment treaty arbitration*, in: K. Yannaca-Small (Ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements: a guide to the key issues*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010, pp. 191-210
- Banner, S., *The myth of the neutral amicus: American courts and their friends 1790-1890*, 20 *Constitutional Commentary* (2003), pp. 111-130
- Barbier de La Serre, E. and Lavedan, M., *Une leçon de la Cour sur l'ampleur de l'amitié: la Commission amicus curiae et les juridictions nationales*, 21 *Révue Lamy de la Concurrence: droit, économie, régulation*, pp. 68-71
- Barker, L., *Third parties in litigation: a systematic view of the judicial function*, 29 *The Journal of Politics* (1967), pp. 41-69
- Bartholomeusz, L., *The amicus curiae before international courts and tribunals*, 5 *Non-State Actors and International Law* (2005), pp. 209-286
- Bastin, L., *The amicus curiae in investor-state arbitration*, 1 *Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law* (2012), pp. 208-234
- Bazán, V., *Amicus curiae, transparencia del debate judicial y debido proceso*, *Anuario de Derecho Constitucional Latinoamericano* 2004, pp. 251-280
- Beharry, C. and Kuritzky, M., *Going green: managing the environment through international investment arbitration*, 30 *American University International Law Review* (2015), pp. 383-429
- Bellhouse, J. and Lavers, A., *The modern amicus curiae: a role in arbitration?*, 23 *Civil Justice Quarterly* (2004), pp. 187-200
- Bennaim-Selvi, O., *Third parties in international investment arbitrations: a trend in motion*, 6 *Journal of World Investment and Trade* (2005), pp. 773-807
- Benzing, M., *Das Beweisrecht vor internationalen Gerichten und Schiedsgerichten in zwischenstaatlichen Streitigkeiten*, Springer, Heidelberg 2010
- Benzing, M., *Community interests in the procedure of international courts and tribunals*, 5 *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* (2006), pp. 369-408

- Bergamini, E., *L'intervento amicus curiae: recenti evoluzioni di uno strumento di common law fra Unione europea e Corte europea dei diritti dell'uomo*, 42 *Diritto comunitario e degli scambi internazionali* (2003), pp. 177-188
- Berger, A., *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Roman Law*, Vol. 43, American Philosophical Society 1968
- Bergman, N., *Transparency of the proceedings and third party participation*, in C. Giorgetti (Ed.), *Litigating international investment disputes: a practitioner's guide*, Leiden 2014, pp. 375-410
- Bermann, G., *Navigating EU law and the law of international arbitration*, 28 *Arbitration International* (2012), pp. 397-445
- Bernhardt, R., Institut de Droit International, *Judicial and arbitral settlement of disputes involving more than two states*, Session 11 (Berlin), 68 *Institute of International Law Annuaire* (1999)
- Bernhardt, R., *Evolutionary treaty interpretation, especially of the European Convention on Human Rights*, 42 *German Yearbook of International Law* (1999), pp. 11-25
- Bianchi, A. (Ed.), *Non-state actors and international law*, Ashgate, Farnham 2009
- Biehler, G., *Procedures in international law*, Springer, Berlin 2008
- Bjorklund, A., *The participation of amici curiae in NAFTA Chapter Eleven Cases*, 2002, at: <http://www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/as-sets/pdfs/participate-e.pdf> (last visited: 5.4.2015)
- Bjorklund, A., *Applicable law in international investment disputes*, in: C. Giorgetti (Ed.), *Litigating international investment disputes – a practitioner's guide*, Leiden et al. 2014, pp. 261-286
- Blackaby, N. and Richard, C., *Amicus curiae: a panacea for legitimacy in investment arbitration?*, in: M. Waibel et al. (Eds.), *The backlash against investment arbitration: perceptions and reality*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2010, pp. 253-274
- Blumel, E., *Overcoming NGO accountability concerns in international governance*, 31 *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* (2005), pp. 139-206
- Bogdan, M., *General principles of law and the problem of lacunae in the law of nations*, 46 *Nordisk Tidsskrift Internasjonal Ret* (1977), pp. 37-53
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., *The World Bank Inspection Panel: about public participation and dispute settlement*, in: T. Treves/M. Frigessi di Rattalma et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 187-203
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., *Transparency and amicus curiae briefs*, 5 *Journal of World Investment and Trade* (2004), pp. 333-336
- Boisson de Chazournes, L. and Mbengue, M., *The amici curiae and the WTO dispute settlement system: the doors are open*, 2 *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* (2003), pp. 205-248
- Bolton, J., *Should we take global governance seriously?*, 1 *Chicago Journal of International Law* (2000), pp. 205-221

- Born, G., *International arbitration: law and practice*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2012
- Bowett, D., *Contemporary developments in legal techniques in the settlement of disputes*, 180 *Receuil des Cours* (1983-II), pp. 169-235
- Boyle, A., *Dispute settlement and the Law of the Sea Convention: problems of fragmentation and jurisdiction*, 46 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (1997), pp. 37-54
- Bretton-Le Goff, G., *NGOs' perspectives on non-state actors*, in: J. d'Aspremont (Ed.), *Participants in the international legal system: multiple perspectives on non-state actors in international law*, Routledge, London et al. 2011, pp. 248-266
- Brower, C., *Obstacles and pathways to consideration of the public interest in investment treaty disputes*, in: K. Sauvant (Ed.), *Yearbook on international investment law & policy*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2008-2009, pp. 347-378
- Brower, C., *Structure, legitimacy and NAFTA's investment chapter*, 36 *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* (2003), pp. 37-94
- Brower, C., *The anatomy of fact-finding before international tribunals: an analysis and a proposal concerning the evaluation of evidence*, in: R. Lillich (Ed.), *Fact-finding by international tribunals: eleventh Sokol colloquium*, Transnational Publishers, Ardsley-on-Hudson 1992, pp. 147-151
- Brown, C., *A common law of international adjudication*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2007
- Brown, C., *The cross-fertilization of principles relating to procedure and remedies in the jurisprudence of international courts and tribunals*, 30 *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review* (2008), pp. 219-245
- Brown, C., *Bringing sustainable development issues before investment treaty tribunals*, in: M.-C. Cordonier Segger/M. Gehring et al. (Eds.), *Sustainable development in world investment law*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan Rijn 2011, pp. 171-188
- Brown, L. and Jacobs, F., *The Court of Justice of the European Communities* 3rd Ed., Sweet and Maxwell, London 1989
- Brownlie, I., *Principles of public international law*, Oxford University Press, 6th Ed. Oxford 2003
- Brownlie, I., *The individual before tribunals exercising international justice*, 11 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (1962), pp. 701-720
- Brühwiler, C., *Amicus curiae in the WTO dispute settlement procedure: a developing country's foe?*, 60 *Aussenwirtschaft* (2005), pp. 347-396
- Brus, M., *Third party dispute settlement in an interdependent world: developing a theoretical framework*, Nijhoff, Dordrecht et al. 1995
- Buergenthal, T., *Advisory practice of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, 79 *American Journal of International Law* (1985), pp. 1-27
- Buergenthal, T., Shelton, D. and Stewart, D., *International human rights in a nutshell*, 4th Ed., West, St. Paul 2009

- Burghardt, B., *Die Rechtsvergleichung in der völkerstrafrechtlichen Rechtsprechung*, in: S. Beck/C. Burchard/B. Fateh-Moghadam (Eds.), *Strafrechtsvergleichung als Problem und Lösung*, Nomos, Baden-Baden 2011, pp. 235-254
- Burgstaller, M., *European law and investment treaties*, 26 *Journal of International Arbitration* (2009), pp. 181-216
- Bürli, N., *Amicus curiae as a means to reinforce the legitimacy of the European Court of Human Rights*, in: S. Flogaitis et al. (Eds.), *The European Court of Human Rights and its discontents*, Elgar, Cheltenham et al. 2013, pp. 135-146
- Caldeira, G. and Wright, J., *Amici curiae before the Supreme Court: who participates, when and how much?*, 32 *Journal of Politics* (1996), pp. 782-806
- Callewaert, J., *The judgments of the court: background and content*, in: R. Macdonald/F. Matscher/H. Petzold (Eds.), *The European system for the protection of human rights*, Nijhoff, Dordrecht 1993, pp. 713-731
- Cançado Trindade, A., *The operation of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, in: D. Harris/S. Livingstone (Eds.), *The Inter-American system of human rights*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1998, pp. 133-149
- Cançado Trindade, A., *The emancipation of the individual from his own state: the historical recovery of the human person as subject of the law of nations*, in: S. Breitenmoser et al. (Eds.), *Human rights, democracy and the rule of law – liber amicorum Luzius Wildhaber*, Dike Verlag, Zürich et al. 2007, pp. 151-171
- Cançado Trindade, A., *The right of access to justice in the inter-American system of human rights protection*, 17 *Italian Yearbook of International Law* (2007), pp. 7-24
- Canivet, G., *L'organisation de la Cour de cassation favorise-t-elle l'élaboration de sa jurisprudence?*, in: N. Molfessis (Ed.), *La Cour de cassation et l'élaboration du droit*, Economica, Paris 2004, p. 3
- Cappelletti, M., *Giudici legislatori?*, Milan 1984
- Carvajal Isunza, G. and Gonzalez Rojas, F., *Evidentiary issues in NAFTA Chapter 11 arbitration: searching for the truth between states and investors*, in: T. Weiler (Ed.), *NAFTA investment law and arbitration: past issues, current practice, future prospects*, Transnational Publishers, New York 2004, pp. 287-312
- Cassel, J., *Enforcing environmental human rights: selected strategies of U.S. NGOs*, 6 *Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights* (2007), pp. 104-127
- Center for International Environmental Law, *Protecting the public interest in international dispute settlement: the amicus curiae phenomenon*, 2009, at: http://www.ciel.org/Publications/Protecting_ACP_Dec09.pdf (last visited: 29.8.2017)
- Chandrasekhara Rao, P. and Gautier, P. (Eds.), *The Rules of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: a commentary*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2006
- Charney, J., *The impact on the international legal system of the growth of international courts and tribunals*, 31 *NYU Journal of International Law and Politics* (1999), pp. 697-708
- Charney, J., *Is international law threatened by multiple international tribunals?*, 271 *Receuil des Cours* (1998), pp. 101-382

- Charnovitz, S., *Participation of nongovernmental organizations in the World Trade Organization*, 17 University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law (1996), pp. 331-357
- Charnovitz, S., *Nongovernmental organizations and international law*, 100 American Journal of International Law (2006), pp. 348-372
- Charnovitz, S., *Opening the WTO to nongovernmental interests*, 24 Fordham International Law Journal (2000), pp. 173-216
- Chen, P., *The information role of amici curiae briefs in Gonzalez v. Raich*, 31 Southern Illinois University Law Journal (2007), pp. 217-241
- Cheng, B., *General principles of law as applied by international courts and tribunals*, Stevens, London 1953
- Chinkin, C., *Third parties in international law*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1993
- Chinkin, C., *Article 63*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice: a commentary*, 2nd Ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Chinkin, C. and Mackenzie, R., *International organizations as 'friends of the court'*, in: L. Boisson de Chazournes et al. (Eds.), *International organizations and international dispute settlement: trends and prospects*, Transnational Publishers, Ardsley 2002, pp. 295-311
- Choudhury, B., *Recapturing public power: is investment arbitration's engagement of the public interest contributing to the democratic deficit?*, 41 Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law (2008), pp. 775-832
- Clark, D., *Use of the amicus curiae brief in American judicial procedure in comparative perspective*, 80 RabelsZ (2016), pp. 327-371
- Clark, P. and Morrison, P., *Key procedural issues: transparency*, 32 International Lawyer (1998), pp. 851-862
- Coe, J., *Transparency in the resolution of investor-state disputes – adoption, adaptation, and NAFTA leadership*, 54 Kansas Law Review (2006), pp. 1339-1385
- Cohen Smutny, A., *Investment treaty arbitration and commercial arbitration: are they different ball games? The actual conduct*, in: A. van den Berg (Ed.), *50 years New York Convention*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2009, pp. 167-177
- Coleman, M. and Williams, K., *South Africa's bilateral investment treaties, black economic empowerment and mining: a fragmented meeting?*, 9 Business Law International (2008), pp. 56-94
- Collins, P., *Friends of the court: examining the influence of amicus curiae participation in US Supreme Court litigation*, 38 Law & Society Review (2004), pp. 807-832
- Collins, P. and Martinek, W., *Amicus participation in the US Court of Appeals*, paper prepared for delivery at the 81st Annual Meeting of the Southern Political Science Association, Atlanta, USA, 2010 (on file)
- Coslin, C. and Lapillonne, D., *France and the concept of amicus curiae: what lies ahead?*, 4 Paris International Litigation Bulletin (2012), pp. 14-15

- Cossy, M., *Panels' consultations with scientific experts: the right to seek information under Article 13 of the DSU*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 204-220
- Couveur, P., *Le règlement juridictionnel*, in: L. Lucchini (Ed.), *Le processus de délimitation maritime: étude d'un cas fictif: Colloque international, Monaco, 27 au 29 mars 2003*, Institut du Droit Économique de la Mer, Pedone, Paris 2004, pp. 349-388
- Covelli, N., *Member intervention in World Trade Organization dispute settlement proceedings after "EC-Sardines": the rules, jurisprudence, and controversy*, 37 *Journal of World Trade* (2003), pp. 673-690
- Covey, F., *Amicus curiae: friend of the court*, 9 *De Paul Law Review* (1968-1969), pp. 30-37
- Crawford, J. and Marks, S., *The global democracy deficit: an essay on international law and its limits*, in: D. Archibugi/D. Held/M. Köhler (Eds.), *Re-imagining political community*, Stanford University Press, Stanford 1998, pp. 72-90
- Crema, L., *Tracking the origins and testing the fairness of the instruments of fairness: amici curiae in international litigation*, Jean Monnet Working Paper 09/12, 2012
- Crema, L., *Investor rights and well-being: remarks on the interpretation of investment treaties in light of other rights*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Foreign investment, international law and common concerns*, Routledge, London 2013, pp. 50-70
- Croley, S. and Jackson, J., *WTO dispute procedures, standard of review, and deference to national governments*, 90 *American Journal of International Law* (1996), pp. 193-213
- Crook, J., *Consilium principis – imperial councils and counsellors from Augustus to Diocletian*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1955
- Cutler, C., *Critical reflections on the Westphalian assumptions of international law and organization: a crisis of legitimacy*, in: A. Bianchi (Ed.), *Non-state actors and international law*, Ashgate, Farnham 2009, pp. 19-36
- Damrosch, L. (Ed.), *The International Court of Justice at a crossroads*, Transnational Publishers, Dobbs Ferry 1987
- Daniel, T., *Expert evidence before the ICJ*, Paper presented at the Third Bi-Annual Conference of ABLOS 2003, at: http://www.iho.int/mtg_docs/com_wg/ABLOS/ABLOS_Conf3/PAPER1-3.PDF (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Davidson, S., *The Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, Aldershot, Dartmouth 1992
- De Brabandere, E., *Non-state actors in international dispute settlement: pragmatism in international law*, in: J. d'Aspremont (Ed.), *Participants in the international legal system: multiple perspectives on non-state actors in international law*, Routledge, London et al. 2011, pp. 342-359
- De Brabandere, E., *NGOs and the „public interest“ – the legality and rationale of amicus curiae interventions in international economic and investment disputes*, 12 *Chinese Journal of International Law* (2011), pp. 85-113
- De Cesari, P., *NGOs and the activities of the ad hoc criminal tribunals for former Yugoslavia and Rwanda*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 113-119

- De Palma, A., *NAFTA's powerful little secret: Obscure tribunals settle disputes, but go too far, critics say*, The New York Times, 11 March 2001, at: <http://www.nytimes.com/2001/03/11/business/nafta-s-powerful-little-secret-obscure-tribunals-settle-disputes-but-go-too-far.html> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- De Piérola y Balta, N. and Loayza Tamayo, C., *Los Informes de Amici Curiae Ante La Corte Interamericana de Derechos Humanos*, 12 Anuario de derecho internacional (1996), pp. 451-487
- De Schutter, O., *Sur l'émergence de la société civile en droit international: le rôle des associations devant la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme*, 7 European Journal of International Law (1996), pp. 372-410
- Del Vecchio, A., *International courts and tribunals, standing*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law online*, Oxford University Press, New York, last updated 2010
- Delbez, L., *Les principes généraux du contentieux international*, Pichon et Durand-Auzias, Paris 1962
- Dolidze, A., *The Arctic Sunrise and NGOs in international judicial proceedings*, 18 ASIL Insight (2014), at: <https://www.asil.org/insights/volume/18/issue/1/arctic-sunrise-and-ngos-international-judicial-proceedings> (last visited: 28.9.2017).
- Dolidze, A., *Making international property law: the role of amici curiae in international judicial decision-making*, 40 Syracuse Journal of International and Comparative Law (2013), pp. 119-153
- Dolidze, A., *Bridging comparative and international law: amicus curiae as a vertical legal transplant*, 26 European Journal of International Law (2016), pp. 851-880
- Dolle, T., *Streitbeilegung im Rahmen von Freihandelsabkommen*, Baden-Baden 2015.
- Dumberry, P., *The admissibility of amicus curiae briefs by NGOs in investor-states arbitration*, 1 Non-state actors and international law (2001), pp. 201-214
- Dunoff, J., *The misguided debate over NGO participation at the WTO*, 4 Journal of International Economic Law (1998), pp. 433-456
- Dupuy, P., *Unification rather than fragmentation of international law? The case of international investment law and human rights law*, in: P. Dupuy et al. (Eds.), *Human rights in international investment law and arbitration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2009, pp. 45-62
- Dupuy, P., *Article 34*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice*, 2nd Ed, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Durling, J. and Hardin, D., *Amicus curiae participation in WTO dispute settlement: reflections on the past decade*, in: R. Yexa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 221-231
- Eastman, Z., *NAFTA's Chapter 11: for whose benefit?*, 16 Journal of International Arbitration (1999), pp. 105-118
- ECCHR, *Human rights inapplicable in international investment arbitration? – A commentary on the non-admissibility of ECCHR and indigenous communities as amici curiae before the ICSID tribunal*, online publication, July 2012, at: <http://www.ecchr.de/worldbank.html> (last visited: 28.9.2017).

- Editors, *Note on amici curiae*, 34 Harvard Law Review (1921), pp. 773-776.
- Ehlermann, C.-D., *Reflections on the Appellate Body of the WTO*, 6 Journal of International Economic Law (2003), pp. 695-708
- Ehlermann, C.-D., *Six years on the bench of the World Trade Court*, 36 Journal of World Trade (2002), pp. 605-639
- Ehring, L., *Public access to dispute settlement hearings in the World Trade Organization*, 11 Journal of International Economic Law (2008), pp. 1-14
- Elias, T., *The limits of the right to intervention in a case before the International Court of Justice*, in: R. Bernhardt et al. (Eds.), *Völkerrecht als Rechtsordnung, internationale Gerichtsbarkeit und Menschenrechte*, Festschrift für Hermann Mosler, Springer, Berlin et al. 1983, pp. 84-99.
- Ennis, B., *Effective amicus briefs*, 33 Catholic University Law Review (1984), pp. 603-609
- Epstein, L., *A comparative analysis of the evolution, rules, and, usage of amicus curiae briefs in the US Supreme Court and in state courts of last resort*, Conference Paper 1989, at: <http://epstein.wustl.edu/research/conferencepapers.1989SWPSA.pdf> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Esty, D., *We the people: civil society and the World Trade Organization*, in: M. Bronckers/R. Quick (Eds.), *New directions in international economic law – essays in honour of John H. Jackson*, Kluwer Law International, The Hague 2000, pp. 87-109
- Evans, D. and de Tarson Pereira, C., *DSU review: a view from the inside*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 251-268
- Farber, D., *When the court has a party, how many 'friends' show up? A note on the statistical distribution of amicus brief filings*, 24 Constitutional Commentary (2007), pp. 19-42
- Fischer, G., *Les rapports entre l'Organisation Internationale du Travail et la Cour permanente de Justice internationale: contribution à l'étude du problème de la séparation des pouvoirs dans le domaine international*, Diss. Geneva, Pedone, Paris 1946
- Fitzmaurice, G., *The law and procedure of the International Court of Justice*, Vol. 2, Grotius, Cambridge 1986
- Forcese, C., *Does the sky fall? NAFTA Chapter 11 dispute settlement and democratic accountability*, 14 Michigan State Journal of International Law (2006), pp. 315-343
- Ford, C., *What are friends for? In NAFTA Chapter 11 disputes, accepting amici would help lift the curtain of secrecy surrounding investor-state arbitrations*, 11 South-western Journal of Law and Trade in the Americas (2005), pp. 207-253
- Foster, C., *Social science experts and amicus curiae briefs in international courts and tribunals: the WTO Biotech Case*, 52 Netherlands International Law Review (2005), pp. 433-459
- Foster, W., *Fact finding and the world court*, 7 Canadian Yearbook of International Law (1969), pp. 150-191
- Franck, S., *Empirically evaluating claims about investment arbitration*, 86 North Carolina Law Review (2007), pp. 1-87

- French, D., *Treaty interpretation and incorporation of extraneous legal rules*, 55 International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2006), pp. 281-314
- Frey, A., *Amici curiae: friends of the court or nuisances?*, 33 Litigation (2006-2007), pp. 5-7
- Frigessi di Rattalma, M., *NGOs before the European Court of Human Rights: beyond amicus curiae participation*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 57-66
- Fry, J. and Repousis, O., *Towards a new world for investor-state arbitration through transparency*, 48 NYU Journal of International Law and Politics (2016), pp. 795-865
- Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y., *The meaning of "and" in Article 42(1), second sentence of the Washington Convention: the role of international law in the choice of law process*, 18 ICSID Review (2003), pp. 375-411
- Gao, H., *Amicus curiae in WTO dispute settlement: theory and practice*, 1 China Rights Forum (2006), pp. 51-57
- Gautier, P., *NGOs and law of the sea disputes*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 233-242
- Gerlich, O., *More than a friend? The European Commission's amicus curiae participation in investor-state arbitration*, in: G. Adinolfi et al. (Eds.), *International economic law*, Springer 2017, pp. 253-269
- Giovannini, T., *International arbitration and iura novit curia*, in: B. Cremades/M. Fernández-Ballesteros (Eds.), *Liber amicorum Bernardo Cremades*, La Ley, Madrid 2010, pp. 495-509
- Gomien, D., Harris, D. and Zwaak, L., *Law and practice of the European Convention on Human Rights and the European Social Charter*, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg 1996
- González-Bueno, C. and Lozano, L., *More than a friend of the court: the evolving role of the European Commission in investor-state arbitration*, Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 26 January 2015, at: <http://kluwerarbitrationblog.com/2015/01/26/more-than-a-friend-of-the-court-the-evolving-role-of-the-european-commission-in-investor-state-arbitration/> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Göttsche, G., *Die Anwendung von Rechtsprinzipien in der Spruchpraxis der WTO-Rechtsmittelinstanz*, Diss. Hamburg 2004, Duncker & Humblot, Berlin 2005
- Gressman, E. et al. (Eds.), *Supreme Court practice*, 9th Ed., BNA Books, Washington 2007
- Gross, L., *Participation of individuals in advisory proceedings before the International Court of Justice: question of equality between the parties*, 52 American Journal of International Law (1958), pp. 16-40
- Gruner, M., *Accounting for the public interest in international arbitration*, 41 Columbia Journal of Transnational Law (2003), pp. 923-964
- Günther, K., *Zulässigkeit und Grenzen der Intervention bei Streitigkeiten vor dem IGH*, 34 Germany Yearbook of International Law (1991), pp. 254-295

- Haines-Ferrari, M., *Mercosur: individual access and the dispute settlement mechanism*, in: J. Cameron/ K. Campbell (Eds.), *Dispute resolution in the World Trade Organization*, Cameron May, London 1998, pp. 270-284
- Happ, R., *Rule 37*, in: R. Schütze (Ed.), *Institutionelle Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Kommentar*, 2nd Ed., Carl Heymanns Verlag, Cologne 2011
- Harlow, C., *Public law and popular justice*, 65 *Modern Law Review* (2002), pp. 1-18
- Harrington, J., *Amici curiae in the federal courts of appeals: how friendly are they?*, 55 *Case Western Reserve Law Review* (2005), pp. 667-699
- Harris, D., O'Boyle, M. and Warbrick, C., *Law of the European Convention on Human Rights*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2009
- Harrison, J., *Human rights arguments in "amicus curiae" submissions: promoting social justice?*, in: P.M. Dupuy/F. Francioni/E.U. Petersmann (Eds.), *Human rights in international investment law and arbitration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2009, pp. 396-421
- Helfer, L. and Slaughter, A., *Toward a theory of effective supranational adjudication*, 107 *Yale Law Journal* (1997), pp. 273-391
- Hernandez, G., *Non-state actors from the perspective of the International Court of Justice*, in: J. d'Aspremont (Ed.), *Participants in the international legal system: multiple perspectives on non-state actors in international law*, Routledge, London 2011, pp. 140-164
- Hess, B. and Wiik, A., *Affected individuals in proceedings before the ICJ, the ITLOS and the ECHR*, in: H. Hestermeyer et al. (Eds.), *Coexistence, cooperation and solidarity – liber amicorum Rüdiger Wolfrum*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2012, pp. 1639-1660
- Higgins, R., *Remedies and the International Court of Justice: an introduction*, in: M. Evans (Ed.), *Remedies in international law*, Hart, Oxford 1998
- Higgins, R., *Respecting sovereign states and running a tight courtroom*, 50 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (2001), pp. 121-132
- Higgins, R., *International courts and tribunals – the challenges ahead*, 7 *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* (2008), pp. 261-264
- Higgins, R., *International law in a changing international system*, 58 *Cambridge Law Journal* (1999), pp. 78-95
- Highet, K., *Evidence and proof of facts*, in: L. Damrosch (Ed.), *The International Court of Justice at a crossroads*, Transnational Publishers, New York 1987, pp. 355-375
- Highet, K., *Evidence, the Court and the Nicaragua case*, 81 *American Journal of International Law* (1987), pp. 1-56
- Hirte, H., *Der amicus-curiae-brief: Das amerikanische Modell und die deutschen Parallelen*, 104 *Zeitschrift für Zivilprozess* (1991), pp. 11-66
- Hitzig, H., *Die Assessoren der römischen Magistrate und Richter*, Ackermann, München 1893
- Hobér, K., *Arbitration involving states*, in: L. Newman/R. Hill (Eds.), *The leading arbitrators' guide to international arbitration*, Juris Publishing, Huntington, New York 2008, Chapter 8

- Hoekman, B. and Howse, R., *European Community–Sugar: subsidization and the World Trade Organization*, Policy Research Working Paper 4336, 2007, at: <http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/pdf/10.1596/1813-9450-4336> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Hollis, D., *Private actors in public international law: amicus curiae and the case for the retention of state sovereignty*, 25 Boston College International and Comparative Law Review (2002), pp. 235-255
- Housman, R., *Symposium: democratizing international trade decision-making*, 27 Cornell International Law Journal (1994), pp. 699-747
- Howse, R., *Membership and its privileges: the WTO, civil society, and the amicus brief controversy*, 9 European Journal of International Law (2003), pp. 496-510
- Howse, R., *Adjudicative legitimacy and treaty interpretation in international trade law: the early years of WTO jurisprudence*, in: J. Weiler (Ed.), *The EU, the WTO and the NAFTA*, Oxford 2000, pp. 35-69
- Howse, R., *How to begin to think about the “democratic deficit at the WTO”*, in: R. Howse (Ed.), *The WTO system: law, politics and legitimacy*, London 2007, pp. 57-75
- Hudson, M., *The Permanent Court of International Justice, 1920-1942: a treatise*, Macmillan Publishers, New York 1943
- Hunter, M. and Barbuk, A., *Procedural aspects of non-disputing party interventions in Chapter 11 arbitrations*, 3 Asper Review of International Business and Trade Law (2003), pp. 151-184
- Ishikawa, T., *Third party participation in investment treaty arbitration*, 59 International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2010), pp. 373-412
- Jackson, J., *The varied policies of international juridical bodies – reflections on theory and practice*, 25 Michigan Journal of International Law (2004), pp. 869-878
- Jagusch S. and Sullivan, J., *A comparison of ICSID and UNCITRAL arbitration: areas of divergence and concern*, in: M. Waibel et al. (Eds.), *The backlash against investment arbitration: perceptions and reality*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2010, pp. 79-109
- Jansen, B., *Die Rolle der Privatwirtschaft im Streitschlichtungsverfahren der WTO*, 3 Zeitschrift für europarechtliche Studien (2000), pp. 293-305
- Jenks, W., *The status of international organizations in relation to the International Court of Justice*, 32 Transactions of the Grotius Society (1946), pp. 1-41
- Jennings, R., *The role of the International Court of Justice*, 68 British Yearbook of International Law (1997), pp. 1-63
- Jennings, R., *International lawyers and the progressive development of international law*, in: J. Makarczyk (Ed.), *Theory of international law at the threshold of the 21st century: essays in honour of Krzysztof Skubiszewski*, Kluwer Law International, The Hague 1996, pp. 413-424
- Jennings, R., *The International Court of Justice after fifty years*, 89 American Journal of International Law (1995), pp. 493-505
- JIEL Editors, *Note by Editors*, 3 Journal of International Economic Law (2000), pp. 701-706

- Jillmann, B., *The access of individuals to international trade dispute settlement*, 13 Journal of International Arbitration (1996), pp. 143-169.
- Jiménez de Arechaga, E., *Intervention under Article 62 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice*, in: R. Bernhardt et al. (Eds.), *Völkerrecht als Rechtsordnung, internationale Gerichtsbarkeit und Menschenrechte, Festschrift für Hermann Mosler*, Springer, Berlin et al. 1983, pp. 453-465
- Johnson, L. and Tuerk, E., *CIEL's experience in WTO dispute settlement: challenges and complexities from a practical point of view*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 243-260
- Jonas, O., *The participation of the amicus curiae institution in human rights litigation in Botswana and South Africa: a tale of two jurisdictions*, 58 Journal of African Law (2015), pp. 329-354
- Jörs, P., *Geschichte und System des römischen Privatrechts*, Springer, Berlin 1927
- Joseph, S., *Democratic deficit, participation and the WTO*, in: S. Joseph/D. Kinley/J. Waincymer, *The World Trade Organization and human rights*, Cheltenham and Northampton 2009, pp. 313-343
- JUSTICE/Public Law Project, *A matter of public interest – Reforming the law and practice on interventions in public interest cases*, 1996, at: <http://booking.public-lawproject.org.uk/old-site/downloads/PublicInterest.pdf> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Karamanian, S., *The place of human rights in investor-state arbitration*, 17 Lewis & Clark Law Review (2013), pp. 423-447
- Kaser, M. and Hackel, K., *Das römische Zivilprozessrecht*, 2nd Ed., C.H. Beck, Munich 1996
- Kaufmann-Kohler, G., *Non-disputing state submissions in investment arbitration: resurgence of diplomatic protection?*, in: L. Boisson de Chazournes et al. (Eds.), *Diplomatic and judicial means of dispute settlement*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2013, pp. 307-326
- Kaufmann-Kohler, G., *Interpretative powers of the Free Trade Commission and the rule of law*, in: E. Gaillard et al. (Eds.), *Fifteen years of NAFTA Chapter 11 arbitration*, Juris Publishing, Inc., New York 2011, pp. 175-194
- Kaufmann-Kohler, G. and Potestà, M., *Can the Mauritius Convention serve as a model for the reform of investor-state arbitration in connection with the introduction of a permanent investment tribunal or an appeal mechanism?*, CIDS Research Paper, 3 June 2016, at: http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/CIDS_Research_Paper_Mauritius.pdf (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Kawharu, A., *Participation of non-governmental organizations in investment arbitration as amici curiae*, in: M. Waibel et al. (Eds.), *The backlash against investment arbitration: perceptions and reality*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2010, pp. 275-295
- Kay, R., *Judicial policy making and the peculiar function of the law*, 40 Connecticut Law Review (2008), pp. 1261-1286
- Kazazi, M. and Shifman, B., *Evidence before international tribunals – introduction*, 1 International Law Forum (1999), pp. 193-196

- Kearney, J. and Merrill, T., *The influence of amicus curiae briefs on the Supreme Court*, 148 University of Pennsylvania Law Review (2000), pp. 743-853
- Kelsen, H., *Principles of international law*, Rinehart & Company, New York 1952
- Kelsen, H., *General theory of law and state*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge 1949
- Kent, A. and Trinidad, J., *International law scholars as amici curiae: an emerging dialogue (of the deaf)?*, 29 Leiden Journal of International Law (2016), pp. 1081-1101
- Keohane, R., *Global governance and democratic accountability*, in: R. Wilkinson (Ed.), *The global governance reader*, Routledge, London 2005, pp. 121-139
- Kessedjian, C., *La nécessité de généraliser l'institution de l'amicus curiae dans le contentieux privé international*, in: H. Mansel et al. (Eds.), *Festschrift für Erik Jayme*, Sellier European Law Publishers, Munich 2004, Vol. I, pp. 403-408
- Kessedjian, C., *Uniformity v. diversity in law in a global world – the example of commercial and procedural law*, 61 Revue hellénique de droit international (2008), pp. 319-333
- Kessedjian, C., *De quelques pistes pour l'encadrement procédural de l'intervention des amici curiae*, 8 European Journal of Law Reform (2006), pp. 29-111
- Kessedjian, C., *Codification du droit commercial international et droit international privé: de la gouvernance pour les relations économiques transnationales*, 300 Recueil des cours (2002), pp. 79-308
- Knahr, C., *Participation of non-state actors in the dispute settlement system of the WTO: benefit or burden?*, Diss. Vienna, 2006, Lang, Frankfurt am Main 2007
- Knahr, C. and Reinisch, A., *Transparency versus confidentiality in international investment arbitration – the Biwater Gauff compromise*, 6 The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals (2007), pp. 97-118
- Kochevar, S., *Amici curiae in civil law jurisdictions*, 122 Yale Law Journal (2013), pp. 1653-1669
- Koepp, J., *Die Intervention im WTO-Streitbeilegungsverfahren, Eine rechtsvergleichende Untersuchung im internationalen Verfahrensrecht*, Diss. Hamburg 2001, Duncker & Humblot, Berlin 2002
- Kokott, J., *Das interamerikanische System zum Schutze der Menschenrechte*, Diss. Heidelberg 1985, Springer, Berlin 1986
- Kokott, J., *Beweislastverteilung und Prognoseentscheidungen bei der Inanspruchnahme von Grund- und Menschenrechten*, Habil. Heidelberg 1992, Springer, Berlin, 1993
- Kolb, R., *General principles of procedural law*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice: a commentary*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Kooijmans, P., *The role of non-state actors and international dispute settlement*, in: W. Heere (Ed.), *From government to governance: the growing impact of non-state actors on the international and European legal system*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2003, pp. 21-27

- Krislov, S., *The amicus curiae brief: from friendship to advocacy*, 72 Yale Law Journal (1963), pp. 694-721
- Krommerdijk, J. and Morijn, J., 'Proportional' by what measure(s)? Balancing investor interests and human rights by way of applying the proportionality principle in investor-state arbitration, in: P.M. Dupuy/F. Francioni/ E.U. Petersmann (Eds.), *Human rights in international investment law and arbitration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2009, pp. 422-451
- Kühne, U., *Amicus Curiae*, Heidelberg 2015
- Kulick, A., *Global public interest in investment treaty arbitration*, Diss. Tübingen, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2012
- Kurkela, M. and Turunen, S., *Due process in international commercial arbitration*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010
- Kuyper, P., *The Appellate Body and the facts*, in: M. Bronckers/R. W. Quick (Eds.), *New directions in international economic law: essays in honour of John H. Jackson*, Kluwer Law International, The Hague 2000, pp. 309-323
- Lacarte, J., *Transparency, public debate and participation by NGOs in the WTO: a WTO perspective*, 7 Journal of International Economic Law (2004), pp. 683-686
- Lachs, M., *Evidence in the procedure of the International Court of Justice: role of the Court*, in: E. Bello/B. Ajibola (Eds.), *Essays in honour of Judge Taslim Olawale Elias*, Nijhoff, Dordrecht 1992, pp. 265-276
- Laidhold, M., *Private party access to the WTO: do recent developments in international trade dispute resolution really give private organizations a voice in the WTO?*, 12 The Transnational Lawyer (1999), pp. 427-450
- Lauterpacht, E., *Principles of procedure in international litigation*, 345 Recueil des Cours (2009), pp. 387-530
- Lauterpacht, H., *The so-called Anglo-American and continental schools of thought in international law*, 12 British Yearbook of International Law (1931), pp. 31-62
- Lauterpacht, H., *The development of international law by the International Court*, Stevens, London 1958
- Lauterpacht, H., *The revision of the Statute of the International Court of Justice*, 1 The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals (2002), pp. 55-128
- Lauterpacht, H., *The absence of an international legislature and the compulsory jurisdiction of international tribunals*, 11 British Yearbook of International Law (1930), pp. 134-157
- Lester, A., *Amici curiae: third-party interventions before the European Court of Human Rights*, in: F. Matscher/H. Petzold (Eds.), *Protecting human rights: the European dimension – studies in honour of Gérard J. Wiarda*, Carl Heymanns Verlag, Cologne 1988, pp. 341-350
- Levine, E., *Amicus curiae in international investment arbitration: the implications of an increase in third-party participation*, 29 Berkeley Journal of International Law (2011), pp. 200-224
- Lew, J., *Iura novit curia and due process*, in: L. Lévy/S. Lazareff (Eds.), *Liber amicorum en l'honneur de Serge Lazareff*, Pedone, Paris 2011, pp. 397-417

- Lim, C. L. , *The amicus brief issue at the WTO*, 4 Chinese Journal of International Law (2005), pp. 85-120
- Lindblom, A., *Non-governmental organisations in international law*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005
- Acosta López, J., *The Cotton Field Case: gender perspective and feminist theories in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights jurisprudence*, 21 Revista Colombiana de Derecho Internacional (2012), pp. 17-54
- Loukis, L., *Standards of proof in proceedings under the European Convention of Human Rights*, in: J. Velu (Ed.), *Présence du droit public et des droits de l'homme, mélanges offerts à Jacques Velu*, Vol. III, Bruylant, Brussels 1992, pp. 1431-1443
- Lowe, V., *The function of litigation in international society*, 61 International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2012), pp. 209-222
- Lowe, V., *Private disputes and the public interest in international law*, in: D. French et al. (Eds.), *International law and dispute settlement: new problems and techniques – liber amicorum John G. Merrills*, Hart, Oxford 2010, pp. 3-16
- Lowman, M., *The litigating amicus curiae: when does the party begin after the friends leave?*, 41 American University Law Review (1992), p. 1243-1299
- Luhmann, N., *Legitimation durch Verfahren*, 2nd Ed., Suhrkamp, Frankfurt a.M. 1989
- Mackenzie, R., *The amicus curiae in international courts: towards common procedural approaches*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 295-311
- Mackenzie, R., Romano, C., Shany, Y. and Sands, P., *Manual on international courts and tribunals*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010
- Macklem, P. and Morgan, E., *Indigenous rights in the Inter-American system: the amicus brief of the Assembly of First Nations in Awas Tingni v. Republic of Nicaragua*, 22 Human Rights Quarterly (2000), pp. 569-602
- Mahoney, P., *Developments in the procedure of the European Court of Human Rights: the revised rules of the court*, 3 Yearbook of European Law (1983), pp. 127-167
- Mantakou, A., *General principles of law and international arbitration*, 58 Revue Helénique de Droit International (2005), pp. 419-434
- Marceau, G., *WTO dispute settlement and human rights*, 13 European Journal of International Law (2002), pp. 753-814
- Marceau, G. and Stilwell, M., *Practical suggestions for amicus curiae briefs before WTO adjudicating bodies*, 4 Journal of International Economic Law (2001), pp. 155-187
- Marshall, F. and Mann, H., *Revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules: good governance and the rules of law: express rules for investor-state arbitrations required*, September 2006, at: http://www.iisd.org/pdf/2006/investment_uncitral_rules_rre_vision.pdf (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Matscher, F., *Überlegungen über die Einführung der "Interpretationsintervention" im Verfahren vor dem Europäischen Gerichtshof für Menschenrechte*, in: H. Miehsler (Ed.), *Ius Humanitatis - Festschrift für Alfred Verdross zum 90. Geburtstag*, Duncker & Humblot, Berlin 1980, pp. 533-546

- Matsushita, M., *Transparency, amicus curiae briefs and third party rights, discussion round*, 5 *Journal of World Investment and Trade* (2004), p. 329-344
- Mavroidis, P., *Amicus curiae briefs before the WTO: much ado about nothing*, in: A. v. Bogdandy et al. (Eds.), *European integration and international coordination: studies in transnational economic law in honour of Claus-Dieter Ehlermann*, Kluwer Law International, The Hague 2002, pp. 317-329 and Harvard Jean Monnet working paper No. 02/01 (2001)
- Mawdsley, A., *Evidence before the International Court of Justice*, in: R. Macdonald (Ed.), *Essays in honour of Wang Tieya*, Nijhoff, Dordrecht 1994, pp. 533-550
- McCann, T., *The American Convention on Human Rights: toward uniform interpretation of human rights law*, 6 *Fordham International Law Journal* (1983), pp. 610-635
- McCorquodale, R., *An inclusive international legal system*, 17 *Leiden Journal of International Law* (2004), pp. 477-504
- McLachlan, C., *The principle of systemic integration and Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention*, 54 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (2005), pp. 279-319
- McRae, D., *What is the future of WTO dispute settlement?*, 7 *Journal of International Economic Law* (2004), pp. 3-21
- Medina, C., *The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: reflections on a joint venture*, 12 *Human Rights Quarterly* (1990), pp. 439-464
- Menaker, A., *Piercing the veil of confidentiality: the recent trend towards greater public participation and transparency in investor-state arbitration*, in: K. Yannaca-Small (Ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements – a guide to the key issues*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010, pp. 129-160
- Mendelson, M., *Debate on transparency, amicus curiae briefs and third party participation*, 5 *Journal of World Investment and Trade* (2004), p. 347
- Meshel, T., *Human rights in investor-state arbitration: the human right to water and beyond*, 6 *Journal of International Dispute Settlement* (2016), pp. 277-307
- Meyer-Ladewig, J., *Kommentar zur europäischen Menschenrechtskonvention*, 3rd Ed., Nomos et al., Baden-Baden et al. 2011
- Mistelis, L., *Confidentiality and third party participation: UPS v. Canada and Methanex Corp. v. United States*, in: T. Weiler (Ed.), *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*, Cameron May, London 2005, pp. 169-199
- Mitchell, A., *Due process in WTO disputes*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement – the first ten years*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 144-160
- Mohamed, A., *Individual and NGO participation in human rights litigation before the African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights: lessons from the European and Inter-American Courts of Human Rights*, 43 *Journal of African Law* (1999), pp. 201-213
- Mohan, S. C., *The amicus curiae: friends no more?*, *Singapore Journal of Legal Studies* (2010), p. 352-374
- Mommsen, T., *Römisches Staatsrecht*, Vol. I, 2nd Ed., Hirzel, Leipzig 1876

- Mommsen, T., *Römisches Staatsrecht*, Vol. II, 3rd Ed., Hirzel, Leipzig 1887
- Moore, T., *Greenpeace case gathers knots at ITLOS*, 22 October 2013, at: <http://www.cdr-news.co.uk/categories/arbitration-and-adr/featured/greenpeace-case-gathers-knots-at-itlos> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Morison, J. and Anthony, G., *The place of public interest*, in: G. Anthony et al (Eds.), *Values in global administrative law*, Hart, Oxford 2011, pp. 215-238
- Mosk, R., *The role of facts in international dispute resolution*, 304 *Receuil des Cours* (2003), pp. 9-179
- Mourre, A., *Are amici curiae the proper response to the public's concerns on transparency in investment arbitration?*, 5 *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* (2006), pp. 257-271
- Moyer, C., *The role of "amicus curiae" in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, in: *la corte interamericana de derechos humanos, estudios y documentos*, San José 1999, pp. 119-133
- Neill, P., *Confidentiality in arbitration*, 12 *Arbitration International* (1996), pp. 287-317
- Newcombe, A. and Paradell, L., *Law and practice of investment treaties – standards of treatment*, Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2009
- Nichols, P., *Extension of standing in World Trade Organization disputes to nongovernment parties*, 17 *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law* (1996), pp. 295-329
- Nolan, M. and Sourgens, F., *Issues of proof of general principles of law in international arbitration*, 3 *World Arbitration and Mediation Review* (2009), pp. 505-532
- Nowicki, M., *NGOs before the European Commission and the Court of Human Rights*, 14 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (1996), pp. 289-302
- Nsour, M., *Fundamental facets of the United States-Jordan Free Trade Agreement: e-commerce, dispute resolution, and beyond*, 27 *Fordham Journal of International Law* (2004), pp. 742-784
- O'Brien, Z., *Did the courts make a new friend? Amicus curiae jurisdiction in Ireland*, 7 *Trinity College Law Review* (2004), pp. 5-28
- Oda, S., *Intervention in the International Court of Justice: Articles 62 and 63 of the Statute*, in: R. Bernhardt et al (Eds.), *Völkerrecht als Rechtsordnung, internationale Gerichtsbarkeit und Menschenrechte, Festschrift für Hermann Mosler*, Springer, Berlin et al. 1983, pp. 629-648
- Oda, S., *The International Court of Justice viewed from the bench (1976-1993)*, 244 *Receuil des Cours* (1993 VII), pp. 9-190
- Odinkalu, C. and Christensen, C., *The African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights: the development of its non-state communication procedures*, 20 *Human Rights Quarterly* (1998), pp. 235-280
- Oellers-Frahm, K., *Die Intervention nach Art. 62 des Statuts des Internationalen Gerichtshofs: Überlegungen anlässlich der Entscheidung des Internationalen Gerichtshofs vom 14. April 1981 über die Intervention Maltas*, 41 *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht* (1981), pp. 579-588

- Oellers-Frahm, K., *Lawmaking through advisory opinions*, 12 German Law Journal (2011), pp. 1033-1056
- Oesch, M., *Standards of review in WTO panel proceedings*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 161-176
- Ölz, M., *Non-governmental organizations in regional human rights systems*, 28 Columbia Human Rights Law Review (1997), pp. 307-374
- Oppermann, T., Classen, C. and Nettesheim, M., *Europarecht*, 5th Ed., C.H. Beck, Munich 2011
- Orakhelashvili, A., *The position of the individual in international law*, 31 California Western International Law Journal (2001), pp. 241-276
- Orrego Vicuña, F., *International dispute settlement in an evolving global society: constitutionalization, accessibility, privatization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2004
- Orrego-Vicuña, F., *Law making in a global society: does consent still matter?*, in: J. Bröhmer/G. Ress (Eds.), *Internationale Gemeinschaft und Menschenrechte: Festschrift für Georg Ress zum 70. Geburtstag am 21. Januar 2005*, Carl Heymanns Verlag, Cologne 2005, pp. 191-206
- Padilla, D., *The Inter-American Commission on Humans Rights of the Organization of American States: a case study*, 9 American University Journal of International Law & Policy (1993), pp. 95-115
- Palchetti, P., *Opening the International Court of Justice to third states: intervention and beyond*, 6 Max-Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law (2002), pp. 139-181
- Patrizia, C., Profáizer, J. and Timofeyev, I., *Investment disputes involving the renewable energy industry under the Energy Charter Treaty*, 2 October 2015, Global Arbitration Review, at: <http://globalarbitrationreview.com/chapter/1036076/investment-disputes-involving-the-renewable-energy-industry-under-the-energy-charter-treaty> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Paulus, A., *Die internationale Gemeinschaft im Völkerrecht: eine Untersuchung zur Entwicklung des Völkerrechts im Zeitalter der Globalisierung*, C.H. Beck, Munich 2001
- Paulus, A., *Article 66*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Pauwelyn, J., *The use of experts in WTO dispute settlement*, 51 International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2002), pp. 325-364
- Pauwelyn, J., *The role of public international law in the WTO: how far can we go?*, 95 American Journal of International Law (2001), pp. 535-578
- Payne, C., *Mastering the evidence: improving fact-finding by international courts*, 41 Environmental Law (2011), pp. 1191-1220
- Peel, J., *Giving the public a voice in the protection of the global environment: avenues for participation by NGOs in dispute resolution at the European Court of Justice and World Trade Organization*, 12 Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law & Policy (2001), pp. 47-76

- Pellonpää, M. and Caron, D., *The UNCITRAL arbitration rules as interpreted and applied: selected problems in light of the practice of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal*, Finnish Lawyers' Publishing, Helsinki 1994
- Perry, S. and Karadelis, K., *Sun rises on Czech energy claims*, Global Arbitration Review, 19 February 2014, at: <http://globalarbitrationreview.com/article/1033183/sun-rises-on-czech-energy-claims> (last visited 28.9.2017)
- Peterson, L., *Claimant in garbage disposal dispute with Canada seeks closed-door hearings and wants amicus curiae to pay \$25,000 fee*, 12 November 2008, at: http://www.iareporter.com/articles/20090930_7 (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Pham, H., *Developing countries and the WTO: the need for more mediation in the DSU*, 9 Harvard Negotiation Law Review (2004), pp. 331-389
- Pinto, M., *NGOs and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 47-56
- Prévost, D., *WTO Subsidies Agreement and privatised companies: Appellate Body amicus curiae briefs*, 27 Legal Issues of Economic Integration (2000), pp. 279-394
- Prost, O., *Confidentiality issues under the DSU: fact-finding process versus confidentiality*, in: R. Yerxa/B. Wilson (Eds.), *Key issues in WTO dispute settlement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, pp. 190-203
- Qureshi, A., *Extraterritorial shrimps, ngos and the WTO Appellate Body*, 48 International and Comparative Law Quarterly (1999), pp. 199-206
- Razzaque, J., *Changing role of friends of the court in the international courts and tribunals*, 1 Non-state actors and international law (2001), pp. 169-200
- Reed, L., Paulsson, J. and Blackaby, N., *Guide to ICSID arbitration*, 2nd Ed., Kluwer Law International, Alphen aan den Rijn 2011
- Reiner, C. and Schreuer, C., *Human rights and international investment arbitration*, in: P.M. Dupuy/F. Francioni/E.-U. Petersmann (Eds.), *Human rights in international investment law and arbitration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2009, pp. 82-96
- Reinisch, A., *The changing international legal framework for dealing with non-state actors*, in: A. Bianchi (Ed.), *Non-state actors and international law*, Ashgate, Farnham 2009, pp. 411-463
- Reinisch, A. and Irgel, C., *The participation of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in the WTO dispute settlement system*, 1 Non-State Actors and International Law (2001), pp. 127-151
- Reisman, M., *Nullity and revision: the review and enforcement of international judgments and awards*, Yale University Press, New Haven 1971
- Rengeling, H., Middeke, A., Gellermann, M. and Andová, K. (Eds.), *Handbuch des Rechtsschutzes in der Europäischen Union*, 3rd Ed., C.H. Beck, Munich 2014
- Reusch, R., *Die Legitimation des WTO-Streitbeilegungsverfahrens*, Diss. Tübingen 2006, Duncker & Humblot, Berlin 2007
- Rivera Juaristi, F., *The "amicus curiae" in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (1982 – 2013)*, in: Y. Haeck/O. Ruiz-Chiriboga/C. Burbano Herrera, *The Inter-American Court of Human Rights: theory and practice, present and future*, Cambridge et al. 2015, pp. 103-131

- Robbins, J., *False friends: amicus curiae and procedural discretion in WTO appeals under the Hot-Rolled Lead/Asbestos doctrine*, 44 Harvard International Law Journal (2003), pp. 317-329
- Rogers, A. and Miller, D., *Non-confidential arbitration proceedings*, 12 Arbitration International (1996), pp. 319-345
- Romano, C. P., Alter, K. J. and Shany, Y., *Mapping international adjudicative bodies, the issues, and players*, in: C.P. Romano/K.J. Alter/Y. Shany (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of international adjudication*, Oxford 2014, pp. 3-26
- Romano, C., *The shift from the consensual to the compulsory paradigm in international adjudication: elements for a theory of consent*, 39 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics (2007), pp. 791-872
- Ronen, Y., *Participation of non-state actors in ICJ proceedings*, 11 The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals (2012), pp. 77-110
- Rosenne, S., *Reflections on the position of the individual in inter-state litigation*, in: P. Sanders (Ed.), *International arbitration – liber amicorum for Martin Domke*, The Hague 1967, pp. 240-257 and reprinted in: S. Rosenne, *An international law miscellany*, Dordrecht 1993
- Rosenne, S., *Intervention in the International Court of Justice*, Nijhoff, Dordrecht 1993
- Rosenne, S., *The law and practice of the International Court of Justice 1920-2005*, 4th Ed. Nijhoff, Leiden 2006
- Rosenne, S., *International Court of Justice*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law online*, Oxford, last updated 2006
- Rosenne, S., *The law and practice of the International Court*, Sijthoff, Leiden 1965
- Rosenne, S., *The law and practice of the International Court*, Vol. 3: *Procedure*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2006
- Rosentreter, D., *Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and the principle of systemic integration in international investment law and arbitration*, Baden-Baden 2015
- Rubagotti, G., *The role of NGOs before the United Nations Human Rights Committee*, in: T. Treves/M. Frigessi di Rattalma et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 67-92
- Rubins, N., *Opening the investment arbitration process: at what cost, for what benefit?*, in: R. Hofmann/C. Tams (Eds.), *The International Convention on the Settlement of International Disputes (ICSID): taking stock after 40 years*, Nomos, Baden-Baden 2007, pp. 213-222
- Ruiz Miguel, C., *La fundación consultiva en el sistema interamericano de derechos humanos: crisálida de una jurisdicción supra-constitucional?*, in: H. Fix-Zamudio (Ed.), *Liber amicorum Héctor Fix-Zamudio*, Vol. II San José 1998, pp. 1345-1363
- Ruthemeyer, T., *Der amicus curiae brief im internationalen Investitionsrecht*, Diss. University of Cologne, Baden-Baden 2014
- Sadeghi, K., *The European Court of Human Rights: the problematic nature of the court's reliance on secondary sources for fact-finding*, 25 Connecticut Journal of International Law (2009), pp. 127-151

- Sandifer, D., *Evidence before international tribunals*, University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville 1975
- Sands, P., *Treaty, custom and the cross-fertilization of international law*, 1 Yale Human Rights and Development Law Journal (1998), pp. 85-105
- Santulli, C., *Droit du contentieux international*, Montchrestien, Paris 2005
- Sauer, H., *Jurisdiktionskonflikte im Mehrebenensystem: Die Entwicklung eines Modells zur Lösung von Konflikten zwischen Gerichten unterschiedlicher Ebenen in vernetzten Rechtsordnungen*, Diss. Düsseldorf 2005, Springer Berlin 2008
- Savarese, E., *Amicus curiae participation in investor-state arbitral proceedings*, 17 Italian Yearbook of International Law (2007), pp. 99-121
- Schachter, M., *The utility of pro bono representation of U.S.-based amicus curiae in non-U.S. and multi-national courts as a means of advancing the public interest*, 28 Fordham International Law Journal (2004), pp. 88-144
- Schadendorf, S., *Human rights arguments in amicus curiae submissions: analysis of ICSID and NAFTA investor-state arbitrations*, 10 Transnational Dispute Management (2013), pp. 1-23
- Schadendorf, S., *Investor-state arbitrations and the human rights of the host state's population: an empirical approach to the impact of amicus curiae submissions*, in: N. Weiß/J.-M. Thouvenin, *The influence of human rights on international law*, Heidelberg 2015, pp. 167-181
- Schill, S., *The multilateralization of international investment law*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2009
- Schill, S., *Crafting the international economic order: the public function of investment treaty arbitration and its significance for the role of the arbitrator*, 23 Leiden Journal of International Law (2010), pp. 401-430
- Schill, S. (Ed.), *International investment law and comparative public law*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010
- Schill, S., *The Mauritius Convention on Transparency: a model for investment law reform?*, EJIL:Talk!, 8 April 2015, at: <http://www.ejiltalk.org/the-mauritius-convention-on-transparency-a-model-for-investment-law-reform/> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Schleyer, G., *Power to the people: allowing private parties to raise claims before the WTO dispute resolution system*, 65 Fordham Law Review (1997), pp. 2275-2312
- Schneider, A., *Democracy and dispute resolution: individual rights in international trade organizations*, 19 University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law (1998), pp. 587-638
- Schorm-Bernschütz, R., *Die Tatsachenfeststellung im Verfahren vor dem Europäischen Gerichtshof für Menschenrechte: unter besonderer Berücksichtigung staatlicher Mitwirkungspflichten*, Diss. Düsseldorf 2003, Lit Verlag, Münster 2004
- Schütze, R., *Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit und Rechtsvergleichung*, 110 Zeitschrift für vergleichende Rechtswissenschaft (2011), pp. 89-97
- Schwarzenberger, G., *International law as applied by international courts and tribunals, Vol. 4: international judicial law*, Stevens, London 1986

- Scobbie, I., *Legal reasoning and the judicial function in the International Court*, University of Cambridge, Ph.D. Dissertation, 1990
- Scobbie, I., *The theorist as a judge: Hersch Lauterpacht's concept of the international judicial function*, 8 *European Journal of International Law* (1997), pp. 264-298
- Shany, Y., *The competing jurisdictions of international courts and tribunals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2003
- Shany, Y., *One law to rule them all: should international courts be viewed as guardians of procedural order and legal uniformity?*, in: O. Fauchald/A. Nollkaemper (Eds.), *The practice of international courts and the (de-)fragmentation of international law*, Hart, Oxford 2012, pp. 15-34
- Shany, Y., *No longer a weak department of power? Reflections on the emergence of a new international judiciary*, 20 *European Journal of International Law* (2009), pp. 73-91
- Shelton, D., *The participation of non-governmental organizations in international judicial proceedings*, 88 *American Journal of International Law* (1994), pp. 611-642
- Shelton, D., *The International Court of Justice and non-governmental organisations*, 9 *International Community Law Review* (2007), pp. 139-155
- Shelton, D., *The jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, 10 *American University International Law Review* (1994), pp. 333-372
- Sicilianos, L.-A., *La tierce intervention devant la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme*, in: H. Ruiz-Fabri/J.-M. Sorel (Eds.), *Les tiers à l'instance devant les juridictions internationales*, Pedone, Paris 2005, pp. 123-150
- Simma, B., *Foreign investment arbitration: a place for human rights?*, 60 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (2011), pp. 573-597
- Slotboom, M., *Participation of NGOs before the WTO and EC tribunals: which court is the better friend?*, 5 *World Trade Review* (2006), pp. 69-101
- Smith, R., *Why third-party interventions in the judicial process benefit democracy*, *The Law Gazette* of 12 November 2009, at: <https://www.lawgazette.co.uk/analysis/why-third-party-interventions-in-the-judicial-process-benefit-democracy/53085.article> (last visited: 29.8.2017)
- Spiro, P., *Accounting for NGOs*, 3 *Chicago Journal of International Law* (2002), pp. 161-169
- Steger, D., *Amicus curiae: participant or friend? – the WTO and NAFTA experience*, in: A. v. Bogdandy (Ed.), *European integration and international co-ordination – studies in transnational economic law in honour of Claus-Dieter Ehlermann*, Kluwer Law International, The Hague 2002, pp. 419-450
- Stein, E., *Lawyers, judges and the making of a transnational constitution*, 75 *American Journal of International Law* (1981), pp. 1-27
- Steinberger, H., *The ICJ*, in: H. Mosler/R. Bernhardt (Eds.), *Judicial settlement of international disputes*, Springer, Berlin 1974, pp. 193-283
- Stern, B., *The intervention of private entities and states as “friends of the court” in WTO dispute settlement proceedings*, in: P. Macrory et al. (Eds.), *World Trade Organization: legal, economic and political analysis*, Vol. I, Springer, New York 2005, pp. 1427-1458

- Stern, B., *L'entrée de la société civile dans l'arbitrage entre Etat et investisseur*, 2 *Revue de l'arbitrage* (2002), pp. 329-345
- Sudre, F., *Extradition et peine de mort: arrêt Soering de la cour européenne des droits de l'homme, du 7 juillet 1989*, 94 *Revue Générale de Droit International Public* (1990), pp. 103-121
- Talmon, S., *Der Internationale Seegerichtshof in Hamburg als Mittel der friedlichen Beilegung seerechtlicher Streitigkeiten*, *Juristische Schulung* 2001, pp. 550-556
- Tams, C., *Article 50*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice*, 2nd Ed, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Tams, C. and Zoellner, C., *Amici Curiae im internationalen Investitionsschutzrecht*, 45 *Archiv des Völkerrechts* (2007), pp. 217-243
- Teitelbaum, R., *A look at the public interest in investment arbitration: is it unique? What should we do about it?*, 5 *Berkeley Journal of International Law* (2010), pp. 54-62
- Tellegen-Couperus, O., *The so-called consilium of the praetor and the development of Roman Law*, 69 *Tijdschrift voor Rechtsgeschiedenis* (2001), pp. 11-20
- Thirlway, H., *Dilemma or chimera? Admissibility of illegally obtained evidence in international adjudication*, 78 *American Journal of International Law* (1984), pp. 622-641
- Thirlway, H., *The International Court of Justice 1989-2009: at the heart of the dispute settlement system?*, 57 *Netherlands International Law Review* (2010), pp. 347-395
- Thirlway, H., *Article 30*, in: A. Zimmermann/C. Tomuschat/K. Oellers-Frahm/C. Tams (Eds.), *The Statute of the International Court of Justice*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2012
- Thirlway, H., *Procedural law and the International Court of Justice*, in: V. Lowe/M. Fitzmaurice-Lachs/R. Jennings (Eds.), *Fifty years of the International Court of Justice: essays in honour of Robert Jennings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996, pp. 389-405
- Thirlway, H., *Unacknowledged legislators: some preliminary reflections on the limits of judicial lawmaking*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *International dispute settlement: room for innovations*, Springer, Heidelberg 2012, pp. 311-323
- Thirlway, H., *Advisory opinions*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law online*, last updated 2006, Oxford
- Tienhaara, K., *Third party participation in investment-environment disputes: recent developments*, 16 *Review of European Community Law and International Environmental Law* (2007), pp. 230-242
- Tietje, C. and Wackernagel, C., *Outlawing compliance? – the enforcement of intra-EU investment awards and EU state aid law*, *Policy Papers on Transnational Economic Law No. 14* (June 2014)
- Treves, T., *Conflicts between the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea and the International Court of Justice*, 31 *NYU Journal of International Law and Politics* (1999), pp. 809-821

- Treves, T., *Non-governmental organizations before the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: the advisory opinion of 1 February 2011*, in: G. Bastid-Burdeau et al. (Eds.), *Le 90e anniversaire the Boutros Boutros-Ghalie: hommage du Curatorium à son Président/Académie de Droit international de la Haye*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2012, pp. 255-262
- Treves, T., *The procedure before the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: the rules of the tribunal and related documents*, 11 *Leiden Journal of International Law* (1998), pp. 565-594
- Treves, T. et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005
- Triantafilou, E., *Is a connection to the "public interest" a meaningful prerequisite of third party participation in investment arbitration?*, 5 *Berkeley Journal of International Law* (2010), pp. 38-46
- Triantafilou, E., *Amicus submissions in investor state arbitration after Suez v. Argentina*, 24 *Arbitration International* (2008), pp. 571-586
- Trocker, N., *L'"Amicus Curiae" nel giudizio davanti alla Corte Europea dei Diritti Dell'Uomo*, 35 *Revista di Diritto Civile* (1989), pp. 119-143
- Tweeddale, A., *Confidentiality in arbitration and the public interest exception*, 21 *Arbitration International* (2005), pp. 59-69
- Umbricht, G., *An "amicus curiae brief" on amicus curiae briefs at the WTO*, 4 *Journal of International Economic Law* (2001), pp. 773-794
- Urlings, R., *De Commissie als amicus curiae en het fiscale karakter van een mededingingsboete*, 15 *Nederlands tijdschrift voor Europees recht* (2009), pp. 288-293
- Vadi, V., *Beyond known worlds: climate change governance by arbitral tribunals?*, 48 *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* (2015), pp. 1285-1351
- Vajic, N., *Some concluding remarks on NGOs and the European Court on Human Rights*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 93-104
- Valencia-Ospina, E., *Non-governmental organizations and the International Court of Justice*, in: T. Treves/M. Frigessi di Rattalma et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 227-232
- Valencia-Ospina, E., *Evidence before the International Court of Justice*, 1 *International Law Forum* (1999), pp. 202-207
- Van Aaken, A., *Fragmentation of international law: the case of international investment protection*, 17 *Finnish Yearbook International Law* (2006), pp. 91-130
- Van Damme, I., *Treaty interpretation revisited, not revised*, ILO Distinguished Scholar Series, 30 October 2008
- Van den Bossche, P. and Zdouc, W., *The law and policy of the World Trade Organization: text, cases and materials*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2013
- Van den Eynde, L., *An empirical look at the amicus curiae practice of human rights NGOs before the European Court of Human Rights*, 31 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (2013), pp. 271-313

- Van der Mei, A. P., *The new African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights: towards an effective human rights protection mechanism for Africa?*, 18 *Leiden Journal of International Law* (2005), pp. 113-129
- Van Duzer, A., *Enhancing the procedural legitimacy of investor-state arbitration through transparency and amicus curiae participation*, 52 *McGill Law Journal* (2007), pp. 681-723
- Van Harten, G., *Investment treaty arbitration and public law*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2007
- Van Harten, G. and Loughlin, M., *Investment treaty arbitration as a species of global administrative law*, 17 *European Journal of International Law* (2006), pp. 121-150
- Van het Kaar, B. and Kaplan, G., *Airline dispute lands in ICJ: a commentary on the Swissair/Sabena case*, 28 January 2010, at: <http://www.haguejusticeportal.net/index.php?id=11397> (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Van Hof, J.J., *Commentary on the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules: the application by the Iran-U.S. Claims Tribunal*, Kluwer Law and Taxation Publishers, Deventer, 1991
- Van Nuffel, P., *Ode an die Freu(n)de – the European Commission as amicus curiae before European and national courts*, in: I. Govaere/D. Hanf (Eds.), *Scrutinizing internal and external dimensions of European law – liber amicorum Paul Demaret*, Vol. I, Brussels 2013, pp. 267-278
- Viljoen, F. and K. Abebe, A., *Amicus curiae participation before regional human rights bodies in Africa*, 58 *Journal of African Law* (2014), pp. 22-44
- Viñuales, J., *Foreign investment and the environment in international law*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2012
- Viñuales, J., *Amicus intervention in investor-state arbitration*, 61 *Dispute Resolution Journal* (2006-2007), pp. 72-81
- von Bogdandy, A., *Verfassungsrechtliche Dimensionen der Welthandelsorganisation*, *Kritische Justiz* (2001), pp. 264-281
- von Bogdandy, A. and Venzke, I., *In whose name? An investigation of international courts' public authority and its democratic justification*, 23 *European Journal of International Law* (2012), pp. 7-41
- Voßkuhle, A. and Sydow, G., *Die demokratische Legitimation des Richters*, 57 *Juristische Zeitung* (2002), pp. 673-682
- Walbolt, S. and Lang, Jr., J., *Amicus briefs revisited*, 33 *Stetson Law Review* (2003), pp. 171-180
- Wälde, T., *Improving the mechanisms for treaty negotiation and investment disputes – competition and choice as the path to quality and legitimacy*, in: K. Sauvant (Ed.), *Yearbook of International Investment Law and Policy (2008-2009)*, pp. 505-584
- Wälde, T., *Equality of arms in investment arbitration: procedural challenge*, in: K. Yannaca-Small (Ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements: a guide to the key issues*, Oxford University Press, New York 2010, pp. 161-188
- Wälde, T., *Procedural challenges in investment arbitration under the shadow of the dual role of the state: asymmetries and tribunals' duty to ensure, pro-actively, the equality of arms*, 26 *Arbitration International* (2010), pp. 3-42

- Waldock, C., *The Anglo-Norwegian Fisheries case*, 28 British Yearbook of International Law (1951), pp. 114-171
- Ward, S., *Friends of the court are friends of mine*, 93 ABA Journal (2007), pp. 24-25, at: http://www.abajournal.com/magazine/article/friends_of_the_court_are_friends_of_mine (last visited: 28.9.2017)
- Watts, A., *Enhancing the effectiveness of procedures of international dispute settlement*, 5 Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law (2001), pp. 21-39
- Watts, A., *The ICJ's practice directions of 30 July 2004*, 3 The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals (2004), pp. 385-394
- Wehland, H., *The enforcement of intra-EU BIT awards: Micula v. Romania and beyond*, 17 Journal of World Investment and Trade (2016), pp. 942-963
- Weiler, T., *The Ethyl arbitration: first of its kind and a harbinger of things to come*, 11 American Review of International Arbitration (2001), pp. 187-201
- White, G., *The use of experts by international tribunals*, Syracuse University Press, Syracuse 1965
- Wieland, P., *Why the amicus curiae institution is ill-suited to address indigenous peoples' rights before investor-state arbitration tribunals: Glamis Gold and the right of intervention*, 3 Trade, Law and Development (2011), pp. 334-366
- Williams, S. and Woolaver, H., *The role of amicus curiae before international criminal tribunals*, 6 International Criminal Law Review (2006), pp. 151-189
- Willmott, L., White, B. and Cooper, D., *Interveners or interferers: intervention in decisions to withhold and withdraw life-sustaining medical treatment*, 27 Sydney Law Review (2005), pp. 597-622
- Wittich, S., *The judicial functions of the International Court of Justice*, in: I. Buffard et al. (Eds.), *International law between universalism and fragmentation – Festschrift in honour of Gerhard Hafner*, Nijhoff, Leiden 2008, pp. 981-1000
- Wolfrum, R., *Legitimacy of international law from a legal perspective: some introductory considerations*, in: R. Wolfrum/V. Röben (Eds.), *Legitimacy in international law*, Springer, Berlin 2008, pp. 1-24
- Wolfrum, R., *The legislative history of Articles 20 and 21 of the Statute of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea*, 63 Rabels Zeitschrift für ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht (1999), pp. 342-349
- Wolfrum, R., *International courts and tribunals: evidence*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law online*, Oxford (2010)
- Wolfrum, R., *The taking and assessment of evidence by the European Court of Human Rights*, in: S. Breitenmoser et al. (Eds.), *Human rights, democracy and the rule of law – liber amicorum Luzius Wildhaber*, Dike, Zürich et al. 2007, pp. 915-924
- Wolfrum, R., Vitzthum (Ed.), *Handbuch des Seerechts*, C. H. Beck, Munich 2006
- Wolfrum, R., *Advisory opinions: are they a suitable alternative for the settlement of international disputes*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *International dispute settlement: room for innovations?*, Springer, Heidelberg 2013, pp. 35-129

Bibliography

- Wright-Carozza, P., *Uses and misuses of comparative law in international human rights: some reflections on the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights*, 73 Notre Dame Law Review (1998), pp. 1217-1237
- Zagorac, D., *International courts and compliance bodies: the experience of Amnesty International*, in: T. Treves et al. (Eds.), *Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies*, TMC Asser Press, The Hague 2005, pp. 11-39
- Zimmermann, A., *International courts and tribunals, intervention in proceedings*, in: R. Wolfrum et al. (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law online*, Oxford University Press, New York, last updated 2006
- Zoellner, C., *Third-party participation (NGOs and private persons) and transparency in ICSID proceedings*, in: R. Hoffmann/C. Tams (Eds.), *The ICSID – taking stock after 40 years*, Nomos, Baden-Baden 2007, pp. 179-208
- Zonnekeyn, G., *The Appellate Body's communication on amicus curiae briefs in the Asbestos Case – an Echternach procession?*, 35 Journal of World Trade (2001), pp. 553-563
- Zwart, T., *Would international courts be able to fill the accountability gap at the global level?*, in: G. Anthony et al. (Eds.), *Values in global administrative law*, Hart, Oxford 2011, pp. 193-214
- Zweigert, K. and Kötz, H., *Introduction to comparative law*, 3rd Ed. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1998