

Yota Negishi

Conventionality Control of Domestic Law

Constitutionalised International Adjudication and
Internationalised Constitutional Adjudication



Nomos

Beiträge zum
ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht

Edited by
the Max Planck Society
for the Advancement of Science
represented by Prof. Dr. Armin von Bogdandy
and Prof. Dr. Anne Peters

Volume 312

Yota Negishi

Conventionality Control of Domestic Law

Constitutionalised International Adjudication and
Internationalised Constitutional Adjudication



Nomos

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

ISBN 978-3-8487-8562-9 (Print)
978-3-7489-2983-3 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8562-9 (Print)
978-3-7489-2983-3 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Negishi, Yota
Conventionality Control of Domestic Law
Constitutionalised International Adjudication and
Internationalised Constitutional Adjudication
Yota Negishi
252 pp.
Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8562-9 (Print)
978-3-7489-2983-3 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2022

© The Authors

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden
www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-8487-8562-9 (Print)
ISBN 978-3-7489-2983-3 (ePDF)
DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929833>



Onlineversion
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.

For Righteousness, Happiness, Grace and Manna

Acknowledgement

The very first book for me is the crystal of encounters with persons in different times and spaces. My academic career started at the School of Law, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan. I had dived into the world of international law through moot court competitions such as Japan/Asia Cup in Tokyo and Jessup Cup in Washington DC. Having got caught up in the appealing power of international law, I then joined the seminar held by Professor Shuichi Furuya. For more than ten years from Bachelor (2007–2011) through Master (2011–2013) to Doctoral (2013–2017) courses (and even now), his extraordinary skills and tenderness have shaped my personality as a researcher. Professor Hiroyuki Banzai is another mentor during the Master course, whose training programmes have stylised my attitude in accordance with the academic standard in the field. In their seminars, I was greatly helped by my senior colleagues, especially, Makoto Minakawa, Shinya Tazunoki, Makoto Seta, and Kenta Hiram, all of whom kindly gave precious advice for not only public matters but also private lives. I am especially grateful to Toshiki Mogami, professor of international law at the School of Political Science and Economics. It is no exaggeration to say that his professionalism has influenced my academic life entirely. He also wrote a recommendation letter for a research environment abroad. Without his gracious supports, my research would have lacked sensibility and rigorousness.

The second stage of my research life was at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, Heidelberg, Germany. It has been my fortunes to meet splendid academic staffs and guest researchers there. My stay in the Institute was facilitated by Director Professor Anne Peters, for whom my thankfulness is beyond description. Her careful attention to every member of the Institute including me has been the model to follow in my professional tasks. I deeply thank Peter's research team members, particularly, Milan Tahraoui, Raffaella Kunz, Christos Kypraios, and Yueyao Zhang, to whom I am indebted for many things. The interaction with members of the *Ius Constitutionale Commune en América Latina* project in the Institute was essential for expanding and deepening my research. Mariela Morales Antoniazzi, project coordinator of *Ius Constitutionale Commune en América Latina*, deserves to receive my distinguished appreciation for her lovely generosity. I also appreciate

project members, especially, Director Professor Armin von Bogdandy, Simon Hentrei, Ximena Soley, Pedro Villarreal, Franz Ebert, and Davide Paris. Such a wonderful stay was supported by the Institute's library and office staffs – my special gratitude goes to Anette Kreutzfeld who had cooperated with logistical matters.

Thanks to the fund for the status as Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (2013–2017), I was granted opportunities to visit various cities in Europe, Latin America, Africa and Asia, and engage in a multitude of dialogues with distinguished scholars. In the Italian public law school, Diletta Tega, Antonia Baraggia, Giuseppe Martinico, and Fulvio Maria Palombino should be mentioned for their suggestive comments on my work. For the theoretical problems of international adjudication, I gained inspiration from the interviews with André Nollkaemper, Laurence Burgorgue-Larsen, and Andreas Føllesdal. I also appreciate Eduardo Ferrer MacGregor's generosity in facilitating a short stay at the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Dialogues with Pablo González-Domínguez and Norberto Garay Boza in San José were quite suggestive for understanding the doctrine of *control de convencionalidad*. Japanese public law scholars, especially, Koji Tonami, Takao Suami, Hajime Yamamoto, Akiko Ejima, Kaoru Obata, Hiromichi Matsuda, and Hinako Takata, made critical comments on my work. I express my sincere gratitude to Jean d'Aspremont who not just influenced my theoretical framework but also has offered guidelines in decisive moments in my research career.

All these experiences enabled me to elaborate and publish the essential parts of this book in distinguished journals and books including *European Journal of International Law*. My Ph.D. thesis itself was also highly evaluated and granted 2016 VASEDA VNIVERSITAS PALMA IN MEMORIAM AZVSAONO and 6th Ikushi Prize by the JSPS with the Endowment from Emperor Akihito. I could finalise the edition of this book in the third and current location in my research life, Seinan Gakuin University, Fukuoka, Japan. The research environment supported by library and office staffs at the university is comfortable, in which it has been easily possible to update the relevant case-laws and teachings (until December 2020). For the English language review, the author would also like to thank Enago (www.enago.jp). I hope this book contributes to the world of public law.

I conclude with personal debts. My parents Masami (Righteousness) and Sachiko (Happiness), my grandmother Yoshiko, and my sister Megumi, are always lovely and generous to my decisions. It is also literally graceful that I was led by the invisible hands to Fukuoka to meet my wife Megumi

(Grace). My daughter Manna sheds fresh light on my world every day. I again thank everyone involved in the research, without any of whom the crystal cannot keep shining forever.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Abbreviation	15
Introduction	17
1. Research Problems	17
A. Systemic Human Rights Violations Caused by Unconventionality of Domestic Law	17
B. Conventionality Control of Domestic Law	21
2. Research Materials and Frameworks	28
A. International and Constitutional Adjudications in Europe and Latin America	28
B. Conventionality Control Parameters and Powers	35
Part I Constitutionalised International Adjudication	39
Chapter 1 Interpretation of Conventionality Control Parameters	41
1. Relationship between Regional Conventions and Universal Standards	42
A. Evolutionary Interpretation of Regional Conventions	42
(i) Evolutionary Interpretation of Living Instruments	42
(ii) Regional Consensus	44
B. Interpreting Regional Conventions Through Universal Standards	46
(i) Evolutionary Interpretation through Universal Standards	46
(ii) Universal Consensus	52
2. Pro Homine Principle's International Functions for Conventionality Control	56
A. Unified Interpretation of Conventionality Control Parameters	56
(i) Unification through Interpretative Rules	56
(ii) Unification by Prioritising Most Favourable Standards	59

B. Diversified Interpretation of Conventionality Control Parameters	62
(i) Diversification through Interpretative Rules	62
(ii) Diversification by Prioritising Most Favourable Standards	66
Chapter 2 International Distribution of Conventionality Control Powers	71
1. Relationship between Regional and Domestic Courts	73
A. Distributing Powers for Domestic Courts	73
(i) Negative Subsidiarity for Allocating Powers to Domestic Courts	73
(ii) Granting Margin of Appreciation to Domestic Courts	77
B. Distributing Powers to Regional Courts	81
(i) Positive Subsidiarity for Allocating Powers to Regional Courts	81
(ii) Restricting Margin of Appreciation by Regional Courts	83
2. International Centralisation and Decentralisation of Conventionality Control Powers	87
A. Centralising Conventionality Control Powers to Regional Courts	87
(i) Prescribing Remedies for Systemic Violations	87
(ii) Identifying Organs Responsible for Systemic Violations	92
B. Decentralising Conventionality Control Powers to Domestic Courts	97
(i) Margin of Appreciation in Conventionality Control	97
(ii) Deference to Domestic Margin of Appreciation	99
Part II Internationalised Constitutional Adjudication	105
Chapter 1 Application of Conventionality Control Parameters	107
1. Relationship between Regional Conventions and National Constitutions	109
A. Supremacy of Regional Conventions over National Constitutions	109
(i) Incorporating Regional Conventions through National Constitutions	109
(ii) Regional Courts Decisions in National Constitutions	120

B.	Supremacy of National Constitutions over Regional Conventions	125
(i)	Consubstantial Constitutional Contestations against Regional Conventions	125
(ii)	Sovereigntist Constitutional Contestations against Regional Conventions	130
2.	Pro Homine Principle's Domestic Functions for Conventionality Control	136
A.	Unified Application of Conventionality Control Parameters	137
(i)	Sword Function for Regional Conventions against National Constitutions	137
(ii)	Relativising Absolute Protections of Constitutional Rights by Regional Conventions	140
B.	Diversified Application of Conventionality Control Parameters	144
(i)	Shield Function for National Constitutions against Regional Conventions	144
(ii)	Relativising Absolute Protections of Conventional Rights by National Constitutions	147
Chapter 2	Domestic Distribution of Conventionality Control Powers	155
1.	Relationship between Constitutional and Ordinary Courts	157
A.	Power Distribution for Ordinary Courts	157
(i)	Consistent Interpretation of Legislation with National Constitutions	157
(ii)	Disapplication of Legislation Inconsistent with Community Law	158
B.	Power Distribution to Constitutional Courts	161
(i)	Individual Complaint Procedures	161
(ii)	Rules Prioritising Constitutional Procedures over Community Law Procedures	162
2.	Domestic Centralisation and Decentralisation of Conventionality Control Powers	166
A.	Decentralising Conventionality Control Powers to Ordinary Courts	166
(i)	Disapplying Legislation Incompatible with Regional Conventions	166

(ii) Interpreting Legislation in Consistency with Regional Conventions	172
B. Centralising Conventionality Control Powers to Constitutional Courts	178
(i) Democracy: Balancing Individual Autonomy and Public Autonomy	178
(ii) Legal Certainty: Balancing Predictability and Acceptability	188
Conclusion	195
1. Reconstructing Pyramid	196
A. Pyramid Model: Closedness, Formalism and State-Centrism	196
B. Reconciling Constitutionalism and Legal Pluralism Beyond the State	199
2. Constructing a Trapezium	202
A. Trapezium Model: Openness, Substantivism and Human-centrism	202
B. Uni/Diversified Parameters and De/Centralised Powers of Conventionality Control	205
Bibliography	209

Abbreviation

American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA)
Andean Tribunal of Justice (ATJ)
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (CFREU)
Committee of Ministries (CoM)
Council of Europe (CoE)
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
Deutsche Bundesverfassungsgericht (BVerfG)
Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS)
European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
European Social Charter (ESC)
European Union (EU)
Human Rights Committee (HRC)
Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR)
Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR)
International Court of Justice (ICJ)
International Criminal Court (ICC)
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)
International Law Association (ILA)
International Law Commission (ILC)
International Labour Organisation (ILO)
Organisation of American States (OAS)
Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ)
Southern African Development Community (SADC)
Treaty on European Union (TEU)
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
United Nations (UN)
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)

