

Sandra Gilgen

Disentangling Justice

Needs, Equality or Merit?
On the Situation-Dependency
of Distributive Justice



Nomos

edition
sigma



Gesellschaftsanalyse. Ungleichheit und soziale Gerechtigkeit
Social Analysis. Inequality and Social Justice

Herausgegeben von | Edited by

Prof. Dr. Nadine M. Schöneck
Hochschule Niederrhein

Prof. Dr. Carola Hommerich
Sophia Universität, Tokyo

Band 2 | Volume 2

Sandra Gilgen

Disentangling Justice

Needs, Equality or Merit?
On the Situation-Dependency
of Distributive Justice



Nomos

edition
sigma



This research was funded by a Doc.CH grant awarded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). Furthermore, the publishing of this book was also supported by the SNSF as well as the University of Bern.



The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Bern, Univ., Diss., 2021

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
 978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
 978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gilgen, Sandra

Disentangling Justice

Sandra Gilgen (eds.)

ca. 174 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
 978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

edition sigma in der Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft

1st Edition 2022

© Sandra Gilgen

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)

ISBN 978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748926955>



Onlineversion
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution
– Non Commercial – No Derivations 4.0 International License.

to Kaya and Juri

Many people have supported me on my way; they have encouraged me, given valuable advice as well as critique and believed in me. I am grateful to them all, and can mention but a few key supporters. First of all, I would like to thank my advisors Prof. Dr. Rolf Becker and Prof. Dr. Thomas Gautschi who supported me from the start and without whom none of this would have been possible. I am also deeply indebted to Tamara B. for urging me to go back to university while I was working for her as an intern after my Bachelor's studies. I am further very grateful to Edward Freeland for affording me with a great opportunity to expand my project to the US and to Prof. Dr. Paul Smeets who offered much encouragement and good advice. A big thanks goes out to all my friends and family, and especially Sharon B., Melchior G., my sister Nina K., Nora M., Milan S., Janine W. and Christoph Z. who have all supported me immensely in various stages of my work and unfailingly cheered me on. I am also grateful to my immigrant parents for showing me the importance of education; mum for sharing her love of reading and dad for trying his best to spark my interest in science —I can now say that I definitely feel that spark! Last but certainly not least, I also thank my creative daughter Kaya for her incredible writing tips and my son Juri, for cheering me up with laughter and dance when I needed it most.

Contents

Chapter 1: Distributive Justice Matters – Introduction	17
A. Justice, Individual Well-Being and Group Functioning	19
I. Legitimating the Social Order or Striving to Change it	20
B. What is Justice?	20
I. What is Fair to Whom, When and Why?	22
C. Goal and Organisation of the Book	23
Chapter 2: Principles of Justice in Different Situations and Contexts –	
Background	25
A. Principles of Justice	27
I. Merit	28
II. Equality	30
III. Need	37
B. When Do We Prioritise Which Principle?	40
I. Mechanisms on the Level of the Individual: A Rational Choice Framework	41
1. Preferences and Distributive Justice	43
a) Self-Interest Motive	43
b) Reciprocity	46
c) Other-Regarding / Altruistic Preferences and Rationality	47
2. Beliefs and Distributive Justice	48
a) Bad Luck versus Lack of Effort	49
b) Self-Serving Bias	50
c) Belief in a Just World and Rationalisation	51
3. Justice and Legitimacy of Inequalities or Inequities	53
a) Belief in Meritocracy Legitimises Inequalities	54
b) Equal Opportunities	55
4. Differential Beliefs and Preferences	56
a) Class	57
b) Gender	58
II. Situations	59
1. Justice, Social Relations and Group Functioning	61
2. A Relational View on Distributive Justice	63
a) Economic Orientation	64

b) Solidarity Orientation	66
c) Caring Orientation	68
d) Order and Authority	69
e) A Situational Framework	70
III. Context Matters	72
1. What is Context?	73
a) How Contexts Shape Individual Outcomes	74
b) Socialisation and Culture	75
c) The American Dream	78
Chapter 3: Data and Methods	81
A. Data	81
I. Samples	81
1. General Population Sample in Switzerland	82
2. University of Bern	83
3. Princeton University	83
B. Methods	83
I. Survey Experiments	84
II. The Distributional Survey Experiment	84
III. Designs of The Distributional Survey Experiments in Four Situations	87
1. The DSE in the Friends Situation	88
2. The DSE in the Work Situation	90
3. The DSE in the Family Situation	92
4. The DSE in the Public Goods Situation: Scholarships	93
IV. Analytical Strategies	95
1. Treating Outcomes as Independent	95
2. Treating the Outcomes as Ranks	96
3. Treating the Outcomes as Shares	96
4. Considering the Multilevel Structure	97
Chapter 4: What is Fair? Empirical Results	101
A. To Each According to Needs or Merit?	101
I. Descriptive Statistics of Respondent Attributes in the General Population Sample	102
II. Need and Merit – Different Situations, same Principles?	103
1. Friends: Justice in an Equality Matching Setting	104
2. Work: Justice in a Market Pricing Setting	107
3. Family: Justice in a Communal Sharing Setting	110

4. Scholarship: Justice in a Public Goods Setting	112
B. Who distributes equally and when?	116
I. Gender Differences	116
II. Class Differences	117
III. Class Differences Regarding Merit	120
1. Class and Merit in the Friends Setting	120
2. Class and Merit in the Work Setting	121
3. Class and Merit in the Family Setting	122
4. Class and Merit in the Public Goods Setting	123
C. How Context Matters	125
I. Descriptive Statistics of Respondent Attributes in the Student Samples	125
II. Effects of Context on Allocation Decisions	126
1. Belief in Meritocracy and Context: Students in Switzerland and the United States compared	127
a) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Friends Setting	127
b) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Work Setting	128
c) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Family Setting	130
d) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Public Goods Setting	131
2. Egalitarianism among students in Switzerland and the United States	132
D. Context Effects in Short	137
E. Short Summary of Results	137
Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion	139
A. Discussion of the Main Findings	141
I. Gender and Class Effects	141
II. Situations and Relational Structures – Fair is What Works Best for the Relationship	142
III. Context Shapes our Opinions on What is Fair	146
B. Limitations	146
C. Concluding Thoughts and Implications	148
Bibliography	151

List of Figures

3.1	Introductory text to the DSEs	88
3.2	DSE in the friends situation	90
3.3	DSE in the work situation	91
3.4	DSE in the family situation	93
3.5	DSE in the public goods / scholarship situation	95
4.1	DSE 1 – Share of sum distributed among friends	105
4.2	Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations in the friends setting	106
4.3	DSE 2 – Share of sum distributed among employees	108
4.4	Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations among employees	109
4.5	DSE 3 – Share of sum distributed among family	110
4.6	Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations among family	112
4.7	DSE 4 – Share of sum distributed among applicants for a scholarship	114
4.8	Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations in the public goods setting	115
4.9	Percentage of equal splits by situation & gender	117
4.10	Percentage of equal splits by self-proclaimed class	118
4.11	Percentage of equal splits by EGP class	119
4.12	Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the friends setting	121
4.13	Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the workplace setting	122
4.14	Predicted shares by merit & class in the family setting	123
4.15	Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the public goods setting	124
4.16	Friends – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university	128
4.17	Work – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university	129
4.18	Family – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university	130

List of Figures

4.19 Scholarships – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university	131
4.20 Percentage of equal splits in the friends situation by university & gender	133
4.21 Percentage of equal splits in the work situation by university & gender	134
4.22 Percentage of equal splits in the family situation by university & gender	135
4.23 Percentage of equal splits in the public goods situation by university & gender	136

List of Tables

2.1	Typology of distributive justice in terms of social relations; adapted from the relational frameworks of Deutsch (1975) and Fiske (1992)	71
3.1	Characteristics included in the DSE in the friends situation	88
3.2	Characteristics included in the DSE in the work situation	91
3.3	Characteristics included in the DSE in the family situation	92
3.4	Characteristics included in the DSE in the public goods (scholarship) situation	94
4.1	Respondent characteristics in the general population sample	102
4.2	Respondent characteristics by university	126

