Gesellschaftsanalyse. Ungleichheit und soziale Gerechtigkeit
Social Analysis. Inequality and Social Justice
2

Sandra Gilgen

Disentangling Justice

Needs, Equality or Merit? On the Situation-Dependency of Distributive Justice

Nomos

edition sigma

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748928955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access - (cc) BY-NC-ND

Gesellschaftsanalyse. Ungleichheit und soziale Gerechtigkeit
Social Analysis. Inequality and Social Justice

Herausgegeben von | Edited by

Prof. Dr. Nadine M. Schöneck
Hochschule Niederrhein
Prof. Dr. Carola Hommerich
Sophia Universität, Tokyo

Band 2 | Volume 2

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Sandra Gilgen

Disentangling Justice

Needs, Equality or Merit? On the Situation-Dependency of Distributive Justice

Nomos

edition sigma

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

This research was funded by a Doc.CH grant awarded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). Furthermore, the publishing of this book was also supported by the SNSF as well as the University of Bern.

Swiss National
Science Foundation

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

a.t.: Bern, Univ., Diss., 2021

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Gilgen, Sandra
Disentangling Justice
Sandra Gilgen (eds.)
ca. 174 pp.
Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

edition sigma in der Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft

1st Edition 2022

© Sandra Gilgen

Published by
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden
www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-8487-8305-2 (Print)
ISBN 978-3-7489-2695-5 (ePDF)

DOI https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748926955

Onlineversion
Nomos eLibrary

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial – No Derivations 4.0 International License.

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748926955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

to Kaya and Juri

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Many people have supported me on my way; they have encouraged me, given valuable advice as well as critique and believed in me. I am grateful to them all, and can mention but a few key supporters. First of all, I would like to thank my advisors Prof. Dr. Rolf Becker and Prof. Dr. Thomas Gautschi who supported me from the start and without whom none of this would have been possible. I am also deeply indebted to Tamara B. for urging me to go back to university while I was working for her as an intern after my Bachelor's studies. I am further very grateful to Edward Freeland for affording me with a great opportunity to expand my project to the US and to Prof. Dr. Paul Smeets who offered much encouragement and good advice. A big thanks goes out to all my friends and family, and especially Sharon B., Melchior G., my sister Nina K., Nora M., Milan S., Janine W. and Christoph Z. who have all supported me immensely in various stages of my work and unfailingly cheered me on. I am also grateful to my immigrant parents for showing me the importance of education; mum for sharing her love of reading and dad for trying his best to spark my interest in science —I can now say that I definitely feel that spark! Last but certainly not least, I also thank my creative daughter Kaya for her incredible writing tips and my son Juri, for cheering me up with laughter and dance when I needed it most.

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Contents

Chapter 1: Distributive Justice Matters – Introduction 17
A. Justice, Individual Well-Being and Group Functioning 19
I. Legitimating the Social Order or Striving to Change it 20
B. What is Justice? 20
I. What is Fair to Whom, When and Why? 22
C. Goal and Organisation of the Book 23

Chapter 2: Principles of Justice in Different Situations and Contexts – Background 25
A. Principles of Justice 27
I. Merit 28
II. Equality 30
III. Need 37
B. When Do We Prioritise Which Principle? 40
I. Mechanisms on the Level of the Individual: A Rational Choice Framework 41
1. Preferences and Distributive Justice 43
a) Self-Interest Motive 43
b) Reciprocity 46
c) Other-Regarding / Altruistic Preferences and Rationality 47
2. Beliefs and Distributive Justice 48
a) Bad Luck versus Lack of Effort 49
b) Self-Serving Bias 50
c) Belief in a Just World and Rationalisation 51
3. Justice and Legitimacy of Inequalities or Inequities 53
a) Belief in Meritocracy Legitimises Inequalities 54
b) Equal Opportunities 55
4. Differential Beliefs and Preferences 56
a) Class 57
b) Gender 58
II. Situations 59
1. Justice, Social Relations and Group Functioning 61
2. A Relational View on Distributive Justice 63
a) Economic Orientation 64

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

b) Solidarity Orientation 66
c) Caring Orientation 68
d) Order and Authority 69
e) A Situational Framework 70
III. Context Matters 72
1. What is Context? 73
a) How Contexts Shape Individual Outcomes 74
b) Socialisation and Culture 75
c) The American Dream 78

Chapter 3: Data and Methods 81
A. Data 81
I. Samples 81
1. General Population Sample in Switzerland 82
2. University of Bern 83
3. Princeton University 83
B. Methods 83
I. Survey Experiments 84
II. The Distributional Survey Experiment 84
III. Designs of The Distributional Survey Experiments in Four Situations 87
1. The DSE in the Friends Situation 88
2. The DSE in the Work Situation 90
3. The DSE in the Family Situation 92
4. The DSE in the Public Goods Situation: Scholarships 93
IV. Analytical Strategies 95
1. Treating Outcomes as Independent 95
2. Treating the Outcomes as Ranks 96
3. Treating the Outcomes as Shares 96
4. Considering the Multilevel Structure 97

Chapter 4: What is Fair? Empirical Results 101
A. To Each According to Needs or Merit? 101
I. Descriptive Statistics of Respondent Attributes in the General Population Sample 102
II. Need and Merit – Different Situations, same Principles? 103
1. Friends: Justice in an Equality Matching Setting 104
2. Work: Justice in a Market Pricing Setting 107
3. Family: Justice in a Communal Sharing Setting 110

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access - (cc) BY-NC-ND

4. Scholarship: Justice in a Public Goods Setting 112
B. Who distributes equally and when? 116
I. Gender Differences 116
II. Class Differences 117
III. Class Differences Regarding Merit 120
1. Class and Merit in the Friends Setting 120
2. Class and Merit in the Work Setting 121
3. Class and Merit in the Family Setting 122
4. Class and Merit in the Public Goods Setting 123
C. How Context Matters 125
I. Descriptive Statistics of Respondent Attributes in the Student Samples 125
II. Effects of Context on Allocation Decisions 126
1. Belief in Meritocracy and Context: Students in Switzerland and the United States compared 127
a) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Friends Setting 127
b) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Work Setting 128
c) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Family Setting 130
d) Students in Bern and Princeton in the Public Goods Setting 131
2. Egalitarianism among students in Switzerland and the United States 132
D. Context Effects in Short 137
E. Short Summary of Results 137

Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion 139
A. Discussion of the Main Findings 141
I. Gender and Class Effects 141
II. Situations and Relational Structures – Fair is What Works Best for the Relationship 142
III. Context Shapes our Opinions on What is Fair 146
B. Limitations 146
C. Concluding Thoughts and Implications 148

Bibliography 151

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

List of Figures

3.1 Introductory text to the DSEs 88
3.2 DSE in the friends situation 90
3.3 DSE in the work situation 91
3.4 DSE in the family situation 93
3.5 DSE in the public goods / scholarship situation 95

4.1 DSE 1 – Share of sum distributed among friends 105
4.2 Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations in the friends setting 106
4.3 DSE 2 – Share of sum distributed among employees 108
4.4 Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations among employees 109
4.5 DSE 3 – Share of sum distributed among family 110
4.6 Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations among family 112
4.7 DSE 4 – Share of sum distributed among applicants for a scholarship 114
4.8 Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations in the public goods setting 115
4.9 Percentage of equal splits by situation & gender 117
4.10 Percentage of equal splits by self-proclaimed class 118
4.11 Percentage of equal splits by EGP class 119
4.12 Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the friends setting 121
4.13 Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the workplace setting 122
4.14 Predicted shares by merit & class in the family setting 123
4.15 Effects of merit on linear prediction by class in the public goods setting 124
4.16 Friends – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university 128
4.17 Work – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university 129
4.18 Family – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university 130

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

4.19 Scholarships – Predicted shares for different merit & need combinations by university 131
4.20 Percentage of equal splits in the friends situation by university & gender 133
4.21 Percentage of equal splits in the work situation by university & gender 134
4.22 Percentage of equal splits in the family situation by university & gender 135
4.23 Percentage of equal splits in the public goods situation by university & gender 136

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

List of Tables

2.1 Typology of distributive justice in terms of social relations; adapted from the relational frameworks of Deutsch (1975) and Fiske (1992) 71

3.1 Characteristics included in the DSE in the friends situation 88
3.2 Characteristics included in the DSE in the work situation 91
3.3 Characteristics included in the DSE in the family situation 92
3.4 Characteristics included in the DSE in the public goods (scholarship) situation 94

4.1 Respondent characteristics in the general population sample 102
4.2 Respondent characteristics by university 126

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748929955-1 https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -