Schriftenreihe Politische Kommunikation und demokratische Öffentlichkeit

herausgegeben von
Prof. Dr. Frank Marcinkowski
Prof. Dr. Barbara Pfetsch
Prof. Dr. Gerhard Vowe

Band 1

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Daniela Floß

The Impact of Mass Media on Political Support

A Preferences-Perceptions Model of Media Effects

Nomos
Edition Reinhard Fischer

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

This thesis was accepted as a doctoral dissertation by the Faculty of Arts of the University of Zurich in the fall semester 2009 on the recommendation of Professor Dr. Frank Marcinkowski and Professor Dr. Werner Wirth.

Foto: Stephan Floß

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Zugl.: Zurich, Univ., Diss., 2009

ISBN 978-3-8329-5387-4

1. Edition 2010
© © Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft/Edition Reinhard Fischer, Baden-Baden 2010.
Printed in Germany.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

To my family

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Acknowledgments

This thesis is the result of four years of work. Working on a dissertation is an intensive and challenging process. First and foremost, however, these were exciting and stimulating four years. During this time, I have been able to draw on the support and encouragement of my colleagues, friends and family. I am pleased to have the opportunity to express my gratitude to all of them now.

This study was conducted within the framework of the project "The Dynamics of Political Institutions in Mediated Democracies: Political Bargaining and the Transformation of the Public Sphere", led by Frank Marcinkowski. The project is embedded in the National Centre of Competence in Research: Challenges to Democracy in the 21st century (NCCR Democracy). The work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

I am indebted to my Ph.D. supervisor Frank Marcinkowski for his encouragement and guidance throughout this project. The invaluable discussions during the work leading to this dissertation have been of great value for me. His sense of logical thinking inspired this work in many ways.

I owe my most sincere gratitude to Werner Wirth for his valuable comments on the empirical parts of this study. The discussions with him on methodological issues towards the end of the project have been inspiring and encouraging.

I benefited a great deal from comments on the research design by Bill Yeaton. Abraham Vinokur provided invaluable help with statistical questions. I thank Jörg Matthes and Christian Schemer; the conversations with them were extremely informative and taught me a lot.

I am very grateful to everyone who has read earlier drafts or parts of the manuscript, especially Jan Kleinnijenhuis, Doreen Spörer, Anne Wetzel, Michel Wenzler, and Annegret Spranger. Their comments were of great value for this work. I also extend my thanks to Hillary Crowe who made the work more fluent to read and corrected mistakes in writing.

I am also very grateful to Jan Fivaz from "Smartvote Barometer" for support with the recruitment of participants for this study. I thank the journalists who edited the news articles which were used as stimulus material in the present work. Thanks also to the four students from the University of Zurich who coded the news coverage of political decision-making procedures. My sincere thanks are due to the Swiss citizens who participated in the surveys and devoted their valuable time to my research interests.

I am grateful to Doreen Spörer who, as coordinator of the doctoral programme, made every effort to make the time spent on this dissertation an efficient and enjoyable period. Thanks to my fellow doctoral students in the programme, the doctoral school of the NCCR Democracy provided a stimulating environment. Additional

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

impetus for this research was provided by participation in a summer school and conferences, partly funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.
Without the support of my family, I would never have been able to finish this work. I owe warm thanks to my parents for their boundless support. My loving thanks are due to my husband Stephan for his invaluable encouragement and understanding.
Although this work has benefited greatly from so many people's support and guidance, any mistakes that remain are my own.

Zürich, February 2010 Daniela Floß

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Contents

1. Political Support and Mass Media: Relevance and Objectives of this Study 17

2. The Impact of Mass Media on Political Attitudes 23

2.1. Political Media Information as a Predictor of Political Attitudes 24
2.1.1. The Impact of Routine Use of Political Media Information 24
2.1.2. The Impact of Certain Aspects of News Coverage 27
2.1.3. The Impact of Media Strategy Frames 30
2.1.4. Summary and Conclusion 32
2.2. Mechanisms by which Media Information has an Impact on Political Attitudes 34
2.2.1. Trough Effects on Social Reality Perceptions 35
2.2.2. Trough Effects on Issue Accessibility or Perceived Issue Importance 36
2.2.3. Trough Effects on Emotions 37
2.2.4. Trough Effects on Political Knowledge 37
2.2.5. Summary and Conclusion 38
2.3. Conditionality of the Media's Impact on Political Attitudes 39
2.3.1. The Role of Media Trust and Media Credibility 39
2.3.2. The Role of Political Sophistication 40
2.3.3. The Role of Intensity of General Media Use 42
2.3.4. The Role of Information Processing Strategies 43
2.3.5. The Role of Individual Predispositions 43
2.3.6. Summary and Conclusion 45
2.4. Summary and Suggestions for the Present Study 47

3. A Preferences-Perceptions Model of Media Effects on Political Support 50

3.1. The Preferences-Perceptions Relationship as Predictor of Political Support 52
3.2. The Media's Impact on the Preferences-Perceptions Relationship 56
3.2.1. The Media's Impact on the Perception of Political Processes 57
3.2.2. The Media's Impact on Preferences Regarding Political Processes 60
3.2.3. The Media's Presentations of Political Processes 61
3.3. Outline of the Preferences-Perceptions Model of Media Effects 63
3.4. This Study's Empirical Program to Test the Model 71

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

4. Media Presentations of Political Decision-Making Processes 74

4.1. Research Question 74
4.2. Method 75
4.2.1. Content Analysis Sample 75
4.2.2. Codebook and Reliability 77
4.2.3. Procedure 79
4.3. Results 80
4.3.1. Characteristics of the Media's Presentations of Political Processes 80
4.3.2. Identifying Presentation Patterns 85
4.4. Summary and Discussion 86

5. Measuring Citizens' Process Preferences and Perceptions 88

5.1. Hypotheses 88
5.2. Method 90
5.2.1. Variables and Operationalization 91
5.2.2. Participants and Procedures 93
5.2.3. Data Analysis 95
5.3. Results 95
5.3.1. Process Preferences: Model Development and Validation 96
5.3.2. Measuring Process Perceptions 101
5.3.3. Discriminant Validity of Preferences and Perceptions Scales 104
5.3.4. Test of Cultural Invariance of Process Preferences Scale 105
5.3.5. Process Preferences: Test of Invariance Regarding Objects of Assessment 107
5.3.6. Construct Validity of the Scales 112
5.4. Summary and Discussion 118

6. Short-Term Effects of Media on Process Perceptions and Political Support 121

6.1. Research Questions and Hypotheses 121
6.2. Method 123
6.2.1. Experimental Design and Participants 123
6.2.2. Procedures 124
6.2.3. Stimulus Material 131
6.2.4. Variables and Operationalization 134
6.2.5. Data Analysis 136
6.3. Results 136
6.3.1. Treatment and Manipulation Checks 136
6.3.2. Impact of Stimulus Articles on Process Perceptions 138
6.3.3. Effect of Stimulus Articles on Political Support via Effects on Accessibility 143

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

6.4. Summary and Discussion 144

7. Long-Term Effects of Media on Process Perceptions and Political Support 148

7.1. Research Questions and Hypotheses 148
7.2. Method 151
7.2.1. Variables and Operationalization 151
7.2.2. Participants and Procedure 154
7.2.3. Data Analysis 156
7.3. Results 159
7.3.1. The Impact of Television Use on Process Perceptions and Political Support 160
7.3.2. The Impact of Television Use on Process Preferences and Political Support 163
7.3.3. The Role of Process Preferences as Moderator of Effects on Political Support 167
7.3.4. Chronical Accessibility as Moderator 171
7.3.5. The Joint Impact of Media Use and Situational Exposure 172
7.4. Summary and Discussion 174

8. Conclusions 178

9. References 187

10. Appendix 203

10.1. Overview of Items Included in the Literature Review 203
10.2. Overview of Items Used in the Study 212
10.3. Measurement Models of Latent Factors Used in the Analyses 216

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Figures

3.1. Flow Diagram of the Preferences-Perceptions Model of Media Effects 69
3.2. Empirical Parts of the Study 73
5.1. Modified Measurement Model of Process 98
5.2. Correlated Uniqueness Model of Preferences Regarding Different Objects 109
6.1. The Impact of Stimulus Articles on Process Perceptions 142
7.1. Process Preferences as Moderator of Television Use-Support Relationship 150
7.2. The Impact of Media Use on Process Perceptions and Political Support 161
7.3. The Impact of Media Use on Process Preferences and Political Support 164
7.4. The Impact of Media Use on Discrepancies and Support 166
7.5. Differential Effects on Political Support Depending on Process Preferences 170
7.6. The Joint Impact of Media Use and Stimulus Articles on Support 173

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

Tables

4.1. Content Analysis Sample 77
4.2. Intercoder Reliability 79
4.3. Presentation of Political Processes in Articles about Government and Parliament 81
4.4. Presentation of Political Processes in Different Newspaper Types 82
4.5. Presentation of Political Processes at Different Points in Time 83
4.6. Presentation of Political Processes in Television and Press 84
4.7. Correlation between Variables Measuring the Media's Presentation of Processes 85
5.1. Comparison of Alternative Measurement Models of Process Preferences 99
5.2. Items, Factor Loadings, and Indicator Reliabilities of Process Preferences Scale 101
5.3. Items, Factor Loadings, and Indicator Reliabilities of Process Perceptions Scale 103
5.4. Process Preferences and Process Perceptions as Distinct Concepts 105
5.5. Cultural Invariance of Process Preferences 107
5.6. Results for the Correlated Uniqueness Model 110
5.7. Comparison between Preferences Regarding Parliament and Government 112
5.8. Mean Process Preferences – Perceptions Differentials 113
5.9. Relationship between Process Preferences and Percpetions 114
5.10. Respondents' Level of Political Support 116
5.11. Factor Analysis of Perceptions, Preferences, and Discrepancies Items 117
6.1. Results of Randomization Check 128
6.2. Adjusted Means for Process Perceptions in Experimental Groups 139
7.1. Intercorrelations between Manifest and Latent Variables Included in the Study 152
7.2. Predicting Support for Different Objects of Evaluation 163
7.3. Perception-Support Relation Moderated by Preferences 169
7.4. Television-Support Relation Moderated by Preferences 171

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -

https://doi.org/ - am 21.01.2026, 15:24:57. https://www.inlibra.com/de/agb - Open Access -