VI DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES AND EMPLOYABILITY

25. The Integration of Emerging Technologies into African Higher Education Curricula: Imperatives and Constraints

Theodore Habimana, Samuel Sindayigaya, Irénée Ndayambaje, Mathias Nduwimgoma, Maroua Chérif and Innocent Amen Kologwe

Introduction

The integration of emerging technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Virtual Reality (VR) into higher education curricula is a crucial step towards transforming educational practices in Africa. As Samuels and Singh (2025) highlight, the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) is reshaping global education, bringing both opportunities and challenges to institutions. The incorporation of advanced technologies is driving shifts in teaching methods, curriculum design, and institutional strategies. In South Africa, higher education institutions are already responding to these shifts by adapting their curricula to include 4IR competencies, while addressing challenges such as resource limitations and digital inclusion (Samuels & Singh, 2025). Similarly, Oyetade et al. (2025) emphasise the importance of aligning IT education with the demands of Industry 4.0, advocating for updated curricula and strategic industry collaborations to prepare students for the digital workforce. While these advancements present numerous opportunities, Bekele et al. (2023) argue that traditional Western educational models may not adequately address the unique socio-cultural contexts of African higher education. They propose the incorporation of African philosophical frameworks such as Ubuntu and Asabiyya to guide the integration of technology, fostering more humanistic and contextually relevant educational practices. By integrating AI and VR technologies into African curricula, universities can enhance students' technical and problem-solving skills, thereby improving employability and ensuring that graduates are well-equipped for the demands of a rapidly evolving digital economy. This chapter explores the implications, challenges, and potential outcomes of such integrations, aiming to contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational reform in Africa.

Literature review

Historical context of technology integration in education

The integration of technology in education has a long and evolving history, and has shaped modern educational practices. The initial stages of technology integration can be traced back to the introduction of basic audiovisual aids in classrooms during the early 20th century. Over the decades, this evolved to include computers and internet-based learning tools in the latter half of the century (Cuban, 2001). The advent of personal computers in the 1980s and the rise of the internet in the

1990s revolutionised access to information and educational resources. The integration of technology into education has thus evolved alongside rapid technological advancement. Historically, education systems have adapted to societal changes, and technology has increasingly become central in this adaptation. The shift from more teacher-centred to more interactive and student-centred models has been driven by the widespread availability of digital tools, mobile devices and internet access (Reiser, 2001; Yilmaz, 2021).

The early 21st century witnessed the proliferation of digital learning platforms, online courses, and e-learning tools, further embedding technology into the educational framework. The growing presence of technology in everyday life necessitated its inclusion in both formal and non-formal education to prepare learners with 21st-century skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and digital literacy. These innovations were driven by the recognition that technology could enhance learning experiences, improve access to education, and better prepare students for a technology-driven world (Kirkwood & Price, 2014). The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Virtual Reality (VR) is a relatively recent development, representing the next frontier in educational technology.

Current trends and research findings on the integration of AI and VR in higher education globally

Recent years have seen a surge in the adoption of AI and VR technologies in higher education institutions around the world. VR has gained traction as a powerful tool for creating immersive learning environments. By simulating real-world scenarios, VR enables students to engage in experiential learning that enhances their understanding and retention of complex concepts (Jensen & Konradsen, 2018). Studies have demonstrated that VR can be particularly effective in fields such as medicine, engineering, and the sciences, where hands-on experience is crucial (Radianti et al., 2020). For example, medical students can practice surgical procedures in a risk-free virtual environment, while engineering students can explore and interact with 3D models of structures and machinery (Pantelidis, 2010).

Meanwhile, AI has been utilised to create adaptive learning systems that tailor educational content to individual student needs, thereby enhancing learning outcomes. AI-powered tools have facilitated a shift from teacher-centred to learner-centred models, using adaptive learning platforms, natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML). Intelligent tutoring systems, automated grading systems, and predictive analytics have been shown to improve student performance and engagement (Luckin et al., 2016; Dubey & Crevar, 2025). For instance, AI can analyse student data to provide personalised feedback and support, helping educators identify and address learning gaps more effectively (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Liu et al. (2025) elaborate on the transformative power of Artificial Intelligence Generated Content (AIGC) tools like ChatGPT and Sora, which integrate text, image, and video functionalities. Their use changes teaching from a traditional teacher-student dynamic to a teacher-machine-student structure. Such tools can produce personalised, ubiquitous learning spaces and introduce human-machine collaborative models that promote interdisciplinary and high-level skills development.

However, AI-powered tools also raise challenges, including ethical concerns, algorithmic bias, outdated curricula and curriculum redesign, infrastructure limitations, and accessibility issues (Dubey &

Crevar, 2025). The use of generative AI models in particular, raises concerns over limitations in content accuracy, privacy and academic honesty (Cai et al., 2025). Therefore, cautious and ethical deployment is needed.

Relevance and potential benefits of AI and VR in African higher education contexts

The relevance of AI and VR in African higher education is underscored by the continent's unique challenges and opportunities. Africa's higher education systems face challenges such as insufficient infrastructure, and a shortage of skilled educators. Integrating AI and VR into the curriculum can help address these challenges by providing scalable and flexible learning solutions (Mbiydzenyuy & Silungwe, 2020). AI can enable personalised learning experiences, making education more accessible to a diverse student population with varying needs and backgrounds (Stenberdt et al., 2025). Meanwhile, VR can compensate for the lack of physical resources and infrastructure by creating virtual laboratories and classrooms where students can engage in practical learning experiences. This is particularly beneficial in regions where access to advanced laboratories and equipment is limited. Moreover, the use of AI and VR can enhance the employability of graduates by equipping them with digital skills and technological proficiency (Mtebe & Raisamo, 2014).

Existing challenges and barriers to technology integration in African universities

Despite the potential benefits of AI and VR in teaching and learning, several challenges continue to hinder their effective integration in African higher education. One of the most pressing obstacles is the lack of adequate infrastructure, including stable internet connectivity, consistent electricity supply, and access to modern technological equipment (Farrell & Isaacs, 2007). Many universities across the continent operate under constrained budgets, limiting their capacity to invest in the necessary hardware and software required for AI and VR applications (Wright et al., 2014). Without strong institutional support and digital infrastructure, the integration of such tools may further widen the gap between well-resourced and under-resourced institutions (Liu et al., 2025).

The infrastructural gap is compounded by a shortage of digital skills among educators. Many faculty members lack the training and technical expertise to meaningfully incorporate AI and VR tools into their pedagogical practices (Adomi & Kpangban, 2010). As a result, the uptake of these technologies remains low, even where the tools themselves are available. In addition, resistance to change within academic institutions poses another major barrier. Traditional teaching methods are deeply entrenched, and faculty members may hesitate to adopt emerging technologies due to unfamiliarity or fear of disruption (Unwin et al., 2010).

Cultural and contextual relevance is another critical concern. Much of the AI and VR content available today is developed in Western contexts, which may not always align with the educational needs, local languages, or cultural nuances of African learners (Nkuyubwatsi, 2014; Ruttkamp-Bloem, 2023; Ngaruia et al., 2023). Without localisation, these tools risk being ineffective or even alienating for students.

Theoretical framework

Theoretical foundations guiding the integration of AI and VR in curriculum design

The integration of AI and VR into higher education curricula is underpinned by several theoretical foundations. One of the primary theoretical frameworks is Constructivism, which posits that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions with their environment (Piaget, 1970). In the context of AI and VR, this theory supports the idea that immersive and interactive learning environments can facilitate deeper understanding and retention of knowledge by allowing students to engage actively with content (Jonassen, 1994).

Another relevant theoretical foundation is Vygotsky's Social Constructivism, which emphasises the importance of social interaction and collaboration in the learning process (Vygotsky, 1978). AI can facilitate collaborative learning through intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms that provide personalised feedback and support, fostering a more interactive and participatory learning environment (Luckin et al., 2016). Similarly, VR can create shared virtual spaces where students can work together on projects and simulations, enhancing their ability to learn from each other and develop collaborative skills (Jensen & Konradsen, 2018).

Cognitive Load Theory, developed by Sweller (1994), also provides a basis for integrating AI and VR in curriculum design. This theory suggests that instructional design should aim to reduce extraneous cognitive load to optimise learning efficiency. AI-driven adaptive learning systems can tailor educational content to individual student needs, ensuring that learners are neither overwhelmed nor under-challenged (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). VR, with its ability to create immersive and engaging learning experiences, can help maintain student interest and motivation, thereby reducing cognitive load and enhancing learning outcomes (Radianti et al., 2020).

Pedagogical approaches and instructional strategies for incorporating AI and VR technologies

Effective integration of AI and VR requires adopting pedagogical approaches and instructional strategies that align with these technologies' capabilities and potential benefits. Blended Learning is one such approach, combining traditional face-to-face instruction with online and technology-enhanced learning experiences (Graham, 2006). AI can support blended learning by providing personalised learning paths and real-time analytics, helping educators to identify and address individual student needs (Luckin et al., 2016). VR can complement this by offering virtual simulations and interactive experiences that enhance the face-to-face components of the curriculum (Jensen & Konradsen, 2018).

Project-Based Learning (PBL) is another pedagogical approach well-suited for integrating AI and VR. PBL encourages students to engage in complex, real-world projects that require critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration (Krajcik & Blumenfeld, 2006). AI can facilitate PBL by offering tools for project management, data analysis, and automated feedback, while VR can provide immersive environments for simulating real-world scenarios and conducting virtual fieldwork (De Freitas &

Neumann, 2009). This approach not only enhances learning outcomes but also helps students develop essential skills for the modern workforce (Mtebe & Raisamo, 2014).

Flipped Classroom models, where traditional lecture content is delivered online for students to review at their own pace, while class time is dedicated to interactive and hands-on activities, can also benefit from AI and VR integration (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). AI can support the delivery of personalised online content and monitor student progress, while VR can be used during class time to facilitate engaging and immersive activities that reinforce the online material (Pantelidis, 2010). This model can enhance student engagement and understanding, providing a more dynamic and interactive learning experience (Stenberdt et al., 2025).

Frameworks for assessing the efficacy and impact of technology integration on student learning outcomes and employability

Assessing the efficacy and impact of integrating AI and VR in higher education requires robust evaluation frameworks that consider both learning outcomes and employability. One widely used framework is the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) model, which emphasises the integration of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge to create effective teaching practices (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). This model can guide educators in designing and implementing AI and VR-enhanced curricula that align with educational goals and learning objectives.

The SAMR model (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) provides another useful framework for evaluating the integration of technology in education (Puentedura, 2013). This model categorises technology use into four levels, from basic substitution of traditional tools to the redefinition of tasks that were previously inconceivable. By applying the SAMR model, educators can assess the extent to which AI and VR technologies enhance or transform the learning experience, and measure their impact on student engagement, motivation, and performance (Jensen & Konradsen, 2018).

To specifically evaluate the impact on employability, the Career Readiness Competencies framework, developed by the United States' National Association of Colleges and Employers, can be utilised. This framework outlines essential skills and competencies that employers seek in graduates, such as critical thinking, communication, teamwork, and technological proficiency (NACE, 2017). By aligning AI and VR integration with these competencies, educators can assess how effectively the curriculum prepares students for the job market. Surveys, interviews, and longitudinal studies tracking graduates' career progression can provide valuable insights into the long-term impact of technology-enhanced education on employability (Mtebe & Raisamo, 2014). Evaluation frameworks such as TPACK, SAMR, and Career Readiness Competencies provide comprehensive methods for assessing the efficacy and impact of technology integration on student learning outcomes and employability.

Methodology and research design

This chapter reports on research which employed a mixed methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative research designs to assess the integration of AI and VR into African higher education curricula. Quantitative methods were used to gather statistical data on the prevalence and impact of AI and VR technologies in higher education, while qualitative methods provided rich, contextual information through detailed participant experiences and perspectives (Creswell, 2014). Surveys were administered to gather quantitative data from a large sample of students and faculty members across various African universities. These surveys included structured questions designed to measure perceptions, experiences, and outcomes related to AI and VR integration in the curriculum (Dillman et al., 2014). Additionally, in-depth interviews were conducted with key stakeholders, including university administrators, technology providers, and industry employers. These interviews aimed to explore the nuances of AI and VR adoption, implementation challenges, and the perceived impact on graduate employability (Kvale, 2007). Case studies of selected universities that have successfully integrated AI and VR technologies were also undertaken. These case studies provided accounts of best practices, highlighting effective strategies and lessons learned. Observations of classroom settings where AI and VR were being utilised complemented the surveys and interviews, offering a direct view of the teaching and learning processes in action (Yin, 2014).

The research included a total of 20 universities across Africa, selected to represent different regions: North, South, East, West, and Central Africa. Each region contributed four universities. Within these universities, a total of 320 participants were selected, divided equally among the four main categories: students, faculty members, university administrators, and employers. Each category included 80 participants, further stratified by region to ensure representation across the continent. Concretely, we interviewed 80 students enrolled in courses utilising AI and VR technologies (16 students from each of the five regions). We also interviewed 80 faculty members involved in teaching or developing curricula that incorporate AI and VR (16 faculty members from each region), as well as 80 university administrators responsible for strategic planning and decision-making related to technology integration (again, 16 administrators from each region). Finally, we also interviewed 80 employers from industries where AI and VR skills are in demand, providing insights into the employability of graduates (with 16 employers from each region).

Findings

Evaluation of the current status of AI and VR Integration in higher education curricula across African universities

Table 25.1. Status of AI and VR integration by region

Region	Number of Universities	Percentage Integrating AI	Percentage Integrating VR	Percentage Integrating Both
North Africa	4	75%	50%	25%
South Africa	4	100%	75%	50%
East Africa	4	50%	50%	25%
West Africa	4	50%	25%	25%
Central Africa	4	25%	25%	0%

Source: authors (summary of samples)

Table 25.2. Faculty and student perceptions on technology integration

Statement	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)	Mean score
AI enhances the learning experience	80%	15%	5%	2.75
VR provides valuable practical experience	70%	20%	10%	2.60
Integration of AI and VR is crucial for future employability	85%	10%	5%	2.80
Current infrastructure supports effective AI and VR use	40%	30%	30%	2.10

Source: Survey data from students and faculty members (n = 320).

The data analysis reveals a strong consensus on the positive impact of AI and VR in education, with the highest agreement (mean = 2.80) on their role in enhancing future employability. AI is perceived slightly more favourably (mean = 2.75) than VR (mean = 2.60), reflecting confidence in its learning benefits. However, concerns arise regarding infrastructure adequacy, as indicated by the lowest mean score (2.10), suggesting that limited resources may hinder effective implementation. Overall, while AI and VR are valued for learning and career readiness, improving infrastructure is essential to maximise their potential.

Analysis of the potential impact of technology integration on enhancing student employability

Table 25.3. Employability skills enhancement through AI and VR integration

Skills	Percentage of students reporting improvement
Creativity	70%
Collaboration and teamwork	75%
Technical proficiency	80%
Critical thinking	85%
Problem-solving	90%

Source: Survey data from students (n = 80).

Table 25.3 illustrates the impact of AI and VR integration on enhancing employability skills, based on survey responses from 80 students. The findings suggest that students recognise AI and VR as valuable tools for developing essential skills relevant to the job market. The integration of these technologies is perceived to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, fostering competencies such as problem-solving, adaptability, and technical proficiency. This underscores the growing importance of AI and VR in preparing students for future careers, highlighting the need for educational institutions to invest in their effective implementation.

Identification of successful implementation strategies and best practices

Table 25.4. Successful strategies for AI and VR integration

Strategy	Example Implementation	Reported Benefits
Blended Learning	Combining online AI-driven modules with in-class VR	Increased engagement, personalised learning
Project-Based Learning (PBL)	VR simulations for real-world problem solving	Enhanced critical thinking, practical skills
Faculty Training Programs	Regular workshops on AI and VR technology use	Improved teaching quality, confidence in tech use
Partnerships with Industry	Collaboration with tech companies for resource sharing	Access to latest technology, industry-relevant curriculum

Source: Case study data from selected universities (n = 4).

Table 25.4 showcases effective AI and VR integration strategies in education. Blended learning enhances engagement and personalisation, while PBL improves critical thinking through VR simulations. Faculty training boosts teaching quality, and industry partnerships provide access to cutting-edge technology. These strategies collectively enhance learning and employability.

Examination of challenges and barriers encountered during the integration process

Table 25.5. Challenges in AI and VR integration

Category	Challenge	Percentage of respondents reporting this challenge
Infrastructural Limitations	Lack of reliable internet, inadequate hardware	65%
Budget Constraints	Limited funding for technology purchase and maintenance	70%
Faculty Resistance and Training Needs	Resistance to change, insufficient training on new technologies	50%
Cultural and Contextual Relevance	AI and VR content not always aligned with local educational needs and cultural contexts	45%

Source: Interview data from faculty members and university administrators (n = 160).

Table 25.5 highlights key challenges in AI and VR integration, based on insights from 160 faculty members and university administrators. Common obstacles include limited infrastructure, high implementation costs, and a lack of technical expertise. Additionally, resistance to change and insufficient faculty training hinder effective adoption. Addressing these challenges requires strategic investment, capacity-building initiatives, and institutional support to maximise the benefits of AI and VR in education.

Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Virtual Reality (VR) in higher education across Africa, while promising, is met with multifaceted challenges and contextual specificities that must be acknowledged and addressed. As observed in this study, one of the key barriers remains infrastructural deficits, including unstable internet connectivity, limited access to updated technological tools, and a lack of qualified personnel, which mirror the systemic constraints highlighted by Farrell and Isaacs (2007) and Wright et al. (2014). This reflects the broader continental trend where universities continue to operate under strained budgets, limiting their readiness for 4IR-driven educational transformation.

The alignment between these findings and Samuels and Singh (2025) is particularly notable in terms of curriculum reform and institutional responsiveness to 4IR. South African institutions, as they observe, are beginning to revise curricula to embed digital competencies, a pattern echoed in our study's findings where some universities are piloting AI/VR in isolated programs. However, unlike the relatively advanced progress noted in South Africa, institutions in other parts of Africa remain at nascent stages, reinforcing the need for differentiated strategies sensitive to resource disparities.

Indeed, the findings corroborate the assertion by Oyetade et al. (2025) that there is a growing urgency to realign educational offerings with industry demands. Several stakeholders in the study pointed to the mismatch between graduates' skills and labour market needs, reinforcing the call for collaborative partnerships between academia and industry. Without such strategic alliances, the continent risks producing graduates ill-equipped for the digital workforce.

Another contribution of this study is its support for the culturally grounded approach proposed by Bekele et al. (2023). Several participants expressed scepticism toward "imported" AI/VR solutions that ignore local languages, pedagogical traditions, and values. This confirms the need for a contextualised approach to educational innovation, one that blends technological advancement with African epistemologies such as *Ubuntu* and *Asabiyya*. The emphasis on humanistic values in the adoption of educational technology can also mitigate potential alienation of learners and educators, promoting ownership and relevance.

Moreover, the study validates the benefits of AI and VR as outlined by Luckin et al. (2016) and Jensen & Konradsen (2018). Institutions that managed to deploy AI tools for adaptive learning or VR for immersive simulations reported increased learner engagement and enhanced comprehension, especially in STEM fields. However, these benefits remain localised and unevenly distributed, which raises equity concerns consistent with those raised by Dubey and Crevar (2025) and Liu et al. (2025). Their work stresses that while AI-driven tools enhance personalisation and assessment, they also introduce ethical dilemmas, potential algorithmic bias, and accessibility gaps. These concerns were echoed by participants in this study, particularly in relation to data privacy and equitable access.

Finally, while global studies such as Cai et al. (2025) point to the growing influence of generative AI tools like ChatGPT in academic writing and peer review, the present study found that African institutions are still exploring these applications cautiously. Concerns around academic integrity, digital literacy, and lack of regulatory guidance were frequently mentioned. This highlights the need for regionally developed policies and frameworks to guide the ethical and effective integration of generative AI in academia.

Conclusion and recommendations

This study highlights the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Virtual Reality (VR) in African higher education, particularly in enhancing student employability and developing critical 21st-century skills. Faculty and student responses indicate strong support for the integration of these technologies, with reported improvements in problem-solving, technical proficiency, and adaptability. However, disparities in adoption across regions reveal the significant impact of infrastructural readiness, faculty preparedness, and institutional commitment.

Key challenges hindering sustainable integration include inadequate digital infrastructure, high implementation costs, and insufficient faculty training. Despite these barriers, successful strategies such as blended learning, project-based approaches, and industry collaborations have shown promising results. Nonetheless, scaling these innovations requires a more structured, collaborative, and contextually grounded approach.

AI and VR integration represents a paradigm shift with the potential to revolutionise curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment. These technologies offer personalised, immersive learning experiences and enhanced student engagement. Yet, their success depends on addressing contextual realities—particularly the digital divide, ethical concerns, and the need for educator capacity building. Anchoring these innovations in African cultural values such as Ubuntu ensures their relevance, inclusivity, and sustainability. Moving forward, visionary leadership, sound policy frameworks, and cross-sector partnerships are essential to align global technological advances with local educational aspirations.

To successfully integrate emerging technologies such as AI and VR in African higher education, a comprehensive and strategic approach is required. This includes formulating national and institutional policies that embed technology integration into long-term educational and digital transformation agendas. Equally vital is capacity building, through continuous professional development to equip educators with both technical and pedagogical competencies. Investing in equitable digital infrastructure ensures inclusive access across diverse geographic contexts, while curriculum redesign should incorporate AI- and VR-enhanced learning outcomes aligned with Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) demands. Moreover, ethical and culturally responsive integration—grounded in values like Ubuntu—is essential to uphold data privacy, academic integrity, and contextual relevance. Finally, multi-stakeholder collaboration among academia, industry, government, and communities is crucial for co-creating scalable and context-appropriate models that ensure sustainable and impactful technology adoption.

References

Adomi, E. E. & Kpangban, E. (2010). Application of ICTs in Nigerian secondary schools. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, Article 345.

Bekele, T. A., Amponsah, S. & Karkouti, I. M. (2023). African philosophy for successful integration of technology in higher education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(6), 1520-1538. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13364

Bishop, J. L. & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. Paper presented at 2013 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Atlanta, Georgia.

Cai, Y., Deng, Q., Lv, T., Zhang, W. & Zhou, Y. (2025). Impact of GPT on the academic ecosystem. *Science and Education, 34*(2), 913–931. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-024-00561-9

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Cuban, L. (2001). *Oversold and underused: Computers in the classroom.* Harvard University Press.

De Freitas, S. & Neumann, T. (2009). The use of exploratory learning for supporting immersive learning in virtual environments. *Computers & Education, 52*(2), 343-352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.09.010

Dubey, P. K. & Crevar, A. R. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence in higher education: Enhancing pedagogy, instruction, and administration. In V. Wang (Ed.), *AI integration into andragogical education* (pp. 181–208). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0502-8.ch008

Farrell, G. & Isaacs, S. (2007). *Survey of ICT and education in Africa: A summary report, based on 53 country surveys*. InfoDev/World Bank.

Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3-21). Pfeiffer.

Jensen, L. & Konradsen, F. (2018). A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies, 23*(4), 1515-1529. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0

Jonassen, D. H. (1994). Thinking technology: Toward a constructivist design model. *Educational Technology, 34*(4), 34-37.

Kirkwood, A. & Price, L. (2014). Technology-enhanced learning and teaching in higher education: What is "enhanced" and how do we know? A critical literature review. *Learning, Media and Technology, 39*(1), 6-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2013.770404

Krajcik, J. S. & Blumenfeld, P. C. (2006). Project-based learning. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 317-333). Cambridge University Press.

Liu, S., Wu, C., Zhou, Y., Wang, H. & Sun, H. (2025, March). From ChatGPT to SORA–AIGC empowering the digital transformation in higher education. In *Proceedings of the 2024 7th International Conference on Educational Technology Management (ICETM 2024)* (pp. 322-327). ACM.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M. & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.

Mishra, P. & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record, 108*(6), 1017-1054.

Mbiydzenyuy, N. E. & Silungwe, D. (2020). Teaching and earning in resource-limited settings in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Educational Technology & Online Learning, 3*(3), 211-223.

Mtebe, J. S. & Raisamo, R. (2014). Investigating students' behavioural intention to adopt and use mobile learning in higher education in East Africa. *International Journal of Education and Development Using Information and Communication Technology, 10*(3), 4-20.

NACE. (2017). Career readiness defined. National Association of Colleges and Employers. Retrieved from https://www.naceweb.org/career-readiness/competencies/career-readiness-defined/

Ngaruiya, N., Donner, J., Baru, J. K. & Chege, B. W. (2023). The domestication of AI by Kenyan digital creators. AfriCHI 2023. November 27-December 1, 2023, East London, South Africa. https://doi.org/10.1145/3628086.3628753

Nkuyubwatsi, B. (2014). Cultural translation of massive open online courses (MOOCs). In Proceedings of the European MOOC Stakeholder Summit 2014 (pp. 122-129). P.A.U. Education.

Oyetade, K., Zuva, T. & Harmse, A. (2025). Integrating Industry 4.0 technologies into IT education. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1), 2479195. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2479195

Pantelidis, V. S. (2010). Reasons to use virtual reality in education and training courses and a model to determine when to use virtual reality. *Themes in Science and Technology Education, 2*(1-2), 59-70.

Piaget, J. (1970). Piaget's theory. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), *Carmichael's manual of child psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 703-732). Wiley.

Puentedura, R. R. (2013). SAMR: Moving from enhancement to transformation. http://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/2013/05/29/SAMREnhancementToTransformation.pdf

Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J. & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education, 147*, Article 103778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778

Reiser, R. A. (2001). A history of instructional design and technology: Part I: A history of instructional media. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 49*(1), 53-64.

Ruttkamp-Bloem, E. (2023) Epistemic just and dynamic AI ethics in Africa. In D. O. Eke, K. Wakunuka & S. Akintoye (Eds.), *Responsible AI in Africa: Challenges and opportunities* (pp. 13-34). Palgrave Macmillan.

Samuels, A. B. & Singh, U. (2025). Education reimagined: South Africa's journey through the 4IR and beyond. *Transformation in Higher Education*, *10*(0), a482. https://doi.org/10.4102/the.v10i0.482

Stenberdt, V., Shiwalia, B. M. & Makransky, G. (2025). Re-experiencing vs. self-explaining: Comparing generative learning activities in VR. *Educational Psychology Review, 37*(117), 1-47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-025-10096-2

Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction, 4*(4), 295-312.

Unwin, T., Kleessen, B., Hollow, D., Williams, J. B., Oloo, L. M., Alwala, J. & Muianga, X. (2010). Digital learning management systems in Africa: Myths and realities. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning, 25*(1), 5-23.

Wright, C. R., Dhanarajan, G. & Reju, S. A. (2009). Recurring issues encountered by distance educators in developing and emerging nations. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 10*(1). https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v10i1.608

Yılmaz, A. (2021). The effect of technology integration in education on prospective teachers' critical and creative thinking, multidimensional 21st century skills and academic achievements. *Participatory Educational Research, 8*(2), 163-199. https://doi.org/10.17275/per.21.35.8.2

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M. & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0