

Bibliography

Primary Sources

International Agreements

1. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (adopted 10 December 1948) UNGA Res 217 A(III).
2. Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (adopted 4 November 1950) (European Convention on Human Rights, as amended).
3. Convention on the Unification of Certain Points of Substantive Law on Patents for Invention of 27 November 1963 (ETS No. 047) (adopted 27 November 1963).
4. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (adopted 16 December 1966, entered into force 23 March 1976) 999 UNTS 171.
5. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (adopted 16 December 1966, entered into force 3 January 1976) 993 UNTS 3.
6. Convention on the Grant of European Patents of 5 October 1973 (European Patent Convention): <<https://new.epo.org/en/legal/epc-1973/2006/convention.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
7. Convention on the Grant of European Patents of 5 October 1973, as revised on 17 December 1991 and on 29 November 2000 (European Patent Convention), [2001] OJ EPO 55: <<http://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/epc.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
8. 1975 Convention for the European Patent for the common market (Community Patent Convention) (not in force).
9. African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (adopted 27 June 1981, entered into force 21 October 1986) (1982) 21 ILM 58.
10. 5 June 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity <<https://www.cbd.int/convention/text/>> accessed 30 May 2023.
11. Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (Marrakesh, Morocco, 15 April 1994), Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, Annex 1C, THE LEGAL TEXTS: THE RESULTS OF THE URUGUAY ROUND OF MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS 321 (1999), 1869 U.N.T.S. 299, 33 I.L.M. 1197 (1994).
12. Convention for the protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine (ETS No. 164) (adopted 4 June 1997).
13. Agreement on a Unified Patent Court, OJ C 175, 20.6.2013, p. 1.

Legislation of the European Union

1. Consolidated version of the Treaty on the European Union, OJ, 2016 C 202, p. 13.
2. Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, OJ, 2016 C 202, p. 47.
3. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, OJ, 2016 C 202, p. 389.
4. Directive 98/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 1998 on the legal protection of biotechnological inventions, OJ, 1998 L 213, p. 13.
5. Council Regulation (EU) No 1260/2012 of 17 December 2012 implementing enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection with regard to the applicable translation arrangements, OJ, 2012 L 361, p. 89.
6. Regulation (EU) No 1257/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2012 implementing enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection, OJ, 2012 L 361, p. 208.
7. European Parliament resolution on the decision by the European Patent Office with regard to patent No EP 695 351 granted on 8 December 1999, 30 March 2000, OJ C 378, p. 95.

Legislation of the Republic of Lithuania

1. Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania (*Lietuvos Respublikos Konstitucija*). *Valstybės žinios (Official Gazette)*, 1992, No. 33-1014.
2. Civil Code of the Republic of Lithuania (*Lietuvos Respublikos civilinis kodeksas*). *Valstybės žinios (Official Gazette)*, 2000, No. VIII-1864.
3. Patent Law of the Republic of Lithuania (*Lietuvos Respublikos patentų įstatymas*). *Valstybės žinios (Official Gazette)*, 1994, No. 8-120.
4. 16 October 2012 Order ‘On the Confirmation of the List of Study Branches Comprising Science Fields’ (*Dėl mokslo krypčių patvirtinimo*). *Valstybės žinios (Official Gazette)*, 2012, No. V-1457.
5. 6 February 2019 Order ‘On the on the adoption of the Classifications of the Fields of Science and of the Fields of Art’ (*Dėl Mokslo krypčių ir Meno krypčių klasifikatorių patvirtinimo*). *Valstybės žinios (Official Gazette)*, 2019, No. V-93.

Legal Acts of the Kingdom of Spain

1. Spanish Constitution (*Constitución Española*) <http://www.senado.es/constitu_i/in dex.html> accessed 30 May 2023.

Legal Acts of the Federal Republic of Germany

1. Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (*Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland*) <<https://www.bundestag.de/gg>> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. German Patent Act as published on 16 December 1980 (*Patentgesetz*) (Federal Law Gazette 1981 I p. 1), as last amended by Article 4 of the Act of 8 October 2017 (Federal Law Gazette I p. 3546).

Legal Acts of the European Patent Office

1. Decision of the EPO Administrative Council dated 16 June 1999, concerning amendments to the Implementing Regulations to the European Patent Convention (EPC), OJ EPO 7/1999, p. 437.
2. Notice dated 1 July 1999 concerning the amendment of the Implementing Regulations to the European Patent Convention, OJ EPO 08-09/1999, p. 573.
3. 12 December 2002 Implementing Regulations to the European Patent Convention, [2003] OJ EPO, p. 57.

Case Law of the Court of Justice of European Union

1. Case C-377/98 *Netherlands v Parliament and Council* [2001] ECR I-07079.
2. Opinion of the Court 1/09 [2011] ECR I-01137.
3. Case C-34/10 *Oliver Brüstle v Greenpeace eV* [2011] ECR- I-09821.
4. Case C-364/13 *International Stem Cell Corporation v Comptroller General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks*, EU:C:2014:2451.

Case Law of the Enlarged Board of Appeals of the European Patent Office

1. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Transgenic plant/NOVARTIS II*, Decision of 20 December 1999, Case No. G 0001/98, ECLI:EP:BA:1999:G000198.19991220.
2. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Use of embryos/WARF*, 25 November 2008, Case No. G 0002/06, EP:BA:2008:G000206.20081125.
3. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Verwendung von Embryonen/WARF*, Decision of 25 November 2008, Case No. G 0002/06, EP:BA:2008:G000206.20081125.
4. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Utilisation d'embryons/WARF*, Decision of 25 November 2008, Case No. G 0002/06, EP:BA:2008:G000206.20081125.
5. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Pepper (follow-up to Tomatoes II and Broccoli II)*, Decision of 14 May 2020, Case No. G 0003/19, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:G000319.20200514.
6. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Paprika (im Anschluss an „Tomate II“ und „Broccoli II“)*, Decision of 14 May 2020, Case No. G 0003/19, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:G000319.20200514.
7. Enlarged Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Poivron (faisant suite à "Tomate II" et "Brocoli II")*, Decision of 14 May 2020, Case No. G 0003/19, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:G000319.20200514.

Case Law of the Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office

1. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Onco-Mouse*, Decision of 3 October 1990, Case No. T 0019/90, EP:BA:1990:T001990.19901003.

Bibliography

2. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Krebsmaus*, Decision of 3 October 1990, Case No. T 0019/90, EP:BA:1990:T001990.19901003.
3. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Souris oncogene*, Decision of 3 October 1990, Case No. T 0019/90, EP:BA:1990:T001990.19901003.
4. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Plant cells/PLANT GENETIC SYSTEMS*, Decision of 21 February 1995, Case No. T 0356/93, EP:BA:1995:T035693.19950221.
5. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Pflanzenzellen*, Decision of 21 February 1995, Case No. T 0356/93, EP:BA:1995:T035693.19950221.
6. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Cellules de plantes*, Decision of 21 February 1995, Case No. T 0356/93, EP:BA:1995:T035693.19950221.
7. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Heat treated Factor VIII/CEDARS-SINAI*, Decision of 18 November 1998, Case No. T 0919/93, EP:BA:1998:T091993.19981118.
8. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Relaxin/HOWARD FLOREY INSTITUTE*, Decision of 23 October 2002, Case No. T 0272/95, EP:BA:2002:T027295.20021023.
9. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Stem Cells/WARF*, Decision of 7 April 2004, Case No. T 1374/04, EP:BA:2006:T137404.20060407.
10. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Stammzellen/WARF*, Decision of 7 April 2004, Case No. T 1374/04, EP:BA:2006:T137404.20060407.
11. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Cellules souches/WARF*, Decision of 7 April 2004, Case No. T 1374/04, EP:BA:2006:T137404.20060407.
12. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Phosphinothricin-Resistenzgen/BAYER*, Decision of 15 June 2004, Case No. T 0475/01, EP:BA:2004:T047501.20040615.
13. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Transgenic animals/HARVARD*, Decision of 6 July 2004, Case No. T 0315/03, EP:BA:2004:T031503.20040706.
14. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Genetisch manipulierte Tiere/HARVARD*, Decision of 6 July 2004, Case No. T 0315/03, EP:BA:2004:T031503.20040706.
15. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Animaux transgeniques/HARVARD*, Decision of 6 July 2004, Case No. T 0315/03, EP:BA:2004:T031503.20040706.
16. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Euthanasia Compositions/MICHIGAN STATE UNIV*, Decision of 11 May 2005, Case No. T 0866/01, EP:BA:2005:T086601.20050511.
17. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Gene trap/ARTEMIS*, Decision of 21 January 2006, Case No. T 0606/03, EP:BA:2006:T060603.20060112.
18. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Breast and Ovarian Cancer/UNIVERSITY OF UTAH*, Decision of 27 September 2007, Case No. T 1213/05, EP:BA:2007:T121305.20070927.
19. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Mutation/UNIVERSITY OF UTAH*, Decision of 13 November 2008, Case No. T 0666/05, EP:BA:2008:T066605.20081113.
20. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Method of diagnosis/UNIVERSITY OF UTAH*, Decision of 19 November 2008, Case No. T 0080/05, EP:BA:2008:T008005.20081119.

21. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Stem cells/CALIFORNIA*, Decision of 24 May 2009, Case No. T 0522/04, EP:BA:2009:T052204.20090528.
22. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Perfused microtissue/MIT*, Decision of 4 September 2009, Case No. T 0329/06, EP:BA:2009:T032906.20090904.
23. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *no headword*, Decision of 25 November 2010, Case No. T 0385/09, EP:BA:2010:T038509.20101125.
24. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Tomatoes II/STATE OF ISRAEL*, Decision of 31 May 2012, Case No. T 1242/06, EP:BA:2012:T124206.20120531.
25. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Tomaten II/STAAT ISRAEL*, Decision of 31 May 2012, Case No. T 1242/06, EP:BA:2012:T124206.20120531.
26. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Tomates II/ÉTAT D'ISRAËL*, Decision of 31 May 2012, Case No. T 1242/06, EP:BA:2012:T124206.20120531.
27. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Non-invasive localization/LELAND STANFORD*, Decision of 13 July 2012, Case No. T 1262/04, EP:BA:2012:T126204.20120713.
28. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Modulation of stem cells/SANGAMO BIOSCIENCES*, Decision of 16 October 2012, Case No. T 1176/09, EP:BA:2012:T117609.20121016.
29. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *no headword*, Decision of 24 January 2013, Case No. T 0149/11, EP:BA:2013:T014911.20130124.
30. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Gewinnung von embryonalen Stammzellen/WÜRFEL*, Decision of 9 April 2013, Case No. T 1836/10, EP:BA:2013:T183610.20130409.
31. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Culturing stem cells/TECHNION*, Decision of 4 February 2014, Case No. T 2221/10, ECLI:EP:BA:2014:T222110.20140204.
32. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Embryonic stem cells, disclaimer/ASTERIAS*, Decision of 9 September 2014, Case No. T 1441/13, EP:BA:2014:T144113.20140909.
33. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Neurale Vorläuferzellen/BRÜSTLE*, Decision of 26 February 2015, Case No. T 1808/13, EP:BA:2015:T180813.20150226.
34. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Human pluripotent progenitor stem cells/PROGENITOR LABS*, Decision of 31 May 2016, Case No. T 2365/13, EP:BA:2016:T236513.20160531.
35. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Procédé et système de transport collectif*, Decision of 21 September 2017, Case No. T 0369/13, ECLI:EP:BA:2017:T036913.20170921.
36. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *In vitro differentiated cardiomyocytes/AXIOGENESIS*, Decision of 11 September 2019, Case No. T 0385/14, ECLI:EP:BA:2019:T038514.20190911.
37. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Non-human organism/INTREXON*, Decision of 5 June 2020, Case No. T 0682/16, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:T078916.20200605.
38. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Non-human organism/INTREXON*, Decision of 5 June 2020, Case No. T 0789/16, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:T078916.20200605.

Bibliography

39. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Human hepatocytes/OREGON UNIVERSITY*, Decision of 21 July 2020, Case No. T 1111/14, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:T111114.20200721.
40. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Rabbit skin extract/VANWORLD (RUGAO)*, Decision of 28 September 2020, Case No. T 1553/15, ECLI:EP:BA:2020:T155315.20200928.
41. Board of Appeal (European Patent Office), *Non-human animals/MAX PLANCK*, Decision of 1 February 2021, Case No. T 0186/18, ECLI:EP:BA:2021:T018618.20210201.

Case Law of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office

1. Opposition Division (European Patent Office), *Lubrizol Genetics Inc.*, Decision of 5 June 1992, EP 84302533.9.
2. Opposition Division (European Patent Office), *Leland Stanford/Modified Animals*, Decision of 16 August 2001, application No. 88312222.8.
3. Opposition Division (European Patent Office), *Onco-mouse/HARVARD*, Decision of 7 November 2001, [2003] OJ EPO 473, Application No. 85304490.7.
4. Opposition Division (European Patent Office), *Edinburgh Patent*, Decision of 21 July 2003, Application No. 94913174.2.

Case Law of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office

1. Examining Division (European Patent Office), *Harvard/Onco-Mouse*, Decision of 14 July 1989 [1989] OJ EPO 451, application No. 85304490.7.

Secondary Sources

Books and Studies

1. Alford CF, *From Aquinas to International Human Rights* (Palgrave Macmillan 2010).
2. Anzenbacher A, *Etikos įvadas* (aidai 1995).
3. Austin J, *Austin: The Province of Jurisprudence Determined* (Wilfrid E Rumble ed, Cambridge University Press 1995).
4. Baublys L, *Antikinė teisingumo samprata ir jos įtaka Vakarų teisės tradicijai* (Mykolo Romerio universitetas 2005).
5. Baublys L and others, *Teisės teorijos įvadas* (Mes 2010).
6. Bently L and Sherman B, *Intellectual Property Law* (3rd edn, OUP 2009).
7. Bentham J, *An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation* (London, 1789).
8. Berman HJ, *Faith and Order. The Reconciliation of Law and Religion* (Scholars Press 1993).
9. Berman HJ, *Law and Revolution, II. The Impact of the Protestant Reformations on the Western Legal Tradition* (Belknap Press 2003).
10. Berman HJ, *Teisė ir revoliucija: vakarų teisės tradicijos formavimasis* (Pradai 1999).

11. Beyleveld D and Brownsword R, *Mice, Morality and Patents: The Onco-mouse Application and Article 53(a) of the European Patent Convention* (Intellectual Property Institute 1993).
12. Bhat PI, *Idea and Methods of Legal Research* (OUP 2020).
13. Birštonas R and others, *Intelektinės nuosavybės teisė* (Registru centras 2010).
14. Brague R, *Ekscentriškoji Europos tapatybė* (AIDAI 2001).
15. *Case Law of the Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office* (10th edn, European Patent Office, Legal Research Service of the Boards of Appeal 2022).
16. Chalmers AF, *Kas yra mokslas?* (Apostrofa 2005).
17. Clagett M, *The Science of Mechanics in the Middle Ages* (The University of Wisconsin Press 1959).
18. Cohen IB, *Revolution in Science* (Harvard University Press) 1985.
19. Davies H and Holdcroft D, *Jurisprudence: Texts and Commentary* (Butterworths 1991).
20. Díaz Pozo M, *Patenting Genes. The Requirement of Industrial Application* (Edward Elgar 2017).
21. Drahos P, *The Global Governance of Knowledge: Patent Office and Their Clients* (Cambridge University Press 2010).
22. Dworkin R, *Justice for Hedgehogs* (Harvard University Press 2011).
23. Finnis J, *Natural law and Natural Rights* (OUP 1980).
24. Fuller LL, *The Morality of Law* (Yale University Press 1964).
25. Gervais D, *The TRIPS Agreement, Drafting History and Analysis* (3rd edn, Sweet&Maxwell 2008).
26. Glenn HP, *Legal Traditions of the World* (4th edn, OUP 2009).
27. Goldman DB, *Globalisation and the Western Legal Tradition: Recurring Patterns of Law and Authority* (Cambridge University Press 2008).
28. Guellec D and van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie B, *The Economics of the European Patent System* (OUP 2007).
29. Halperin S and Heath O, *Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills* (OUP 2012).
30. Hart HLA, *Teisės samprata* (Pradai 1997).
31. Head JW, *Great Legal Traditions* (Carolina Academic Press 2011).
32. Hellstadius A, *A Quest for Clarity: Reconstructing Standards for the Patent Law Morality Exclusion* (Stockholm University 2015).
33. Holmes OW, *The Common Law* (Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 1963).
34. Jasanoff S, *Science at the Bar. Law, Science, and Technology in America* (Harvard University Publishing 1997).
35. – –, *Designs on Nature: Science and Democracy in Europe and the United States* (Princeton University Press 2005).
36. Jonutyte J, *Tradicijos sąvokos kaita* (Vilniaus universitetas 2011).

37. Kamperman Sanders A and others, 'Final Report of the Expert Group on Patent Law in the Field of Development and Importance of Biotechnology and Gene Technology' (Directorate General Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs (DG GROW) 2016) <https://cris.maastrichtuniversity.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/4753322/Report_of_Biotech_Expert_Group.pdf> accessed 30 May 2023.
38. Kant I, *Practical Philosophy* (Mary J Gregor ed and tr, Cambridge University Press 1999).
39. Kelsen H, *Grynoji teisės teorija* (Eugrimas 2002).
40. Kersten J, *Das Klonen von Menschen. Eine verfassungs-, europa- und völkerrechtliche Kritik* (Mohr Siebeck 2004).
41. Kramer MH, *Where Law and Morality Meet* (OUP 2008).
42. Kuhn TS, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (University Chicago Press 1970).
43. – –, *The Essential Tension: Selected Studies in Scientific Tradition and Change* (The University Chicago Press 1977).
44. Kuzmickas B, *Filosofijos istorijos apybraižos* (Mykolas Romeris University 2012).
45. Leskien D and Flitner M, *Intellectual Property Rights and Plant Genetic Resources: Options for a Sui Generis System* (International Plant Genetic Resources Institute 1997).
46. Levi-Strauss C, *Antropologija modernaus pasaulio problemų akistatoje* (Žara 2011).
47. Lindberg DC, *The Beginnings of Western Science* (Chicago University Press 1992).
48. Lyles M, *A Call for Scientific Purity: Axel Hägerström's Critique of Legal Science* (Institutet för Rätthistorisk Forskning 2006).
49. Machlup F, 'An Economic Review of the Patent System', Study of the Subcommittee on Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights of the Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, 85th Cong., 2nd Sess., Study No. 15 (U.S. Government Printing Office 1958).
50. MacIntyre A, *Whose Justice, Which Rationality?* (Notre Dame University Press 1988).
51. Maris CV, *Critique of the Empiricist Explanation of Morality* (Kluwer-Deventer 1981).
52. McLeod I, *Legal Theory* (3rd edn, Palgrave Macmillan 2005).
53. Mikelėnienė D and Mikelėnas V, *Teismo procesas: teisės aiškinimo ir taikymo aspektai* (Justitia 1999).
54. Mills O, *Biotechnological Inventions: Moral Restraints and Patent Law* (Ashgate 2010).
55. Nekrašas E, *Filosofijos įvadas* (Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidykla 1993).
56. Newell-McGloughlin M and Re E, *The Evolution of Biotechnology. From Natufians to Nanotechnology* (Springer 2006).
57. O'Byrne DJ, *Human Rights in a Globalizing World* (Palgrave 2016).
58. O'Connell D, *Harvesting External Innovation: Managing External Relationships and Intellectual Property* (Routledge 2016).

59. OECD, '21st Century Technologies: Promises and Perils of a Dynamic Future' (OECD Publishing 1998).
60. OECD, *OECD Glossary of Statistical Terms* (OECD Publishing 2008).
61. Oudemans G (with foreword by JA Kemp), *The draft European Patent Convention: a commentary with English and French texts* (Stevens & Sons 1963).
62. Pila J and Torremans P, *European Intellectual Property Law* (OUP 2016).
63. Pires de Carvalho N, *The TRIPS Regime of Patent Rights* (3rd edn, Kluwer Law International 2010).
64. Pocock JGA, *Political Thought and History. Essays on Theory and Method* (Cambridge University Press 2009).
65. Popper K, *The Logic of Scientific Discovery* (2nd edn, Routledge 2002).
66. – –, *Conjectures and Refutations: The Growth of Scientific Knowledge* (reprint edn, Routledge 2004).
67. Radin MJ, *Contested Commodities* (Harvard University Press 1996).
68. Russell B, *The Impact of Science on Society* (George Allen & Unwin LTD 1952).
69. Sharma A, *Are Human Rights Western?: A Contribution to the Dialogue of Civilizations* (Cambridge University Press 2005).
70. Schwab K, *The fourth industrial revolution* (World Economic Forum 2016).
71. Sinclair I, *The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties* (Manchester University Press 1984).
72. Singer M and Stauder D, *The European Patent Convention. A Commentary*, vol 1 (3rd edn, Carl Heymanns and Sweet & Maxwell 2003).
73. Smith JE, *Biotechnology* (5th edn, Cambridge University Press 2009).
74. Shils E, *Tradition* (The University Chicago Press 1981).
75. Sommer T, *Can Law Make Life (too) Simple?: From Gene Patents to the Patenting of Environmentally Sound Technologies* (DJOF Publishing 2013).
76. Somsen J, *Regulating Modern Biotechnology in a Global Risk Society: Challenges for Science, Law and Society* (Amsterdam University Press 2005).
77. Stazi A, *Biotechnological Inventions and Patentability of Life. The US and European Experience* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2015).
78. Sterckx S and Cockbain J, *Exclusions from Patentability, How Far Has the European Patent Office Eroded Boundaries?* (Cambridge University Press 2012).
79. Tamanaha BZ, *General Jurisprudence of Law and Society* (OUP 2001).
80. *The Bible. The Book of Genesis* <<https://www.bible.com/bible/59/GEN.1.ESV>> accessed 30 May 2023.
81. Twining W, *Globalisation and Legal Theory* (Cambridge University Press 2000).
82. – –, *General Jurisprudence* (Cambridge University Press 2012).
83. UNCTAD-ICTSD, *Resource Book on TRIPS and Development* (Cambridge University Press 2005).
84. Vaičaitis VA, *Hermeneutinė teisės samprata ir konstitucija* (Justitia 2009).

Bibliography

85. Van Zimmeren E, 'Towards a New Patent Paradigm in the Biomedical Sector? Facilitating Access, Open Innovation and Social Responsibility in Patent Law in the US, Europe and Japan'(PhD thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Faculty of Law Centre for Intellectual Property Rights, 2011).
86. Williams LP and Steffens HJ, *The History of Science in Western Civilization*, vol 2 (University Press of America 1978).
87. Visser D, *The Annotated European Patent Convention 1973* (H Tel Publisher BV 2006).
88. Zamboni M, 'Legal Realisms and the Dilemma of the Relationship of Contemporary Law and Politics' <<http://www.scandinavianlaw.se/pdf/48-34.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
89. Ziman JM, *Public knowledge: an essay concerning the social dimension of science* (Cambridge University Press 1968).
90. – –, *Science in Civil Society* (Imprint Academic 2007).

Contributions to Edited Books

1. Berman HJ, 'The Western legal tradition: The interaction of revolutionary innovation and evolutionary growth' In Peter Bernholz, Manfred E Streit and Roland Vaubel (eds), *Political Competition, Innovation and Growth* (Springer 1998) 35-47.
2. Bonadio E, 'Patents and Morality in Europe' in Irene Calboli and Srividhya Ragavan (eds), *Diversity in Intellectual Property: Identities, Interests, and Intersections* (Cambridge University Press 2015) 149-168.
3. Brownsword R, 'Human Rights – What Hope? Human Dignity – What Scope?' in Søren Holm and Jennifer Gunning (eds), *Ethics, Law and Society*, vol 1 (1st edn, Routledge 2005) 189-209.
4. – –, 'Human Dignity, Ethical Pluralism, and the Regulation of Modern Biotechnologies' in Thérèse Murphy (ed), *New Technologies and Human Rights* (OUP 2009) 19-84.
5. Drexel J, 'The Concept of Trade-Relatedness of Intellectual Property Rights in Times of Post-TRIPS Bilateralism' in Hanns Ullrich and others, *TRIPS plus 20. MPI Studies on Intellectual Property and Competition Law* (Springer Verlag 2016) 53-83.
6. Gruszow L, 'Types of invention in the field of genetic engineering, arising in the practice of the European Patent Office' in Sigrid Sterckx (ed) *Biotechnology, Patents and Morality* (2nd edn, Ashgate Publishing 2000) 207-216.
7. Herborth B and Hellmann G, 'Introduction: Uses of the West' in Benjamin Herborth and Gunther Hellmann, *Uses of the West* (Cambridge University Press 2017) 1-12.
8. Hilgartner S, Miller C and Hagendijk R, 'Introduction' in Stephen Hilgartner, Clark Miller and Rob Hagendijk (eds) *Science and Democracy. Making knowledge and making power in the biosciences and beyond* (Routledge 2015) 1-14.
9. Himma KE, 'Inclusive Legal Positivism' in Jules Coleman and Scott Shapiro, *The Oxford Handbook of Jurisprudence and Philosophy of Law* (OUP 2002) 125-165.
10. Jasanoff S, 'Ordering knowledge, ordering society' in Sheila Jasanoff, *States of Knowledge. The co-production of science and social order* (Routledge 2004) 13-45.

11. – –, ‘The Idiom of Co-Production’ in Sheila Jasanoff (ed) *States of Knowledge. The Co-Production of Science and the Social Order* (Routledge 2004) 1-12.
12. Jočienė D, ‘Įžanga’ in Danutė Jočienė and Kęstutis Čilinskas (eds), *Žmogaus teisių problemas tarptautinėje ir Lietuvos Respublikos teisėje* (Eugrimas 2004).
13. Kupzok A, ‘Human rights in the case law of EPO Boards of Appeal’ in Christophe Geiger (ed), *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Intellectual Property Rights* (Edward Elgar 2015) 311–326.
14. Kūris E, ‘Grynoji teisės teorija, teisės sistema ir vertybės: normatyvizmo paradigmos iššūkis’ in Hans Kelsen, *Grynoji teisės teorija* (Eugrimas 2002) 11-41.
15. Leader S, ‘Collateralism’ in Roger Brownsword, *Global Governance and the Search for Justice* (Hart Publishing 2005) 53-68.
16. – –, ‘Trade and Human Rights II’ in Patrick FJ Macrory, Arthur E Appleton and Michael G Plummer, *The World Trade Organization: Legal, Economic and Political Analysis* (Springer New York 2005) 663-696.
17. Levin M, ‘The pendulum keeps swinging – present discussions on and around the TRIPS Agreement’ in Annette Kur (ed), *Intellectual Property Rights in a Fair World Trade System* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2011) 3-60.
18. Liddell K, ‘Immorality and Patents: The Exclusion of Inventions Contrary To Ordre Public and Morality’ in Annabelle Lever (ed), *New Frontiers in the Philosophy of Intellectual Property* (Cambridge University Press 2012) 140-171.
19. Miller CA, ‘Climate science and global political order’ in Sheila Jasanoff (ed), *States of Knowledge. The co-production of science and social order* (Routledge 2004) 46-66.
20. Parthasarathy S and Walker A, ‘Observing the Patent System in Social and Political Perspective: A Case Study of Europe’ in Ruth L Okediji and Margo A Bagley (eds), *Patent Law in Global Perspective* (OUP 2014) 321-343.
21. Parthasarathy S, ‘Co-producing knowledge and political legitimacy. Comparing life form patent controversies in Europe and the United States’ in Stephen Hilgartner, Clark Miller and Rob Hagendijk (eds) *Science and Democracy. Making knowledge and making power in the biosciences and beyond* (Routledge 2015) 74-93.
22. Penner J and Melissaris E, *McCoubrey & White’s Textbook on Jurisprudence* (5th edn, OUP 2014).
23. Petersen CS, Riis T and Schovsbo JH, ‘The Unified Patent Court (UPC) in Action: How Will the Design of the UPC Affect Patent Law?’ in Rosa Maria Ballardini, Marcus Norrgård and Niklas Bruun (eds), *Transitions in European Patent Law: Influences of the Unitary Patent Package* (Kluwer Law International 2015) 37-57.
24. Plomer A, ‘Human Dignity, Human Rights, and Art. 6(1) of the EU Directive on Biotechnological Inventions’ in Aurora Plomer and Paul Torremans (eds) *Embryonic Stem Cell Patents: European Law and Ethics* (OUP 2009) 203-226.
25. – –, ‘Human Dignity and Patents’ in Christophe Geiger (ed) *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Intellectual Property Rights* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited 2015) 479-495.
26. – –, ‘The Unified Patent Court: Past, Present and Future’ in Marise Cremona, Anne Thies and Ramses Wessel (eds) *The European Union and International Dispute Settlement* (Hart Publishing 2017) 275-292.

Bibliography

27. Porter G, 'The Drafting History of The European Biotechnology Directive' in Aurora Plomer and Paul Torremans (eds) *Embryonic Stem Cell Patents: European Law and Ethics* (OUP 2009) 3-26.
28. Reichman JH, Uhler PF and Dedeurwaerdere T, 'Uncertain Legal Status of Microbial Genetic Resources' in Jerome H Reichman, Paul F Uhler and Tom Dedeurwaerdere (eds) *Governing Digitally Integrated Genetic Resources, Data, and Literature: Global Intellectual Property Strategies for a Redesigned Microbial Research Commons* (Cambridge University Press 2016) 1-33.
29. Schatz U, 'Patents and morality' in Sigrid Sterckx (ed), *Biotechnology, Patents and Morality* (2nd edn, Ashgate 2000) 217-228.
30. Schneider I, 'Exclusions and Exceptions to Patent Eligibility Revisited: Examining the Political Functions of the 'Discovery' and 'Ordre Public' Clauses in the European Patent Convention and the Arenas of Negotiation' in Iñigo de Miguel Beriain and Carlos María Romeo Casabona (eds), *Synbio and Human Health* (Springer Dordrecht 2014) 145-173.
31. Spaak T, 'Naturalism in Scandinavian and American Realism: Similarities and Differences' in Matthias Dahlberg (ed), *Uppsala-Minnesota Colloquium: Law, Culture and Values* (Iustus förlag 2009) 33-83.
32. Sterckx S, 'European patent law and biotechnological inventions' in Sigrid Sterckx (ed) *Biotechnology, Patents and Morality* (2nd edn, Ashgate Publishing 2000) 1-112.
33. Straus J, 'Ordre public and morality issues in patent eligibility' in Toshiko Takenaka (ed), *Intellectual Property in Common Law and Civil Law* (Edward Elgar 2013) 19-49.
34. Tallacchini M, 'To bind or not to bind?' in Stephen Hilgartner, Clark Miller and Rob Hagendijk (eds), *Science and Democracy. Making knowledge and making power in the biosciences and beyond* (Routledge 2015) 156-175.
35. Torremans PLC, 'Legal Problems Raised by Patents on Human Stem Cell-Based Inventions' in Kristina Hug and Göran Hermerén (eds), *Translational Stem Cell Research. Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine* (Humana Press 2011) 287-307.
36. Van Overwalle G, 'Human Rights' Limitations in Patent Law' in Willem Grosheide (ed), *Intellectual property and human rights. A Paradox* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited 2010) 236-271.
37. – –, 'Gene Patents and Human Rights' in Paul LC Torremans (ed), *Intellectual Property Law and Human Rights* (4th edn, Wolters Kluwer 2020) 1019-1062.
38. Winickoff DE, 'Biology denatured. The public-private lives of lively things' in Stephen Hilgartner, Clark Miller and Rob Hagendijk (eds) *Science and Democracy. Making knowledge and making power in the biosciences and beyond* (Routledge 2015) 15-32.

Dictionaries and Encyclopaedias

1. Alexander L and Moore M, 'Deontological Ethics', *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (rev edn, 2020) <<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ethics-deontological/>> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. Bendorienė A and others (eds), *Tarptautinių žodžių žodynas* (2003).

3. *Cambridge Dictionary* <<http://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english>> accessed 30 May 2023.
4. Crimmins JE, 'Jeremy Bentham', *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (rev edn, 2021) <<https://plato.stanford.edu/index.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
5. *Encyclopædia Britannica* <<https://www.britannica.com/>> accessed 30 May 2023.
6. Garner BA (ed), *Black's Law Dictionary* (9th edn, 2009).
7. Law J and Martin E, *Concise Medical Dictionary* (10th edn, 2020) <<https://www.oxfordreference.com/display/10.1093/acref/9780198836612.001.0001/acref-9780198836612>> accessed 30 May 2023.
8. Miller D, *Blackwell politinės minties enciklopedija* (2005).
9. *Oxford Learner's Dictionaries* <<https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/>> accessed 30 May 2023.
10. Stevenson A, *Oxford Dictionary of English* (3rd edn, OUP 2010).
11. Vaitkevičiūtė V, *Tarptautinių žodžių žodynas* (2000) vol 2.
12. Venes D, *Taber's cyclopedic medical dictionary* (2017).
13. Visuotinė Lietuvių Enciklopedija <<https://www.vle.lt/>> accessed 30 May 2023.

Journal Articles

1. Aerts RJ, 'The Patenting of Biotechnological Inventions in the EU, the Judicial Bodies Involved and the Objectives of the EU Legislator' (2014) 49 *European Intellectual Property Review* 88.
2. – –, 'Biotechnology patenting caught between Union law and EPC law: European bundle patents, unitary patents and intentional harmonization of decisions in the internal market' (2016) 6 *Queen Mary Journal of Intellectual Property* 287.
3. – –, 'The European Commission's notice on Directive 98/44 and the European Patent Organization's response: the unpredictable interaction of EU and EPC law' (2018) 13 *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 721.
4. Alexander GS, 'Comparing the Two Legal Realisms-American and Scandinavian' (2002) 50 *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 131.
5. Arora A, Ceccagnoli M and Cohen WM, 'R&D and the patent premium' (2008) 26 *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 1153.
6. Bagley M, 'Patent First, Ask Questions Later: Morality and Biotechnology in Patent Law' (2003) 45 *William Mary Law Review* 469.
7. – –, 'The New Invention Creation Activity Boundary in Patent Law' (2009) 51 *William Mary Law Review* 577.
8. Baldan F and Van Zimmeren E, 'The future role of the unified patent court in safeguarding coherence in the European patent system' (2015) 52 *Common Market Law Review* 1529.
9. Beinoravičius D, 'Teisės samprata kaip metodas' (2013) 75 *LOGOS* 43.
10. Bently L, 'Sowing Seeds of Doubt on Onco Mouse: Morality and Patentability' (1994-1995) 5 *Kings College Law Journal* 188.

Bibliography

11. Berman HJ, 'The Western Legal Tradition in a Millennial Perspective: Past and Future' (2000) 60 Louisiana Law Review 739.
12. Bjarup J, 'The Philosophy of Scandinavian Realism' (2005) 18 Ratio Juris 1.
13. Braendli P, 'The Future of the European Patent System' (1995) 26 International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 813.
14. Brinkhof J and Ohly A, 'Towards a Unified Patent Court in Europe' in Justine Pila and Ansgar Ohly (eds) *The Europeanization of Intellectual Property Law* (OUP 2013) 199-216.
15. Brownsword R, 'Lost in Translation: Legality, Regulatory Margins, and Technological Management' (2011) 26 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 1322.
16. Cogswell GA, 'The Classification of the Sciences' (1899) 8 The Philosophical Review 494.
17. Comino S and Graziano C, 'How many patents does it take to signal innovation quality?' (2015) 43 International Journal of Industrial Organization 66.
18. Dupre C, 'Unlocking human dignity: towards a theory for the 21st century' (2009) 2 European Human Rights Law Review 190.
19. Dvornik F, 'Western and Eastern Traditions of Central Europe' (1947) 9 The Review of Politics 463.
20. Eekelaar J, 'What is 'critical' family law?' (1989) 105 Law Quarterly Review 244.
21. Faulkner A, Lange B and Lawless C, 'Introduction: Material Worlds: Intersections of Law, Science, Technology and Society' (2012) 39 Journal of Law and Society 1.
22. Forde M, 'The "Ordre Public" Exception and Adjudicative Jurisdiction Conventions' (1980) 29 The International and Comparative Law Quarterly 259.
23. Fukuyama F, 'The End of History?' [1989] The National Interest 3.
24. Gana RL, 'Prospects For Developing Countries Under the TRIPs Agreement' 29 (1996) Vanderbilt Law Review 735.
25. Gervais DJ, 'Intellectual Property, Trade & Development: The State of Play' (2005) 74 Fordham Law Review 505.
26. Gitter DM, 'Led Astray by the Moral Compass: Incorporating Morality into European Union Biotechnology Patent Law' (2001) 19 Berkeley Journal of International Law 1.
27. Glenn HP, 'Doin' the Transsystemic: Legal Systems and Legal Traditions' (2005) 50 McGill Law Journal 863.
28. – –, 'Legal Traditions and *Legal Traditions*' (2007) 2 The Journal of Comparative Law 69.
29. – –, 'A Concept of Legal Tradition' (2008) 34 Queen's Law Journal 427.
30. Godt C, 'Experts and Politics in Patent Policy: The Final Report of the Expert Group on the Development and Implications of Patent Law in the Field of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering of the European Commission 17 May 2016' (2016) 47 International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 960.
31. Gold ER, 'Patents and human rights: a heterodox analysis' (2013) 41 Global Health and the Law 185.

32. Hall BH and Harhoff D, 'Recent Research on the Economics of Patents' (2012) 4 Annual Review of Economics 541.
33. Hanson MJ, 'Biotechnology and Commodification within Health Care'(1999) 24 Journal of Medicine and Philosophy 267.
34. Harhoff D, 'The role of patents and licenses in securing external finance for innovation' (2009) 14 EIB Papers.
35. Hart HLA, 'Positivism and the Separation of Law and Morals' (1958) 71 Harvard Law Review 593.
36. – –, 'On the Basic Norm' (1959) 47 California Law Review 107.
37. – –, 'Scandinavian Realism' (1959) 17 The Cambridge Law Journal 233.
38. Heikkerö T, 'The Fate of Western Civilization: G. H. von Wright's Reflections on Science, Technology, and Global Society' (2004) 24 Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society 156.
39. Hoenen S and others, 'The diminishing signalling value of patents between early rounds of venture capital financing' (2014) 43 Research Policy 956.
40. Hsu DH and Ziedonis RH, 'Patents as quality signals for entrepreneurial ventures' [2008] Academy of Management Best Paper Proceedings 1.
41. Ichii S, 'On Innovation and Tradition' (1974) 21 Japan Quarterly 273.
42. Jaeger T, 'Reset and Go: The Unitary Patent System Post-Brexit' (2017) 48 International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 254.
43. Jones HW, 'Law and Morality in the Perspective of Legal Realism' (1961) 61 Columbia Law Review 799.
44. Jurčys P, 'Biotechnologinių ir nanotechnologinių išradimų patentavimo teisiniai aspektai' (2006) 61 Justitia 68.
45. Kar RB, 'Western Legal Prehistory: Reconstructing the Hidden Origins of Western Law and Civilization' 5 (2012) Illinois Public Law and Legal Theory Research Papers Series 1499.
46. Ko Y, 'An Economic Analysis of Biotechnology Patent Protection' (1992) 102 The Yale Law Journal 777.
47. Krieger A, 'When will the European Community patent finally arrive?' (1998) 29 International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 855.
48. Krygier M, 'Law as Tradition' (1986) 5 Law and Philosophy 237.
49. Kur A, 'International Norm-Making in the Field of Intellectual Property: A Shift Towards Maximum Rules?' (2009) 1 WIPO Journal: Analysis And Debate Of Intellectual Property Issues 27.
50. Kūris E, 'Teismo precedentas kaip teisės šaltinis Lietuvoje: oficiali konstitucinė doktrina, teisinio mąstymo stereotipai ir kontraargumentai' (2009) 2 Jurisprudencija 131.
51. – –, 'Ekonominė krizė ir teisinė sistema: įtampų triada' (2015) 94 Teisė 7.
52. Kwan KM, 'Reflections on Contemporary Natural law Theories and Their Relevance' [2012] CGST Journal 197.
53. Lemley MA and Burk DL, 'Policy Levers in Patent Law' (2003) 89 Virginia Law Review 1575.

Bibliography

54. Levičev V, 'Teisėtyros metodologinio spektro analizė' (2015) 95 Teisė 100.
55. Lim W, 'Towards Developing a Natural law Jurisprudence in the U.S. Patent System' (2003) 19 Santa Clara High Technology Law Journal 561.
56. Long C, 'Patent signals' (2002) 69 University of Chicago Law Review 625.
57. Machovenko J, 'Lietuvos viešosios teisės iki XVIII a. pabaigos istorijos tyrimų būklė ir perspektyvos' (2011) 79 Teisė 22.
58. Macmillan IC, Siegel R and Narasimha PNS, 'Criteria Used by Venture Capitalists to Evaluate New Venture Proposals' (1985) 1 Journal of Business Venturing 119.
59. Mansfield E, 'Patents and Innovation: An Empirical Study' (1986) 32 Management Science 173.
60. Mazzoleni R and Richard RN, 'Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents' (1998) 32 Journal of Economic Issues 1031.
61. McCrudden C, 'Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights' (2008) 19 European Journal of International Law 655.
62. McMahan A, 'An Institutional Examination of the Implications of the Unitary Patent Package for the Morality Provisions: a Fragmented Future too Far?' 48 (2017) International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 42.
63. McNeil WH, 'What we mean by West' (1997) 41 Orbis 513.
64. Mesonis G ir Meilius K, 'Moralės normos konstituciniuose teisiniuose santykiuose' (2002) 3 Jurisprudencija 5.
65. Murphy T and Cuinn GÓ, 'Works in Progress: New Technologies and the European Court of Human Rights' (2010) 10 Human Rights Law Review 601.
66. Paukštytė-Šaknienė R, 'Tradacijos sampratos šiuolaikinėje Lietuvoje' (2012) 88 Lituania 206.
67. Peukert A, 'Intellectual property and development – narratives and their empirical validity' (2017) 20 The Journal of World Intellectual Property 2.
68. Plomer A, 'A Unitary Patent for a (Dis)United Europe: The Long Shadow of History' (2015) 46 International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 508.
69. Radbruch G, 'Statutory Lawlessness and Supra-Statutory Law' (2006) 26 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 1.
70. Randakevičiūtė J, 'Moralės vaidmuo teisinėje sistemoje Vakarų teisės tradicijos kontekste' (2016) 101 Teisė 145.
71. Resnik DB, 'DNA Patents and Human Dignity' (2001) 29 Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics 152, 155.
72. Romeo Casabona CM, 'Criminal Policy and Legislative Techniques in Criminal Law on Biotechnology' (2011) 82 Revue internationale de droit penal 83.
73. Rumble WE, 'Legal Positivism of John Austin and the Realist Movement in American Jurisprudence' (1981) 66 Cornell Law Review 986.
74. Sampson T, 'Achieving Ethically Acceptable Biotechnology Patents: A Lesson from the Clinical Trials Directive?' (2003) 25 European Intellectual Property Review 419.
75. Schankerman M, 'Introduction' (2013) 61 Journal of Industrial Economics 471.

76. Schiermeier Q, 'Germany challenges human stem cell patent awarded 'by mistake'' (2000) 404 *Nature* 3.
77. Schneider I, 'Governing the patent system in Europe: the EPO's supranational autonomy and its need for a regulatory perspective' (2009) 36 *Science and Public Policy* 619.
78. Schovsbo JH, Riis T and Petersen CS, 'The Unified Patent Court: Pros and Cons of Specialization – Is There a Light at the End of the Tunnel (Vision)?' (2015) 46 *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 271.
79. Schubert S, 'Europe halts decisions on stem-cell patents' (2005) 435 *Nature* 720.
80. Spranger TM, 'Case C-34/10, Oliver Brüstle v. Greenpeace eV., Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 18 October 2011' (2012) 49 *Common Market Law Review* 1197.
81. Spruogis E, 'Teisės aiškinimo probleminiai aspektai' (2006) 8 *Jurisprudencija* 56.
82. Straus J, 'Medicine Between Ethics and Scientific Progress: How Much Ethics Needs Medicine, How Much Ethics Can it Afford?' (2015) 8 *Medicine, Law & Society* 47.
83. Straus J, 'Research, Exploitation and Patenting in the Area of Human Embryonic Stem Cells in Europe – A Case of Concern Causing Inconsistency' (2016) 25 *European Review* 107.
84. Stuart TE, Hoang H and Hybels RC, 'Interorganizational Endorsements and the Performance of Entrepreneurial Ventures' (1999) 44 *Administrative Science Quarterly* 315.
85. Štarienė L, 'Teisės į teisingą teisimą, įtvirtintos Europos žmogaus teisių konvencijos 6 str., pobūdis, vieta ir apsaugos lygis kitų konvencijos teisių požiūriu' (2006) 10 *Jurisprudencija* 40.
86. Tilmann W, 'Moving towards completing the European Patent System: an Overview of the draft Agreement on a Unified Patent Court' (2012) 13 *ERA Forum* 87.
87. Tully LD, 'Prospects For Progress: The TRIPS Agreement and Developing Countries After the DOHA Conference' (2003) 26 *Boston College International and Comparative Law Review* 129.
88. Tuori K, 'The Law and its Traditions' (1989) 12 *Scandinavian Political Studies* 490.
89. Vaišvila A, 'Terorizmas ir kova su terorizmu – dvi grėsmės žmogaus teisėms' (2005) 68 *Jurisprudencija* 11.
90. Valantiejus A, 'Thomas Kuhno istorinė-sociologinė mokslo raidos koncepcija' (2004) 1 *Sociologija. Mintis ir veiksmai* 126.
91. Valcke C, 'Comparative History and the Internal View of French, German, and English Private Law' (2006) 19 *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* 133.
92. Van Hoecke M and Warrington M, 'Legal Cultures, Legal Paradigms and Legal Doctrine: Towards a New Model for Comparative Law' (1998) 47 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 495.
93. Warren-Jones A, 'Vital parameters for patent morality – a question of form' (2007) 2 *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 832.

Bibliography

94. – –, ‘Finding a “Common Morality Codex” for Biotech – A Question of Substance’ (2008) 39 *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 638.
95. Wieacker F and Bodenheimer E, ‘Foundations of European Legal Culture’ (1990) 38 *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 1.
96. Williams HL, ‘How Do Patents Affect Research Investments?’ (2017) 9 *Annual Review of Economics* 441.
97. Yntema HE, ‘Mr. Justice Holmes’ View of Legal Science’ (1931) 40 *The Yale Law Review* 696.
98. Žilinskas J, ‘Teisingo karo“ doktrina ir jos atspindžiai mūsų dienomis’ (2012) 19 *Jurisprudencija* 1201.

Online Articles

1. ‘Bioethics and Patent Law: The Case of the Oncomouse’ (2006) 3 *WIPO MAGAZINE* <https://www.wipo.int/wipo_magazine/en/2006/03/article_0006.html> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. Cao JX and Hsu PH, ‘The Role of Patents in Venture Capital Financing and Performance’ <<http://www.efmaefm.org/0EFMSYMPOSIUM/2011-Toronto/papers/Hsu.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
3. Czarnitzki D, Hall BH and Hottenrott H, ‘Patents as quality signals? The Implications for Financing Constraints on R&D’ (2014) *DICE DISCUSSION PAPER* No 133 <https://www.dice.hhu.de/fileadmin/redaktion/Fakultaeten/Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche_Fakultaet/DICE/Discussion_Paper/133_Czarnitzki_Hall_Hottenrott.pdf> accessed 30 May 2023.
4. Feldman R, ‘Historic Perspectives on Law & Science’ (2009) *Stanford Technology Law Review* 1 <https://repository.uchastings.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1156&context=faculty_scholarship> accessed 30 May 2023.
5. Hilty RM and others, ‘The Unitary Patent Package: Twelve Reasons for Concern’ <http://pubman.mpdl.mpg.de/pubman/item/escidoc:1621166:13/component/escidoc:2052742/MPI-IP_Twelve-Reasons_2012-10-17.pdf> accessed 30 May 2023.
6. Holmes OW, ‘The Path of Law’ <<http://moglen.law.columbia.edu/LCS/palaw.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
7. Menell PS, ‘Intellectual Property: General Theories’ <<http://www.sfu.ca/~allen/intellectual.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
8. Salter B, ‘Patents and morality: governing human embryonic stem cell science in Europe’ <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228881170_Patents_and_morality_governing_human_embryonic_stem_cell_science_in_Europe> accessed 30 May 2023.
9. Spickard JV, ‘The Origins of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights’ (1999) <<http://bulldog2.redlands.edu/fac/Spickard/OnlinePubs/OriginUDHR.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
10. Zimmer F, ‘New Rules and the European Patent Office for Biotechnological Inventions’. <<https://gruenecker.de/fileadmin/Gruenecker/Informationen/Veroeffentlichungen/biorules.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.

Conference Papers

1. Bostyn SJR, 'Biotech Patents and the Future of Scientific Research' in Pieter JD Drenth and Johannes JF Schroots (eds), *Critical topics in science and scholarship: Biennial Yearbook ALLEA 2004* (2004) 29-48.
2. European Patent Organisation, 'Patenting Artificial Intelligence' (Conference summary, Munich, 30 May 2018) <https://e-courses.epo.org/pluginfile.php/23523/mod_resource/content/2/Summary%20Artificial%20Intelligence%20Conference.pdf> accessed 30 May 2023.
3. Kur A, 'International Norm-Making in the Field of Intellectual Property: A Shift Towards Maximum Rules' (International Conference on Innovation and Communication Law 2009) <http://www.ip.mpg.de/fileadmin/ipmpg/content/personen/annette_kur/madrid_08032.pdf> accessed 30 May 2023.
4. Nørgaard CA, 'The Implementation of International Human Rights' Agreements within a Domestic Legal System' (UniDem, Warsaw, 19-21 May 1993) <[https://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-STD\(1993\)005-e](https://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-STD(1993)005-e)> accessed 30 May 2023.

Travaux préparatoires

1. Proceedings of the 1st meeting of the Patents Working Party, Document IV/2767/61-E (Brussels, 17-28 April 1961) <<https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/epc/archiv/travaux.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. Commission, 'Proposal for a Council Directive on the legal protection of biotechnological inventions' COM (88) 496 final.

Newspaper Articles

1. Jacques M, 'The Death of Neoliberalism and the Crisis in Western Politics' *The Guardian* (London, 21 August 2016) <<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/aug/21/death-of-neoliberalism-crisis-in-western-politics>> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. Smale A and Eddy M, 'Migrant Crisis Tests Core European Value: Open Borders' *The New York Times* (New York, 31 August 2015) <<http://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/01/world/europe/austria-migrant-crisis-truck.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.

Other Secondary Sources

1. Commission, 'Legal protection of biotechnological inventions Frequently Asked Questions on scope and objectives of the EU Directive (98/44)', MEMO/00/39 (3 July 2000) <http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-00-39_en.htm?locale=en> accessed 30 May 2023.
2. European Patent Office, Applying for a patent. Unitary Patent & Unified Patent Court. FAQ on the Unified Patent Court <<https://www.epo.org/applying/european/unitary/upc/upc-faq.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
3. European Patent Office, 'Biotech patents' (2 November 2022) <<https://www.epo.org/en/news-events/in-focus/biotechnology-patents>> accessed 30 May 2023.

Bibliography

4. European Patent Office, European patent register <https://register.epo.org/advance_dSearch?lng=en&clnrefer=yes> accessed 30 May 2023.
5. European Patent Office, Guidelines for Examination in the European Patent Office, March 2023 <<https://new.epo.org/en/legal/guidelines-epc/2023/index.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
6. European Patent Office, How to apply for a European patent <<https://www.epo.org/applying/basics.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
7. European Patent Office, Information about the European Patent Convention <<https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
8. European Patent Office, Law & practice. Search in the Boards of Appeal decisions database <<https://www.epo.org/law-practice/case-law-appeals/advanced-search.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
9. European Patent Office, List of member states sorted according to the date of accession <<https://www.epo.org/about-us/foundation/member-states/date.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
10. European Patent Office, Member states of the European Patent Organisation <<https://www.epo.org/about-us/foundation/member-states.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
11. European Patent Office, Patent Index (2019, 2020, 2021, 2022) <<https://www.epo.org/about-us/annual-reports-statistics/statistics.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.
12. European Patent Office, The role of the EPO (15 November 2022) <<https://www.epo.org/en/news-events/in-focus/biotechnology-patents/the-role-of-the-epo>> accessed 30 May 2023.
13. European Union, Principles, countries, history, Country profiles <https://european-union.europa.eu/principles-countries-history/country-profiles_en> accessed 30 May 2023.
14. Lithuanian Academic Electronic Library, Lithuanian Electronic Theses and Dissertations (ETD) Database <https://aleph.library.lt/F?func=option-update-lng&P_CON_LNG=ENG> accessed 30 May 2023.
15. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, Committee for Scientific and Technological Policy, 'A Framework for Biotechnology Statistics' (2005) <<https://www.oecd.org/health/biotech/aframeworkforbiotechnologystatistics.htm>> accessed 30 May 2023.
16. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, Committee for Scientific and Technological Policy, 'Revised Field of Science and Technology (FOS) Classification in the Frascati Manual' (26 February 2007) <<http://www.oecd.org/science/inno/38235147.pdf>> accessed 30 May 2023.
17. *Patent litigation in Europe* (European Patent Office 2019) <[https://documents.epo.org/projects/babylon/eponot.nsf/0/05B84848CBCF7338C1257833003C2531/\\$FILE/patent_litigation_in_europe_2019_en.pdf](https://documents.epo.org/projects/babylon/eponot.nsf/0/05B84848CBCF7338C1257833003C2531/$FILE/patent_litigation_in_europe_2019_en.pdf)> accessed 30 May 2023.
18. Research Council of Lithuania, Database of dissertation defences <<https://db.lmt.lt/lt/perziura/disertacijos/d-db.html>> accessed 30 May 2023.

19. Science History Institute, Robert Boyle <<https://www.chemheritage.org/historical-profile/robert-boyle>> accessed 30 May 2023.
20. Speech by Mr Philippe Séguin, President of the National Assembly of the French Republic (International Bioethics Committee: proceedings of the third session, v. I) <<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000105160>> accessed 30 May 2023.
21. The Administrative Council of the European Patent Organisation, 'MR/2/00, Basic proposal for the revision of the European Patent Convention', EPO Administrative Council, Munich 13 October 2000 <[http://documents.epo.org/projects/babylon/eponet.nsf/0/43F40380331CE97CC125727A0039243C/\\$File/00002a_en.pdf](http://documents.epo.org/projects/babylon/eponet.nsf/0/43F40380331CE97CC125727A0039243C/$File/00002a_en.pdf)> accessed 30 May 2023.
22. Unified Patent Court's official website. UPC Member States <<https://www.unified-patent-court.org/en/organisation/upc-member-states>> accessed 30 May 2023.
23. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 'Bioethics: International Implications' (proceedings of the Round Table of Ministers of Science, Paris, 22-23 October 2001) <<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000130976>> accessed 30 May 2023.
24. University of Oxford, Admissions, Undergraduate, Courses A-Z of courses, Biomedical Sciences <<https://www.ox.ac.uk/admissions/undergraduate/courses-listing/biomedical-sciences?wssl=1>> accessed 30 May 2023.
25. World Intellectual Property Organisation, IP Treaties Collection, IP-related Multilateral Treaties, Contracting Parties/Signatories, Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement) <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/other_treaties/parties.jsp?treaty_id=231&group_id=22> accessed 30 May 2023.

