

Michael Riepl

Russian Contributions to International Humanitarian Law

A contrastive analysis of Russia's historical role
and its current practice



Nomos

INSTITUTE FOR
INTERNATIONAL PEACE
AND SECURITY LAW



Kölner Schriften zum Friedenssicherungsrecht
Cologne Studies on International Peace und Security Law
Études colognaises sur le droit de la paix et de la sécurité
internationales

Herausgegeben von/Edited by/Éditées par

Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Dr. h.c. Claus Kreß LL.M. (Cambridge)

Band/ Volume 16

Michael Riepl

Russian Contributions to International Humanitarian Law

A contrastive analysis of Russia's historical role
and its current practice



Nomos

INSTITUTE FOR
INTERNATIONAL PEACE
AND SECURITY LAW 

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Köln, Univ., Diss., 2021

ISBN 978-3-8487-7307-7 (Print)
978-3-7489-1321-4 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-7307-7 (Print)
978-3-7489-1321-4 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Riepl, Michael

Russian Contributions to International Humanitarian Law

A contrastive analysis of Russia's historical role and its current practice

Michael Riepl

447 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-7307-7 (Print)
978-3-7489-1321-4 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2022

© The Author

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden
www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-8487-7307-7 (Print)
ISBN 978-3-7489-1321-4 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748913214>



Onlineversion
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Preface

I did not write this thesis myself! Of course, I have signed the statement of authorship and I can assure you that – at least in a legal sense – the following thesis was authored, written, and reworked only by myself. However, I have felt that many eyes were looking over my shoulder. While every written work starts with a first word that hits the page, a first sentence that crystallises, a first chapter that emerges, we never start from zero.

My interest in the post-Soviet space came with my grandmother's heritage. Born in 1919 in a German village in today's Ukraine, she was one of a lucky few in her family to survive Stalin's purges. After an odyssey through a war-torn Europe she started her new life as a doctor in a small Bavarian village. Unfortunately, she never passed on the gift of the Russian language to my mother, but after all it was not her mother tongue either. The German immigrants in Ukraine had stubbornly clung to their language, custom, and religion. Thus, German was my grandma's native language and I must admit that speaking Russian in a Bavarian hamlet near the Iron Curtain at the height of the Cold War would not have been the brightest idea. Through my grandma's stories, however, I caught a keyhole glimpse of this strange land that lay to the east and about which I knew so little. Intrigued, the only thing I lacked was the key. So, I embarked on the tedious journey of studying Russian, and started to unlock the secrets of this mysterious region.

My grandma was not the only (imaginary) proof-reader of this thesis. From my mother, I have inherited a passion for history and languages. She was a teacher and – as all teachers do – she taught these subjects both in school and at home. From my father, I learnt about the beauty of words. His love for art and literature proved to me that language can do more than just convey cold facts. It is a warm, breathing, living organism that can tell a passionate story.

Hence, I would like to thank all my imaginary and actual proof-readers for their comments. I am grateful to my family, my friends (in particular Josef, who played a special role in all of this), and my colleagues for their input during our discussions. Equally, I would like to thank the scholars in this field, many of whom I have never met, but whose books, articles, and blog posts steered me through the endless sea of information. Likewise, I extend my gratitude to the people that I met in the course of my work

Preface

and my travels in Russia, Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Georgia, and the Baltic States, who helped me understand the similarities and differences in the post-Soviet region. And finally, I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Angelika Nußberger, who realised earlier than I that finding a topic – *this* topic – was as much a matter of the heart as it was a matter of the mind.

Yerevan, January 2020

Transliteration

I have chosen to render the Russian sources in Cyrillic script with the English translation in [brackets] rather than a transliteration in Latin script. For names and places, however, I have chosen the transliteration in Latin script. In instances where there are several versions of a transliterated name, for example, Kiev (Russian) or Kyiv (Ukrainian), I have chosen the transliteration according to the official local language. In the case of disputed territories, this inexorably contains a political statement, e.g. Donbass (Russian) or Donbas (Ukrainian), Lugansk (Russian) or Luhansk (Ukrainian). The battlefield of semantics was especially important for the war in eastern Ukraine. While I do not wish to bolster the ranks of these word-warriors, for reasons of practicality I had to settle for one transliteration. Dealing with the difficult questions of secession and annexation in this thesis, however, will at least allow me to provide the legal reasoning for my choice.

For the sake of readability, I have opted for a simplified transliteration that does not render all the special characters of the Cyrillic alphabet. Hence, I will refer to Pavel Felgengauer instead of Pavel Fel'gengauer, Donetsk instead of Donets'k etc.

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	17
Introduction	21
Part I: Historical Development	27
Chapter I: The Tsarist Era 1850–1917	29
1. The Crimean War 1853–1856 – the opening salvo?	29
2. The First Geneva Convention 1864 – Russia, the sleeping giant	31
3. St Petersburg Declaration 1868 – closing Pandora’s box	33
3.1 Proceedings at the conference and the final declaration	34
3.2 Impact of the St Petersburg Declaration on IHL	36
3.3 Russia’s role – a pragmatic idealist?	39
4. The Brussels Conference 1874 – a stillborn phoenix	42
4.1 Thinking big – a comprehensive code of war	43
4.2 The aftermath of the failed convention	46
5. The Russo-Turkish War 1877–1878 – the crucible	47
6. The Hague Peace Conference of 1899 – the Parliament of Man	51
6.1 Proceedings at the Conference	56
6.2 Influence of the Conference on IHL	57
7. Analysing the bigger picture – why Russia?	61
8. The Russo-Japanese War 1904–1905 – a war waged by the books	65
9. The revision of the Geneva Convention 1906 – who is the better humanitarian?	67
10. The Second Hague Peace Conference of 1907 – the calm before the storm	68
11. The First World War 1914–1918 – the great seminal catastrophe	71
11.1 Chlorine gas – a horror made in Germany	74
11.2 Prisoners of war in Russia – lost in the taiga	78
12. Conclusion	81

Table of Contents

Chapter II: The Soviet Era 1917–1991	82
1. Introduction	82
2. Soviet peculiarities – breaking with the past	85
2.1 “Socialist international law” – the fragmentation of international law	85
2.2 Political justifications – renaissance of the just war theory?	90
2.3 The Soviet Union and the Russian Empire – continuity or reset button?	94
2.4 Conclusion – IHL through a Soviet lens	102
3. The Second World War on the eastern front – obliteration of IHL	103
3.1 IHL violations by Nazi Germany on the eastern front	105
3.2 IHL violations by the Soviet Union on the eastern front	107
4. The Soviets at Nuremberg – third wheel or driving force?	111
4.1 Run-up to Nuremberg – trial or execution?	112
4.2 The work of the Nuremberg Tribunal	115
5. The Geneva Conventions of 1949 – the Soviet Union as “scum of the earth” or “great humanitarian?”	117
5.1 A Soviet boycott	118
5.2 Soviet contributions to the Conference	121
6. Overt military operations during the Cold War – the denial of IHL	128
6.1 From Berlin to Zhenbao	128
6.2 Afghanistan 1979–1989 – the Russian Vietnam	131
Conclusion Part I: Russia’s Long Way from the “Golden Age” to the “Grey Age”	136
Part II: Russia’s Contemporary Approach to IHL	139
Chapter I: IHL in International Diplomacy – A Lost Russian Art?	140
1. Advancing IHL treaty law – Russia, the eternal sceptic	140
1.1 The APMBC – resisting the regulation of anti-personnel mines	142
1.2 The CCM – resisting the regulation of “ <i>de facto</i> mines”	144
1.3 Nuclear weapons – reversing Martens	146
1.4 The Arms Trade Treaty – unchecked exports	148

1.5	Ongoing processes of regulation – no laws for LAWS?	149
1.6	Conclusion	151
2.	Advancing IHL compliance – “we are free like birds”	153
2.1	International criminal law – leaving the ICC	155
2.2	Other compliance mechanisms – three sleeping beauties	157
2.3	The ICRC – behind the veil of confidentiality	160
2.4	The ICRC-Swiss-led compliance initiative – good intentions, bad prospects	161
2.5	Conclusion	162
3.	Humanitarian aid – from Russia with love?	162
3.1	The legal framework of humanitarian relief – examining the care package	163
3.2	Russian humanitarian relief in Syria – aide sans frontières?	167
3.3	Russian humanitarian relief in Ukraine – Trojan aid?	169
4.	Conclusion	173
Chapter II: IHL Implementation in the Domestic Russian Legal System – A Difficult Marriage?		174
1.	The Russian Constitution of 1993	175
1.1	Art 15 – great expectations	175
1.1.1	Art 15(4)(i) – Russia’s gateway to international law	176
1.1.2	Art 15(4)(ii) – establishing a hierarchy	179
1.2	Art 17(1) – a heart for humanity?	180
1.3	Conclusion	182
2.	Other selected acts of implementation	184
2.1	Criminal law – Russian minimalism	184
2.2	Legislation concerning the armed forces – Russian abundance	190
2.3	Conclusion	192
3.	IHL before Russian courts	193
3.1	The <i>Chechnya Decision</i> – a wake-up call?	194
3.2	The Law on Cultural Objects – the beginning of a long silence	197
3.3	The Burial Law – thou shalt not mourn	199
3.4	War crime trials – living up to Nuremberg?	201
3.4.1	Criminal convictions for Russian wartime crimes – a handful of nothing	202

Table of Contents

3.4.2 Ignoring Strasbourg – from silence to defiance	204
3.4.3 Critical assessment – ICL in Russia: a selective application	207
4. Conclusion	210
Chapter III: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Denying the Existence of an Armed Conflict (“The Paintbrush”)	211
1. The threshold of application – the Achilles’ heel of IHL	212
2. Avoiding occupation – ceci n’est pas une occupation	213
2.1 Occupation under IHL – an elaborate framework	214
2.1.1 The case of Crimea – belligerent occupation or mending a “historical injustice”?	218
2.1.2 The events in Crimea in 2014 – arrival of the “little green men”	218
2.1.3 Classifying Crimea – Russia vs the rest of the world	220
2.3.1.1 The element of “substitution of effective control” in Crimea	222
2.3.1.2 The element of “against the will of the sovereign” in Crimea	223
2.1.4 Russian denial – what occupation?	229
2.2 Occupation by proxy – the cases of Transdniestria, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia	231
2.2.1 Russia’s influence in Transdniestria, South Ossetia, and Abkhazia	232
2.2.2 Direct and indirect occupation	236
2.2.2.1 The narrow approach – effective control (ICJ standard)	238
2.2.2.2 The broad approach – overall control (ICTY standard)	240
2.2.3 Russia’s approach – between loopholes and denial	245
2.3.2.1 Russia’s attitude towards South Ossetia & Abkhazia – brothers in arms	245
2.3.2.2 Russia’s attitude towards Transdniestria – brothers in denial	250
2.3 Conclusion	253
3. Denying the involvement of Russian soldiers – phantoms of war in Donbas	255
3.1 Donbas – a beautiful battleground	255
3.1.1 Chronology of a war – four phases	256

3.1.2	Pointillism of war – individual stories painting a bigger picture	259
3.2	The Russian position – denying the obvious	261
3.3	Applying the law to the facts – what type of conflict is the war in Donbas?	263
3.3.1	The framework of conflict classification	263
3.3.2	The war in Donbas as an international armed conflict	266
3.4	Conclusion	271
4.	Rebranding armed clashes – a war of words	272
4.1	The clash in the Kerch Strait (2018) – the art of euphemism	272
4.2	The Chechen Wars – Moscow’s fight against “banditism”	279
4.2.1	Descent into war – “I will crush you”	279
4.2.2	The correct classification of the Chechen Wars – freedom fighters or terrorists?	283
4.2.3	The Russian position – a fight against banditry	288
4.3.2.1	Russia’s approach to IHL in the First Chechen War (and its consequences)	288
4.3.2.2	Russia’s approach to IHL in the Second Chechen War (and its consequences)	292
4.3	Conclusion	296
Chapter IV: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Outsourcing Warfare (“The Apprentice”)		298
1.	Wagner’s Valkyries – a new type of PMC?	300
1.1	Defining PMCs – the commodification of armed conflict	300
1.2	PMCs in Russia after 1991 – a late blossom	302
1.3	Slavonic Corpus and Wagner Group – a new type of shadow warriors?	304
1.3.1	Offensive missions	306
1.3.2	Under-regulation	311
1.3.3	Denial & deniability	315
1.4	Conclusion	322
2.	Cossacks – for faith, Tsar and fatherland	323
2.1	Historical context – born at the fringes of the Russian Empire	323
2.2	Developments in Russia after 1991 – a Cossack renaissance	325
2.3	Fighting Russian wars – “Cossacks have no borders”	327
2.4	An official order or the call of duty – who sent in the Cossacks?	330

Table of Contents

3. South Ossetian Militias – experts for “dirty work”	334
3.1 The Russo-Georgian War – Georgia up in flames	335
3.2 Russia’s control over the SOM – equal allies?	336
4. The effects of outsourcing – flying below the radar of international law	339
4.1 Avoiding State responsibility and the impact on IHL	339
4.1.1 Why State responsibility matters	340
4.1.2 The framework of State responsibility	342
4.2.1.1 Article 4 ASRIWA	343
4.2.1.2 Article 8 ARSIWA	347
4.2.1.3 Article 5 ARSIWA	353
5. Conclusion	356
 Chapter V: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Denying Facts (“The Sledgehammer”)	 358
1. Targeting “errors” – healthcare in danger	358
1.1 Russia’s war in Syria – a “Road to Damascus Experience”?	359
1.2 Protection of healthcare – firm rules, feeble respect	361
1.3 Targeting hospitals in Syria – “srbotalo”	363
1.4 Russia’s denial – fake news?	368
2. Cluster munitions – denying the obvious	371
2.1 The legality of cluster munitions – barbaric bomblets?	371
2.2 Russia’s use of cluster munitions in Syria and Georgia	373
3. The MH17 incident – “and then, bodies just fell from the sky”	376
3.1 The crash of MH17 – a tragic day in July	377
3.2 Finding responsibility – Russia’s barrage of alternative facts	378
4. Conclusion	381
 Part III: Contrasting the Past and the Present	 383
1. <i>O tempora, o mores</i> – contrasting Russia’s approach to IHL	384
2. Looking behind the obvious – why has Russia changed?	389
2.1 <i>O tempora, o leges</i> – IHL as a victim of its own success?	390
2.2 <i>O tempora, o bella</i> – IHL as a victim of “new wars”?	395
2.3 <i>Do ut des</i> – does IHL lack an incentive for compliance for Russia?	401

Table of Contents

Final Conclusion	407
Bibliography	409

List of Abbreviations

AP I	Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I) of 8 June 1977
AP II	Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II) of 8 June 1977
AP III	Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Adoption of an Additional Distinctive Emblem (Protocol III) of 8 December 2005
APMBC	Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention
ARSIWA	Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts
Art	Article, Articles
ATT	Arms Trade Treaty
BBC	British Broadcasting Corporation
CA	Common Article
CCM	Convention on Cluster Munitions
CCRF	Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (1996)
CCW	Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects
CERD	International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
CNN	Cable News Network
CRF	Constitution of the Russian Federation (1993)
DNR	Донецкая Народная Республика [Donetsk People's Republic]
DGO	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Osteuropakunde
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
et al	et alia
et seq	et sequitur
FRY	Former Republic of Yugoslavia

List of Abbreviations

FSB	Федеральная служба безопасности [Federal Security Service]
GC I	Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949
GC II	Geneva Convention (II) for the Amelioration of the Condition of Wounded, Sick and Shipwrecked Members of Armed Forces at Sea of 12 August 1949
GC III	Geneva Convention (III) relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War of 12 August 1949
GC IV	Geneva Convention (IV) relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 12 August 1949
GGE	UN Group of Governmental Experts
GRU	Главное управление Генерального штаба Вооружённых Сил Российской Федерации [Main Directorate of the General Staff of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation]
HJIL/ ZaöRV	Heidelberg Journal of International Law/ Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht
HR	Hague Regulations
HRW	Human Rights Watch
HVO	Croatian Defence Council
IAC	International armed conflict
ibid	ibidem
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICL	International criminal law
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Republic of Yugoslavia
IHFFC	International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission
IHL	International humanitarian law
ICI	Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Syrian Arab Republic
IIFMCG	Independent International Fact-Finding Mission on the Conflict in Georgia
ILC	International Law Commission
IMT	International Military Tribunal
ITLOS	International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

JIT	Joint Investigation Team
KSSO	Командование сил специальных операций [Special Operations Forces Command]
LAWS	Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems
LBU	Law of Burial and Undertaking
LNR	Луганская Народная Республика [Luhansk People's Republic]
MGIMO	Московский государственный институт международных отношений [Moscow State Institute of International Relations]
MP	Member of Parliament
MSF	Médecins sans frontières
n	Note; footnote
NATO	Northern Atlantic Treaty Organization
NGO	Non-governmental organization
NIAC	Non-international armed conflict
NKVD	Народный комиссариат внутренних дел [People's Commissariat for Internal Affairs]
ODIHR	Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights
OHCHR	Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
OMON	Отряд мобильный особого назначения [Special Purpose Mobile Unit]
ORF	Österreichischer Rundfunk
OSCE	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
OSCE PA	Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
OSCE SMM	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe – Special Monitoring Mission (in Ukraine)
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
OVV	Dutch Safety Board
p	Page
P5	The Five permanent members of the UN Security Council (China, France, Russia, UK, US)
PACE	Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
para	Paragraph
PDPA	People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan
PHR	Physicians for Human Rights
PMC	Private military company

List of Abbreviations

PSC	Private security company
PMSC	Private military and security company
POW	Prisoner of war
PR	Public Relations
RF	Russian Federation
RSFSR	Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic
SBU	Служба безпеки України [Security Service of Ukraine]
SMM	Special Monitoring Mission
SOM	South Ossetian Militias
SWP	Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik
TPNW	Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNCLOS	UN Convention for the Law of the Sea
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
UXO	Unexploded ordnance
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
VRS	Army of the Republika Srpska
v	versus
VDV	Воздушно-десантные войска России [Russian Airborne Forces]