

List of Works Cited

Constitution and Legislations

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8.
Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle (CPI).
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Fed. R. Civ. P.).
Nouveau Code de Procédure Civile (NCPC).
British Civil Procedure Rules (CPR).

Cases

Hickman v. Taylor, 329 U.S. 495 (1947).
Meese v. Eaton Mfg Co., 35 F.R.D. 162 (N.D. Ohio 1964).
V. D. Anderson Co. v. Helena Cotton Oil Co., 117 F.Supp. 932 (E.D. Ark. 1953).
Sibbach v. Wilson, 312 U.S. 1 (1941).
Miner v. Atlass, 363 U.S. 641, 649 (1960).
Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. v. Cherry, Bekaert & Holland, 131 F.R.D. 202 (M.D. Fla. 1990).
Japan Halon Co. v. Great Lakes Chem. Corp., 155 F.R.D. 626 (N.D. Ind. 1993).
Societe Internationale v. Rogers, 357 U.S. 197 (1958).
Nash v. City of Oakwood, 90 F.R.D. 633 (S.D. Ohio 1981).
Simon Prop. Group L.P., v. mySimon, Inc. 194 F.R.D. 639 (S.D. Ind. 2000).
Zalatuka v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., 108 F.2d 405 (C.A.7 1939).
Alford v. Northeast Ins. Co., 102 F.R.D. 99 (N.D. Fla. 1984).
Federal Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp. v. Commonwealth Land Title Ins. Co., 130 F.R.D. 507 (D.D.C. 1990).
Daniels v. AMTRAK, 110 F.R.D. 160 (S.D.N.Y. 1986).
Financial Bldg. Consultants, Inc. v. American Druggists Ins. Co., 91 F.R.D. 59 (N.D. Ga. 1981).
Simon v. G.D. Searle & Co., 119 F.R.D. 680 (D. Minn. 1987).
Fresenius Med. Care Holding Inc., v. Baxter Int'l, Inc., 224 F.R.D. 644 (2004).
E.I. Du Pont de Nemours v. Phillips Petroleum Co. 24 F.R.D. 416 (2006).
Alexander v. FBI, 194 F.R.D. 305 (D.D.C. 2000).
Harris v. Athol-Royalston Reg'l Sch. Dist. Comm., 200 F.R.D. 18 (D. Mass. 2001).
Micro Chem., Inc. v. Lextron, Inc., 193 F.R.D. 667 (D. Colo. 2000);
Eirhart v. Libbey-Owens-Ford Co., 93 F.R.D. 370 (N.D. Ill. 1981).
National Dairy Prods. Corp. v. L.D. Schreiber & Co., 61 F.D.R. 581 (E.D. Wisc. 1973).
Harris v. Sunset Oil Co., 2 F.R.D. 93 (W.D. Wash. 1941).
Baine v. General Motors Corp., 141 F.R.D. 328 (M.D. Ala. 1991).
In re Air Crash Disaster at Detroit Metro. Airport, 130 F.R.D. 641 (E.D. Mich. 1989).
Scott v. Arex, Inc., 124 F.R.D. 39 (D. Conn. 1989)
Chaveriat v. Williams Pipe Line Co., 11 F.3d 1420 (7th Cir. 1993).
Riddell Sports Inc. v. Brooks, 158 F.R.D. 555 (S.D.N.Y. 1994).
American Soc'y for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals v. Ringling Bros. and Barnum & Bailey Circus, 233 F.R.D. 209 (D.D.C. 2006).
General Envtl. Sci. Corp. v. Horsfall, 136 F.R.D. 130 (N.D. Ohio 1991).

Uniden America Corp. v. Ericsson, Inc., 181 F.R.D. 302 (M.D.N.C. 1998).
Hansen v. Dean Witter Reynolds Inc., 887 F. Supp. 669 (S.D.N.Y. 1995).
West v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 167 F.3d 776 (2d. Cir. 1999).
Rush v. Artuz, 00 Civ. 3436, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7158 (SD.N.Y. Apr. 3, 2003).
Financial Bldg. Consultants, Inc. v. American Druggists Ins. Co., 91 F.R.D. 59 (N.D. Ga 1981).
Digitaonics Corp. v. Jewel Cos., 57 F.R.D. 649 (N.D. Ill. 1972).
Nat'l Dairy Prod. Corp. v. L. D. Schreiber & Co., 61 F.R.D. 581 (E.D. Wis. 1973).
V.D. Anderson Co. v. Helena Cotton Oil Co., 117 F.Supp. 932 (E.D. Ark. 1953).
Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Shreveport, Inc. v. Coca-Cola Co., 110 F.R.D. 363 (D.Del. 1986).
U.S. v. Chen, 99 F.3d 1495 (9th Cir. 1996).
In re Spalding Sports Woldwide, 203 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2000).
Nestle Foods Corp. v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., 129 F.R.D. 483 (D.N.J. 1990).
Alliance of End Repression v. Rochford, 75 F.R.D. 438 (N.D. Ill. 1976).
 CA Aix-en-Provence, 1^{er} déc. 1976, *PIBD* 1977, n° 186, III, 63, et *Ann. propr. ind.* 1978, 293.
 TGI Paris, 3^e ch., 2^e sect., 12 oct. 2001, *RD propr. int.* 2002, n° 136, p. 34 – TGI Paris, 3^e ch.,
 2^e sect., 23 déc. 2002, *Propr. industr.* 2003, comm. n° 58.
 TGI Paris, 3^e ch., 29 mai 1987, *RIPIA* 1987, 180.
 Cass. com., 26 avr. 2004, n° 02-20.330, *D.* 2004, AJ, p. 1671.
 CA Paris, 4^e ch., 14 mars 1991, *RD propr. ind.* 1991, n° 34, 20, *PIBD* 1991, n° 506, III, 500.
 TGI Paris, 3^e ch., 3^e sect., 15 oct. 2002, *PIBD* 2003, n°763, III, 238.
 CA Paris, 4^e ch., 17 mai 1995, *PIBD* 1993, n°592, III, 343.
Centurion Indus., Inc. v. Warren Steurer & Assoc., 655 F.2d 288, 325 (10th Cir. 1981).
Petz v. Ethan Allen, Inc., 113 F.R.D. 494, 497 (D. Conn. 1985).
Zenith Radio Corp. v. Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., 529 F. Supp. 866 (E.D. Pa. 1981).
Coca-Cola Bottling Co. v. Coca-Cola Co., 107 F.R.D. 288 (D. Del. 1985).
American Standard Inc. v. Pfizer, 828 F.2d 734 (Fed. Cir. 1987).
OpenTV v. Liberate Techs., 219 F.R.D. 474, (N.D. Cal. 2003).
Zubulake v. USB Warburg LLC, 216 F.R.D. 280 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).
 Paris, 4^e ch., sect. B, 14 mars 1991, *RD propr. ind.*, n° 35 – 36, 1991.
 Anton Piller KG v. Manufacturing Processes [1976] Ch 55.
In re Pierre Bottled Water Litig., 138 F.R.D. 348, 354 (D.Conn. 1991).
Societe Nationale Industrielle Aerospatiale v. United States Dist. Ct., 482 U.S. 522 (1987).
R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. v. Haver, 171 F.3d 943 (4th Cir. 1999).
U.S. v. \$734,578.82 in U.S. Currency, 286 F.3d 641 (2002).

Treaties and International Materials

Council Directive 2004/48/EC, 2004 O.J. (L 157) 32 (EC).
 WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION, Advisory Committee on Enforcement, Second Session, *Intellectual Property Litigation Under the Civil Law Legal System; Experience in Germany*, WIPO/ACE/2/3 (June 4, 2004) (*prepared by* Joachim Bornkamm).
 Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, opened for signature Mar. 18, 1970, 23 U.S.T. 2555.
 Brief of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany as Amicus Curiae in Support of Respondent, *Societe Nationale Industrielle Aerospatiale v. United States Dist. Ct.*, 482 U.S. 522 (1986) (No. 85-1695).
 Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae, *In re Anschuetz & Co., GmbH*, 754 F.2d 602 (5th Cir. 1985) (No. 85-98).
 Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, Apr. 15, 1994, 33 I.L.M. 81 (1994).

- Stephen B. Burbank & Linda J. Silberman, *Civil Procedure Reform in Comparative Context: The United States of America*, 45 AM. J. COM. L. 675 (1997).
- Note, *Developments in the Law – Discovery*, 74 HARV. L. REV. 940 (1961).
- Edwin W. Green & Douglas S. Brown, *Back to the Future: Proposals for Restructuring Civil Discovery*, 26 U.S.F. L. REV. 225 (1992).
- Patrick E. Higginbotham, *Foreword*, 49 ALA. L. REV. 1 (1997).
- Paul D. Carrington, *Renovating Discovery*, 49 ALA. L. REV. 51 (1997).
- Thomas Y. Allman, *The “Two-Tiered” Approach to E-Discovery: Has Rule 26(b)(2)(B) Fulfilled its Promise?* 4 RICH J.L. & TECH. 7 (2008).
- Stephen C. Bruner, *Discovery: An Ordered Approach*, 30 FEDERATION INS. COUN. Q. 205 (1980).
- Christina M. Tchen et al., *The Attorney-Client Privilege and Work-Product Doctrine in Internal Investigations: Protecting the Privilege: What Is It, Who Has It, and What Happens If You Waive It Good-Bye?* 778 PLI/LIT 33 (2008).
- Alvin K. Hellerstein, *A Comparative Survey of the Attorney-Client Privilege and Work-Product Doctrine*, 540 PLI/LIT 589 (1996).
- Philippe Mueller, *Ex Parte Search Orders for Securing Evidence of Infringement: Implementation Process of Directive 2004/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights*, MAS-IP Diploma Papers & Research Reports – Paper 26 (2005), available at <http://www.bepress.com/ndsip/papers/art26>.
- Boval, *Sicherungs- und einstweilige Maßnahmen im Zusammenhang mit Patentverletzungsklagen in Frankreich*, GRUR INT. 1993, 377.
- Jochen Bühling, *Obtaining Evidence When Preparing Patent Litigation*, IP VALUE 2008, available at http://www.buildingipvalue.com/06EU/172_175.htm.
- Pierre Véron, *The “Saisie-Contrefaçon”: An Overview*, in MIPLC Course Reader for “European Copyright Enforcement 2007/2008,” on file with the author and the MIPLC administration.
- Simon Cohen & Martin Kohler, *Disclosures in European Patent Litigation: Towards an Efficient Protection of Patent Owners*, IP LITIGATOR (March 1, 2005), available at <http://www.accessmylibrary.com>.
- Paule Drouault-Gardrat, *Enforcing Patent in France*, website, Bird & Bird Articles Archive (Aug. 22, 2005), <http://www.solutionslab.com/english/publications/books/index.cfm>.
- Bertoni et al., *Forum Shopping Prospers Despite Enforcement Directive*, MANAGING IP (July/August 2008).
- Daniel S. Drapeau, *Anton Piller Orders: The Latest Word from the Supreme Court, the Federal Court of Appeal and the Federal Court*, 20 INTELL. PROP. J. 39 (2006).
- McKay, *Compelling Discovery and Disclosure in Transnational Litigation: A Selected Bibliography*, 16 N.Y.U. J. INT’L L. & POL. 1217 (1984).
- Kuo-Chang Huang, *Mandatory Disclosure: A Controversial Device with No Effects*, 21 PACE L. REV. 203 (2000).
- Lee H. Rosenthal, *A Few Thoughts on Electronic Discovery after December 1, 2006*, 116 YALE L.J. POCKET PART 167 (2006).
- Larry Coury, Note, *C’est What? Saisie! A Comparison of Patent Infringement Remedies among G7 Economic Nations*, 13 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J., 1101 (2003).
- Jochen Bühling, *Obtaining Evidence When Preparing Patent Litigation*, IP VALUE 2008, available at http://www.buildingipvalue.com/06EU/172_175.htm.
- Richard A. Eglı, *The Main Patent Litigation Countries in Europe*, 366 PRAC. L. INST./PAT. 35 (1993).

- Samuel Baumgartner, *Is Transnational Litigation Different?* 25 U. PA. J. INT'L ECON. L 1297 (2004).
- Kevin M. Clermont, *Integrating Transnational Perspectives into Civil Law Procedure: What Not to Teach*, 56 J. LEGAL EDUC. 524 (2006).
- Richard L. Marcus, *Modes of Procedural Reform*, 31 HASTINGS INT'L & COMP. L. REV. 157 (2008).
- Ronald J. Allen et al., *The German Advantage in Civil Procedure: A Plea for More Details and Fewer generalities in Comparative Scholarship*, 82 NW. U. L. REV. 705 (1988).
- Richard L. Marcus, *Putting American Procedural Exceptionalism into a Globalized Context*, AM. J. COMP. L. 709 (2005).
- Jan W. Bolt & Joseph K. Wheatley, *Private Rules for International Discovery in U.S. District Court: The U.S.-German Example*, 11 UCLA J. INT'L L. AND FOREIGN AFF. 1 (2006).
- David Perkins & Gary Mills, *Patent Infringement and Forum Shopping in the European Union*, 20 FORDHAM INT'L L.J. 549 (1996).

Books

- Kenneth R. Adamo et al., *Document Discovery in Patent Litigation*, in PATENT LITIGATION STRATEGIES HANDBOOK 2004 CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENT 79 (Barry L. Grossman & Gary M. Hoffman eds., BNA Books 2004).
- OSCAR G. CHASE ET AL., CIVIL LITIGATION IN COMPARATIVE CONTEXT (2007).
- ABBO JUNKER, DISCOVERY IM DEUTSCH-AMERIKANISCHEN RECHTSVERKEHR (Otto Sandrock ed., Verlag Recht und Wirtschaft GmbH 1987).
- Valerie Davies & Thomas N. Pieper, *English Disclosure and U.S. Discovery*, in TRANSATLANTIC COMMERCIAL LITIGATION AND ARBITRATION, 233 (John Fellas ed., Oceana Publications 2004).
- 6 JAMES WM. MOORE ET AL., MOORE'S FEDERAL PRACTICE (Matthew Bender 3d ed. 2008).
- 7 JAMES WM. MOORE ET AL., MOORE'S FEDERAL PRACTICE (Matthew Bender 3d ed. 2008).
- GARY B. BORN & DAVID WESTIN, INTERNATIONAL CIVIL LITIGATION IN UNITED STATES COURTS: COMMENTARY AND MATERIALS (Kluwer 1989).
- JACK H. FRICKENTHAL, ARTHUR R. MILLER, JOHN E. SEXTON, AND HELEN HERSHKOFF, CIVIL PROCEDURE: CASES AND MATERIALS (9th ed. 2005).
- 4 AM. JUR. *Trials* (2008).
- 23 AM. JUR. 2D *Depositions and Discovery* (2008).
- JACK B. WEINSTEIN & MARGARET A. BERGER, WEINSTEIN'S FEDERAL EVIDENCE § 900.01 (Joseph M. McLaughlin ed., Matthew Bender 2d ed. 2005).
- Black's Law Dictionary Pocket Edition (2d ed. 2004).
- 23 CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT ET AL., FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE (2d ed. 2008).
- KIMBERLY A. MOORE, PAUL R. MICHEL & RAPHAEL V. LUPO, PATENT LITIGATION AND STRATEGY (2nd ed. 2003).
- Raphael V. Lupo, *Protective Orders*, in PATENT LITIGATION STRATEGIES HANDBOOK 125 (Barry L. Grossman & Gary M. Hoffman eds., BNA Books 2002).
- BIZOLLON, ET AL., SAISIE-CONTREFAÇON (Véron ed., Dalloz 2d ed. 2005).
- 8 J. WIGMORE, EVIDENCE (McNaughton rev. 1961).
- YVES MARCELLIN, LA SAISIE-CONTREFAÇON (3d ed. Cedat 2001).
- ROLF STÜRMER ET AL., DER JUSTIZKONFLIKT MIT DEN VEREINIGTEN STAATEN VON AMERIKA (Walther J. Habscheid ed., Gieseking 1986).
- RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF FOREIGN RELATIONS LAW (1987).
- ROBERT G. BONE, CIVIL PROCEDURE: THE ECONOMICS OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (Foundation Press 2003).

Miscellaneous

- Subcomm. on Patents, Trademarks, & Copyrights of the S. Comm. on the Judiciary, 85th Cong., An Economic Review of the Patent System (Comm. Print 1958) (*prepared by Fritz Machlup*).
- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, Nov. 4, 1950, 213 U.N.T.S. 222.
- Pierre Véron, study, “Le contentieux des brevets d’invention, étude statistique 1990-1999,” *available at* http://www.veron.com/iplibrary.php?langs=fr&Session_site=f2f5ffa20b8e4cab0cb0ebac20b26add.
- Interview with Judge Takami Shintaro, Associate Justice, Osaka District Court, Japan, in Munich, Germany (Aug. 26, 2008).
- Allen & Overy, website, *available at* <http://www.allenoverly.com/AOWEB/Knowledge/Editorial.aspx?contentTypeID=1&contentSubTypeID=7945&itemID=27083&prefLangID=411>.