

Darius Meier

# The Future of Work

Ethical Evaluation of the Change of Human Labor  
in the Context of Advancing Automation



Nomos



## **Ethik | Ethics**

herausgegeben von | edited by

Prof. Dr. Peter G. Kirchschräger

Prof. Dr. Christine Abbt

Prof. Dr. Georges Enderle

Band | Volume 2


Darius Meier

# The Future of Work

Ethical Evaluation of the Change of Human Labor  
in the Context of Advancing Automation



**Nomos**



**Swiss National  
Science Foundation**

The publication was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF).

**The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek** lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Luzern, Univ., Diss., 2023

ISBN 978-3-7560-0895-7 (Print)  
978-3-7489-1642-0 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2024

© Darius Meier

Published by  
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG  
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden  
[www.nomos.de](http://www.nomos.de)

Production of the printed version:  
Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG  
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-0895-7 (Print)  
ISBN 978-3-7489-1642-0 (ePDF)  
DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748916420>



Online Version  
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution  
– Non Commercial – No Derivations 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

This dissertation examines how human labor has been changed by recent developments in automation from an ethical perspective. To that end, it demonstrates how living a life with human dignity is a universal moral imperative, grounded by the principle of vulnerability, and develops an ethical point of reference to analyze advancing automation based on the capabilities approach while extensively discussing the role of work as part of the framework. In that sense, the different aspects of work, along with its significance to receive sufficient financial income, are evaluated. As a result, a revision of the ten central human capabilities list is proposed, explained, and subsequently justified. The following overall assessment presents ethical opportunities and challenges, outlining how key developments of automation affect the different capabilities to live a dignified life when work is conducted more and more by machines. Furthermore, policy instruments frequently discussed regarding automation of human labor are assessed from an ethical perspective, later applied in a guidance for Swiss public policy. In that effort, this dissertation emphasizes the importance of an active social assistance scheme to provide humans a dignified life when the availability of work becomes scarce.



# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	11
List of abbreviations	13
1 Introduction	15
1.1 Research structure	16
1.2 Scientific relevance	17
1.3 Societal and practical relevance	18
1.4 Overview of the current state of research	18
1.5 Methodological approach	23
1.6 Literature and sources	23
2 Review and definition of key terms	25
2.1 Human labor in an ethical context	25
2.1.1 Work vs. labor	28
2.1.2 Ethical vs. economic perspectives	28
2.1.3 Human labor as a control authority when deploying technology	29
2.2 Automation of human labor	30
2.2.1 Clarification of the term automation	31
2.2.2 Clarification of digital transformation	32
3 Human dignity as ethical point of reference	33
3.1 Identification and overview	33
3.1.1 Short history of human dignity	38
3.1.2 The specification challenge of the term human dignity	40
3.2 Justification of human dignity as a moral value	43
3.3 The capabilities approach	45
3.3.1 Introduction	45
3.3.1.1 Influence on policy and practice	50
3.3.2 Human dignity in the capabilities approach	51
3.3.2.1 Defining a life with human dignity	51

## Table of Contents

3.3.2.2	The ten central human capabilities	53
3.3.2.3	Human flourishing and human dignity	55
3.3.2.4	Applicability to technology	56
3.3.3	Social justice in the capabilities approach	58
3.3.3.1	Social justice and human dignity	59
3.3.3.1	The capabilities approach: An approach to social justice	61
3.3.4	Discussions on the justification of the capabilities approach	64
3.4	The significance of work in human dignity	66
3.4.1	Religious-ethics views on work for a life with human dignity	67
3.4.1.1	Catholic social teaching (CST)	67
3.4.1.2	Protestant ethic	74
3.4.1.3	China	78
3.4.1.4	Islam	79
3.4.1.5	Hinduism	81
3.4.2	The International Labor Organization (ILO) and the United Nations (UN)	83
3.4.3	Identity and work	85
3.4.4	Meaningful work	90
3.4.4.1	Characteristics	90
3.4.4.2	Dignity and meaningful work	92
3.4.5	Implications for the capabilities approach	96
3.4.6	Potential critique	97
3.4.6.1	Marxist critique of labor	98
3.3.7.2	Online anti-work movement	98
3.3.7.3	Ascetic tradition	99
3.5	Justification of the ethical point of reference	100
4	Opportunities and risks of human labor automation from an ethical perspective	103
4.1	Complete replacement of manual human labor by machines	103
4.1.1	Manufacturing and supply chain	104
4.1.2	Mining	109
4.1.3	Agriculture	112
4.2	Education and skills in the context of advancing automation	120
4.2.1	Labor market requirements and access to work	120

4.2.2	New ways of education	129
4.3	Automation of communication	135
4.3.1	Social media	139
4.3.1.1	Automated press and political discourse in democracy	141
4.3.1.2	Socialization and the work on selfhood	144
4.3.2	Data slavery as a new form of unpaid labor	149
4.4	Digital finance	152
4.4.1	Robo advisory	153
4.4.2	Accounting	155
4.4.3	Mobile banking applications	158
4.4.4	Blockchain	159
4.5	Machines in medicine	162
4.5.1	Medical decisions	163
4.5.2	Pharmaceutical industry, pharmacies, and drug distribution automation	170
4.6	Care and sex robots	176
4.7	Weapons automatization	184
4.7.1	Conventional warfare and autonomous weapons systems (AWS)	185
4.7.2	Espionage and surveillance automation	190
4.8	Automation of the rule of law	193
4.8.1	Legal services	193
4.8.2	Public services	197
4.8.2.1	Access to services	198
4.8.2.2	Equity	199
4.8.2.3	Market pressure and efficiency	204
4.9	Evaluation of policy instruments from an ethical perspective	206
4.9.1	Minimum wage	207
4.9.2	Universal basic income (UBI) as a social assistance scheme	208
4.9.3	Educational programs and awareness campaigns	211
4.9.4	Self-regulation	215
4.9.5	Technology restrictions	219
4.9.6	Taxation	222
4.9.6.1	Robot and digital taxation	224
4.9.6.2	Progressive and capital taxation	226

## *Table of Contents*

5	Outlook: Ethical guidance for Swiss Public Policy	229
5.1	Automation in Switzerland and suitable policy instruments from an ethical perspective	229
5.1.1	Manual labor	230
5.1.2	Automation of communication	232
5.1.3	Financial services	233
5.1.4	Medicine	234
5.1.5	Rule of law	235
5.2	The crucial importance of social assistance	236
6	Conclusion	239
7	References	243

## Acknowledgements

On the evening of October 9, 2019, I met with Prof. Dr. Markus Huppenbauer at Zurich Main Station. He had previously been my mentor, having supervised my master's thesis at the University of Zurich. In 2019, I had been working as a technology consultant for one of the “Big Four” companies for a couple of years but was seeking further intellectual challenge. Markus Huppenbauer proposed, “Why don't you do a PhD on the basis of our successful collaboration during your master's thesis?” and we began to contemplate what specific research topic I could focus on. He recommended that I approach Prof. Dr. Peter G. Kirchsclaeger and ask him to become my main supervisor while he would assume a co-supervisory role. After my proposal was accepted, I initiated my research in February 2020. Markus Huppenbauer passed away unexpectedly five months later, and he remains sadly missed.

At this point, I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Peter G. Kirchsclaeger, for his continued support and confidence throughout this project's completion and for enlightening me with his expertise on the essential nature of the principle of vulnerability, highlighting the fragility that is common to all human beings. I am also grateful to Prof. Dr. Giovanni Ventimiglia, who was the second assessor of my thesis. Moreover, I would like to express my gratitude to the members of the Institute of Social Ethics ISE at the University of Lucerne for the lively debates that they facilitated during colloquium sessions at the Lucerne Graduate School in Ethics LGSE.

My time at Yale University was a crucial period in the development of my thesis, and I am particularly grateful to my sponsor Prof. Dr. Jennifer A. Herdt of Yale Divinity School for sharing with me her expertise on human dignity, which had a substantial influence on the course that my research took. In addition, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Thomas Pogge and the other fellows of the Yale Global Justice Program—in particular, Dr. Michal Apollo and Matheus de Vilhena Moraes—for their valuable input and excellent collaboration. I would further like to express my gratitude to Dr. Joseph R. Carvalko, Jr. and Prof. Dr. Wendell Wallach for their topical discussions on my research and for inviting me to speak at the Yale Technology and Ethics working group. Furthermore, I would like to thank

## *Acknowledgements*

Nathaniel Raymond of Yale School of Public Health, Dr. Iason Gabriel of Oxford University, and Prof. Dr. Eduard Fosch-Villaronga of Leiden University for the discussions and for joining me as participants of the 2022 Yale Global Justice Conference.

In addition, I would like to express my appreciation for Tais Fernanda Blauth from the University of Groningen for the collaboration on the topic of autonomous weapons systems. I am also grateful to Prof. Dr. Omar Oswald Serrano, Prof. Dr. Katina Michael, Dr. Caroline Kuhn and Prof. Dr. Jean-Michel Bonvin for our discussions on various topics and to Dr. Jason Resnikoff, Prof. Dr. José van Dijck, Cornelia Diethelm, Peter S. Goodman, and Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hoffmann-Riem for their publication-related input.

I would like to express gratitude to PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) Switzerland—specifically Urs Küderli, Johannes Dohren, and Fabian Fais-tauer—for their support over the course of this dissertation project and our fruitful discussions in recent years.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and dear friends for the enduring support over the course of this research project. They know who they are.

Zollikon/Zurich, 10.07.2024

## List of abbreviations

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Definition</b>
ADM	Automated decision-making
AI	Artificial intelligence
AIM	AI in medicine
AWS	Autonomous weapons systems
CDR	Corporate digital responsibility
CSR	Corporate social responsibility
CST	Catholic social teaching
DLT	Distributed ledger technologies
DQ	Digital intelligence
ESG	Environmental, Social, Governance
ETF	Exchange-traded funds
EU	European Union
FDA	US Food and Drug Administration
GDP	Gross domestic product
HDI	Human Development Index
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
ILO	International Labor Organization
IT	Information technology
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
PwC	PricewaterhouseCoopers
R&D	Research & Development
RAS	Robot-assisted surgery

*List of abbreviations*

RAV	Regionale Arbeitsvermittlungszentren
RPA	Robotic process automation
TSR	Technological social responsibility
UBI	Universal basic income
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
US	United States
WEF	World Economic Forum
WHO	World Health Organization