

Bibliography

- Alberstein, Michal. 2012. "Measuring Legal Formalism: Reading Hard Cases with Soft Frames." In *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society*, edited by Austin Sarat, 161–99. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1059-4337\(2012\)0000057008](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1059-4337(2012)0000057008).
- Alberstein, Michal, Limor Gabay-Egozi, and Bryna Bogoch. 2020. "What's with Formalism? An Empirical Study of Various Predictors and Profiles of Supreme Court Rhetoric." *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3679521>.
- Alexy, Robert. 2010. *A Theory of Legal Argumentation: The Theory of Rational Discourse as Theory of Legal Justification*. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Barrett, A. C. (2005). Statutory stare decisis in the courts of appeals. *George Washington Law Review*, 73(2), 317–335.
- Bencze M, "Obstacles and Opportunities—Measuring the Quality of Judicial Reasoning" in Mátyás Bencze and Gar Yein Ng (eds), *How to Measure the Quality of Judicial Reasoning*, vol 69 (Springer International Publishing 2018).
- Bencze, M. (2021). Judicial Populism and the Weberian Judge—The Strength of Judicial Resistance Against Governmental Influence in Hungary. *German Law Journal*, 22(7), 1282–1297. doi:10.1017/glj.2021.67
- Bencze, Mátyás, and Gar Yein Ng, eds. 2018. *How to Measure the Quality of Judicial Reasoning*. Vol. 69. Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice. Cham: Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97316-6>.
- Beširević, V. (2014). Governing without judges: The politics of the Constitutional Court in Serbia. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 12(4), 954–979. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mou065>
- Bobek M (ed), "Conclusions: Of Form and Substance in Central European Judicial Transitions," *Central European Judges Under the European Influence: The Transformative Power of the EU Revisited* (Hart Publishing 2015).
- Bobek M, "What Role for Courts in Transforming a Society? A Central European Cautionary Tale" in Michal Bobek and others (eds), *Transition 2.0: Re-establishing Constitutional Democracy in EU Member States* (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co KG 2023).

Bibliography

- Bobek, Michal, 2007. "On the Application of European Law in (Not Only) the Courts of the New Member States: 'Don't Do as I Say?'" *Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies* 10.
- Bobek, M. (2008). The fortress of judicial independence and the mental transitions of the Central European judiciaries. *European Public Law*, 14(1), 99–123.
- Braun, Daniel. 2024. "I Beg to Differ: How Disagreement Is Handled in the Annotation of Legal Machine Learning Data Sets." *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 32 (3): 839–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10506-023-09369-4>.
- Bystranowski P et al., "Do Formalist Judges Abide by Their Abstract Principles? A Two-Country Study in Adjudication" (2022) 35 *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law – Revue internationale de Sémiotique juridique* 1903.
- Choi, J. (2020). An empirical study of statutory interpretation in tax law. *New York University Law Review*, 95(2), 363–426.
- Constitutional Court. (2012). *Ročenka Ústavního soudu [Annual Bulletin of the Constitutional Court]*. Retrieved November 22, 2024, from https://www.usoud.cz/fileadmin/user_upload/ustavni_soud_www/prilohy/ROCENKA_2012_FINAL_na_web.pdf
- Cserne P, "Discourses on Judicial Formalism in Central and Eastern Europe: Symptom of an Inferiority Complex?" (2020) 28 *European Review* 880.
- , "Judicial Formalism and Regional Legal Identity in Central and Eastern Europe" in Cosmin Sebastian Cercel, Alexandra Mercescu, and Mirosław Michał Sadowski (eds), *Law, Culture, and Identity in Central and Eastern Europe: A Comparative Engagement* (Routledge 2024).
- ČT24. (2024). Čaputová's comments on formalism and judicial courage. Retrieved November 22, 2024, from <https://ct24.ceskatelevize.cz/clanek/svet/prosazovani-pravdy-prekazi-formalismus-justice-a-nedostatek-odvahy-rika-caputova-57181> (Timestamp: 16:05–18:02).
- Czech Senate. (2002, March 14). *Statement by President Václav Havel during the 3rd session of the Czech Senate*. Retrieved November 20, 2024, from <https://www.senat.cz/xqw/xervlet/pssenat/htmlhled?action=doc&value=11921>
- Dixon, R. (2023). Responsive Judicial Review in Central & Eastern Europe. *Review of Central and East European Law*, 48(3–4), 375–402. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15730352-bja10093>
- Epstein L and Martin AD. *An Introduction to Empirical Legal Research* (1st ed, Oxford Univ Press 2014).
- Esckridge, William Nichol, Brian G. Slocum, and Kevin Tobia. 2022. "Textualism's Defining Moment." *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4305017>.
- Galligan D and Matczak M, "Strategies of Judicial Review. Exercising Judicial Discretion in Administrative Cases Involving Business Entities" (Sprawne Państwo, Ernst & Young SA, Poland 2005).

Bibliography

- Gerloch, A. (2021). *Teorie práva*. Aleš Čeněk
- Gerloch, A., Tryzna, J., & Wintr, J. (Eds.). (2012). *Metodologie interpretace práva a právní jistota*. Aleš Čeněk.
- Gluck, A. R., & Posner, R. A. (2018). Statutory interpretation on the bench: A survey of forty-two judges on the federal courts of appeals. *Harvard Law Review*, 131(6), 1299–1352.
- Grey, Thomas C. 2014. *Formalism and Pragmatism in American Law*. The Social Sciences of Practice: The History and Theory of Legal Practice, vol. 2. Leiden Boston: Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004272897>.
- Grove, T. L. (2020). Which textualism? *Harvard Law Review*, 134(1), 265–285.
- Grove, T. L. (2024). Is textualism at war with statutory precedent? *Texas Law Review*, 102(4).
- Habernal, Ivan, Daniel Faber, Nicola Recchia, Sebastian Brethauer, Iryna Gurevych, Indra Spiecker Genannt Döhmman, and Christoph Burchard. 2024. “Mining Legal Arguments in Court Decisions.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 32 (3): 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10506-023-09361-y>.
- Hall, M. A., & Wright, R. F. (2008). Systematic content analysis of judicial opinions. *California Law Review*, 96(1), 63–122. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20439157>
- Jakab A, Dyeve A, Itzcovich G, eds. *Comparative Constitutional Reasoning*. Cambridge University Press; 2017.
- Kadlec, Ondřej. “Interpretační Pravidlo V Pochybnostech Ve Prospěch: Účinný Nástroj, Nebo Rétorická Ozdoba?” (2016) 6 Právník.
- Kalt, B. C. (2004). Three Levels of Stare Decisis: Distinguishing Common-law, Constitutional, and Statutory Cases. *Texas Review of Law & Politics*, 8, 277. <https://doi.org/10.17613/f3mv-m061>
- Karčić F, “A Study on Legal Formalism in the Former Yugoslavia and Its Successor States. CIDS Report Nr. 1/2020.”
- Kennedy, Duncan. 2005. “13. The Disenchantment of Logically Formal Legal Rationality: Or, Max Weber’s Sociology in the Genealogy of the Contemporary Mode of Western Legal Thought.” Edited by Charles Camic, Philip S. Gorski, and David M. Trubek. *Max Weber’s Economy and Society*, January, 322–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503624023-016>.
- Klatt, M. (2020). Integrative jurisprudence: legal scholarship and the triadic nature of law. *Ratio juris*, 33(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/raju.12301>
- Koref, T. et al. (Forthcoming). Mining Legal Arguments to Study Judicial Formalism.
- Koref, T., (Forthcoming). *Empirical Analysis of Legal Reasoning in Czechia*.
- Komárek J, “The Struggle for Legal Reform After Communism” (2015) 63 *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 285.
- Kosař D and others. *Domestic Judicial Treatment of European Court of Human Rights Case Law: Beyond Compliance* (1st edn, Routledge 2020).

Bibliography

- Kosař, D., & Ouředníčková, S. (2023). Responsive Judicial Review “Light” in Central and Eastern Europe – A New Sheriff in Town?. *Review of Central and East European Law*, 48(3–4), 445–472. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15730352-bja10091>
- Krippendorff K, *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology* (Fourth Edition, Sage 2018).
- Krishnakumar, A. S. (2020). Backdoor purposivism. *Duke Law Journal*, 69, 1275–1352.
- Kustra-Rogatka, A. (2023). The Hypocrisy of Authoritarian Populism in Poland: Between the Facade Rhetoric of Political Constitutionalism and the Actual Abuse of Apex Courts. *European Constitutional Law Review*, 19(1), 25–58. doi:10.1017/S1574019622000499
- Kühn Z, “Worlds Apart: Western and Central European Judicial Culture at the Onset of the European Enlargement” (2004) 52 *The American Journal of Comparative Law*.
- , “The Application of European Law in the New Member States: Several (Early) Predictions” (2005) 6 *German Law Journal* 563.
- , *The Judiciary in Central and Eastern Europe: Mechanical Jurisprudence in Transformation?* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2011).
- , “The Quality of Justice and of Judicial Reasoning in the Czech Republic” in Mátyás Bencze and Gar Yein Ng (eds), *How to Measure the Quality of Judicial Reasoning*, vol 69 (2018th edn, Springer International Publishing 2018).
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159–174.
- Lazowski, Adam. 2011. “Half Full and Half Empty Glass: The Application of EU Law in Poland (2004–2010).” *Common Market Law Review* 48 (2): 503–53. <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011021>.
- Lee, Thomas R., Lawrence B. Solum, James Cleith Phillips, and Jesse Egbert. 2023. “Corpus Linguistics and the Original Public Meaning of the Sixteenth Amendment.” *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4560166>.
- MacCormick, Neil, and Robert S. Summers, eds. 2016. *Interpreting Statutes: A Comparative Study*. Legal Philosophy. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315251882>.
- Małolepszy M and Gluchowski M, “Argumentation and Legal Interpretation in the Criminal Decisions of the Polish Supreme Court and the German Federal Court of Justice: A Comparative View” (2022) 35 *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law – Revue internationale de Sémiotique juridique* 1797.
- Mańko R, “Weeds in the Gardens of Justice? The Survival of Hyperpositivism in Polish Legal Culture as a Symptom/Sinthome” (2013) 7 *Pólemos*.

Bibliography

- , “Being Central European, or Some Reflections on Law, Double Peripherality and the Political in Times of Transformation” in Tomáš Gábriš and Ján Sombati (eds), *Central and Eastern Europe as a Double Periphery? Volume of Proceedings from the 11th CEE Forum Conference in Bratislava, Slovakia 25–26 April 2019* (Peter Lang 2020).
- Maňko, R. et al. (2016). Carving out Central Europe as a space of legal culture: A way out of peripherality? *Wroclaw Review of Law, Administration & Economics*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1515/wrlae-2016-0001>
- Matczak M, Bencze M, and Kühn Z, “Constitutions, EU Law and Judicial Strategies in the Czech Republic, Hungary and Poland” (2010) 30 *Journal of Public Policy* 81.
- , “EU Law and Central European Judges: Administrative Judiciaries in the Czech Republic, Hungary and Poland Ten Years after Accession” in Michal Bobek (ed), *Central European Judges Under the European Influence: The Transformative Power of the EU Revisited* (2015th edn, Hart Publishing 2015).
- Matczak, Marcin. 2018. “The Strength of the Attack or the Weakness of the Defence? Poland’s Rule of Law Crisis and Legal Formalism.” *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3121611>.
- Melzer, F. (2011). *Metodologie nalézání práva: Úvod do právní argumentace* (2nd ed.). C.H. Beck.
- Möllers, Christoph, Alexander Tischbirek, Ali Ighreiz, Louis Rolfes, and Anna Shadrova. 2020. “Karlsruher Kanones?” *Archiv des öffentlichen Rechts* 145 (4): 537. <https://doi.org/10.1628/aoer-2020-0026>.
- Möllers, C. (2020). *Legal methods: How to work with legal arguments*. C.H. Beck.
- Nachbar, Thomas B. n.d. “Twenty-First Century Formalism.”
- Nalepa, Monika. 2021. “Transitional Justice and Authoritarian Backsliding.” *Constitutional Political Economy* 32 (3): 278–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-020-09315-5>.
- Nelson, C. (2001). Stare decisis and demonstrably erroneous precedents. *Virginia Law Review*, 87(1), 1–52.
- Ovádek, M., Schroeder, P., & Zgliniski, J. (2024). Where law meets data: a practical guide to expert coding in legal research. *European Law Open*, 3(4), 820–848. doi:10.1017/elo.2024.23
- Procházka R, *Mission Accomplished: On Founding Constitutional Adjudication in Central Europe* (Central European University Press 2002).
- Raiser, T. (2008). Max Weber und die Rationalität des Rechts. *JuristenZeitung*, 63(18), 853–859. <https://doi.org/10.1628/002268808785849654>

Bibliography

- Santin, Piera, Giulia Grundler, Andrea Galassi, Federico Galli, Francesca Lagioia, Elena Palmieri, Federico Ruggeri, Giovanni Sartor, and Paolo Torroni. 2023. "Argumentation Structure Prediction in CJEU Decisions on Fiscal State Aid." In *Proceedings of the Nineteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 247–56. Braga, Portugal: ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3594536.3595174>.
- Scalia, A. (2018). *A matter of interpretation: Federal courts and the law* (New ed.). Princeton University Press
- Schauer, Frederick (1988). Formalism. *Yale Law Journal* 97 (4):509–548.
- Sica, A. (Ed.). (2023). *The Routledge International Handbook on Max Weber* (1st ed.). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003089537>
- Slocum, B. G., & Tobia, K. (2023). The linguistic and substantive canons. *Harvard Law Review*
- Solan, L. (2016). Precedent in statutory interpretation. *North Carolina Law Review*, 94, 1165–1202.
- Solum, L. B. (2014). The positive foundations of formalism: False necessity and American legal realism—The empirical evidence that judging need not be political. *Harvard Law Review*, 127(8), 2464–2486.
- Spamann, Holger, et al 2021. "Judges in the Lab: No Precedent Effects, No Common/Civil Law Differences." *Journal of Legal Analysis* 13 (1): 110–26. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jla/laaa008>.
- Stehlík, Václav. 2014. *Unijní Právo před Českými Soudy*. Praha: Leges.
- Stiglitz, E. H., & Thalken, R. (2024). Historical trends in macro-jurisprudence: A language model assessment, 1870–2023. *Maryland Law Review*, 84,
- Strauss, P. J. (1999). The common law and statutes. *University of Colorado Law Review*, 70(1), 225–256.
- Šipulová K and Kosař D, "Purging the Judiciary After a Transition: Between a Rock and a Hard Place" [2024] *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*.
- Šipulová, Katarína, Aleš Pavel, and Simona Češková. 2019. *Aplikace Unijního Práva Českými Civilními a Trestními Soudy v Rozhodnutích z Let 2012–2015*. 1st ed. Brno: Nejvyšší soud.
- Sunstein, C. R. (1999). Must formalism be defended empirically? *University of Chicago Law Review*, 66(3), 636–670.
- Suteu, S. (2023). Between Dialogue, Conflict, and Competition: The Limits of Responsive Judicial Review in the Case of the Romanian Constitutional Court. *Review of Central and East European Law*, 48(3–4), 519–537. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15730352-bja10092>
- Strauss, P. L. (1999). The common law and statutes. *Colorado Law Review*, 70(2), 225–260.
- Uzelac A, "Survival of the Third Legal Tradition?" (2010) 39 *Supreme Court Law Review* 377.

Bibliography

- Vogel, Friedemann, Hanjo Hamann, and Isabelle Gauer. 2018. "Computer-Assisted Legal Linguistics: Corpus Analysis as a New Tool for Legal Studies." *Law & Social Inquiry* 43 (4): 1340–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lsi.12305>.
- Vogel, Friedemann, Tonio Walter, and Felix Tripps, eds. 2022. *Korpuslinguistik Im Recht*. Vol. 5. Duncker und Humblot. <https://doi.org/10.3790/978-3-428-58616-5>.
- Walton, D. et al. (2021). *Statutory Interpretation: Pragmatics and Argumentation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Walton, Douglas N., Chris Reed, and Fabrizio Macagno. 2010. *Argumentation Schemes*. Repr. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Watson, B. (2022). Textualism, dynamism, and the meaning of "sex." *Cardozo Law Review de•novo*, 41–57. <https://larc.cardozo.yu.edu/de-novo/86>
- Watson, Bill (Forthcoming). What are we debating? *Preprint*. Retrieved from https://billwatson.net/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Watson_What-Are-We-Debating_3-12-24.pdf
- Wintr J and Koželuha P, "Teleological Interpretation in Czech Case Law" (2015) 5 *The Lawyer Quarterly* 133.
- Wintr, J. (2019). *Metody a zásady interpretace práva* (2nd ed.). Auditorium.
- Zeppos, N. S. (1992). Use of authority in statutory interpretation: An empirical analysis. *Texas Law Review*, 70(5), 1073–1145.
- Zweigert, K., & Puttfarken, H.-J. (1970). Statutory interpretation—Civilian style. *Tulane Law Review*, 44(4), 704–718

