

VI. List of Works Cited

A. *Monographies and Articles*

- Rudi Bekkers and Andrew Updegrave ‘IPR Policies and Practices of a Representative Group of Standards-Setting Organizations Worldwide’ (2013) <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2333445> accessed 6 September 2014, 6.
- Roger G Brooks ‘SSO Rules, Standardization, and SEP Licensing: Economic Questions from the Trenches’ [2013] 9 (4) *Journal of Competition Law & Economics* 859.
- Ginevra Bruzzone and Marco Boccaccio, ‘Standards under EU Competition Law: The Open Issues’ in Giandonato Caggiano, Gabriella Muscolo and Marina Tavassi (eds), *Competition Law and Intellectual Property. The European Perspective* (Wolters Kluwer 2012) 85-112.
- Jorge J Contreras and David L Newman ‘Developing a Framework for Arbitrating Standards-Essential Patent Disputes’, 4/21/2014 *Journal of Dispute Resolution* (2014), 1 (forthcoming).
- Patrick D Curran ‘Standard-Setting Organizations: Patents, Price Fixing, and Per Se Legality’ [2003] 70 *The University of Chicago Law Review* 983.
- Thomas De Meese ‘European Commission Accepts Commitments from Rambus in ‘Patent Ambush’ Case’ [2010] 1 (3) *Journal of European Competition Law and Practice* 215.
- Josef Drexl ‘Anti-Competitive Stumbling Stones on the Way to a Cleaner World: Protecting Competition in Innovation without a Market’ [2012] 8 *Journal of Competition Law and Economics* 507.
- Josef Drexl ‘Intellectual Property in Competition: How to Promote Dynamic Competition as a Goal’ in Josef Drexl, Warren S. Grimes, Clifford A. Jones (eds), *More Common Ground for International Competition Law?* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2011) 210-229.
- Josef Drexl ‘Real Knowledge is to Know the Extent of One’s Own Ignorance: On the Consumer Harm Approach in Innovation-Related Competition Cases’ [2010] *Antitrust Journal* 667.
- Carter Eltzroth ‘Arbitration of Intellectual Property Disputes’ [2014] 1 (19) *Arbitration News: Newsletter of the International Bar Association Legal Practice Division* 88.
- Joseph Farrel, John Hayes, Carl Shapiro and Theresa Sullivan ‘Standard Setting, Patents, and Hold-Up: A Troublesome Mix’ [2007] 74 *Antitrust Law Journal* 603.
- Joseph Farrel, ‘Standards and Intellectual Property’ (1989) E-89-25 Working Papers in Economics, <<http://hoohila.stanford.edu/workingpapers/getWorkingPaper.php?filename=E-89-25.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2014.

VI. List of Works Cited

- Michael Fröhlich ‘‘The smartphone patent wars saga: availability of injunctive relief for standard essential patents’’ [2014] 9 (2) *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice* 156.
- Andreas Fuchs, ‘‘Patent Ambush Strategies and Art. 102 TFEU’’ in Josef Drexl, Warren S. Grimes, Clifford A. Jones (eds), *More Common Ground for International Competition Law?* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2011) 177-197.
- Damien Geradin and Miguel Rato ‘‘Can Standard-Setting Lead to Exploitative Abuse? A Dissonant View on Patent Hold-Up, Royalty Stacking and the Meaning of FRAND’’ [2007] 3 *European Competition Law Journal* 101.
- Damien Geradin, ‘Standardization and Technological Innovation: Some Reflections on ex ante Licensing, FRAND, and the proper means to reward innovators’ (Intellectual Property and Competition Law Conference, Brussels, June 2006) <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=909011> accessed 19 August 2014.
- Pierre Heitzmann, ‘Arbitration and Criminal Liability for Competition Law Violations in Europe’ in Gordon Blanke, Phillip Landolt (eds), *EU and US Antitrust Arbitration: A Handbook for Practitioners* (Kluwer Law International 2011) 1251-1292.
- Justin (Gus) Hurwitz ‘‘The Value of Patents in Industry Standards: Avoiding License Arbitrage with Voluntary Rules’’ [2008] 36 *AIPLA Quarterly Journal* 1.
- Robin Jacob ‘‘Competition Authorities Support Grasshoppers: Competition Law as a Threat to Innovation’’ [2013] 9 (2) *Competition Policy International* 15.
- Kraig A Jakobsen ‘‘Revisiting Standard-Setting Organizations’ Patent Policies’’ [2004] 3 *Northwestern Journal of Technology and Intellectual Property* 43.
- Alison Jones ‘‘Standard-Essential Patents: FRAND Commitments, Injunctions and the Smartphone Wars’’ [2014] 10 (1) *European Competition Journal* 1.
- Alison Jones, Brenda Sufrin, *EU Competition Law: Text, Cases and Materials* (OUP 2011).
- Pierre Larouche, Jorge Padilla and Richard S Taffnet, ‘Settling FRAND Disputes. Is Mandatory Arbitration a Reasonable and Non-Discriminatory Alternative?’ (2013) Hoover IP2 Working Paper Series 13003, 1 <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2346892> accessed 18 August 2014.
- Mark A Lemley ‘‘Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations’’ [2002] 90 *California Law Review* 1889.
- Mark A Lemley and Carl Shapiro ‘‘A Simple Approach to Setting Reasonable Royalties for Standard-Essential Patents’’ [2013] 28 *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 1135.
- Julian D M Lew, Loukas A Mistelis, Stefan Kröll, *Comparative International Commercial Arbitration* (Kluwer Law International 2003).
- Keith Maskus and Stephen A Merrill (eds), *Patent Challenges for Standard-Setting in the Global Economy: Lessons from Information and Communication Technology* (The National Academies Press 2013).
- Nicolas Petit ‘‘Injunctions for Frand-Pledged Standard Essential Patents: The Quest for an Appropriate Test of Abuse Under Article 102 TFEU’’ [2013] 9 (3) *European Competition Journal* 677.

VI. List of Works Cited

- Nicolas Petit and Miguel Rato “Abuse of Dominance in Technology-Enabled Markets: Established Standards Reconsidered?” [2013] 9 (1) *European Competition Journal* 1.
- Eliza G Petritsi “The case of Unilateral Patent Ambush under EC Competition Rules” [2005] 28/1 *World Competition* 25.
- Urška Petrovčič, *Competition Law and Standard Essential Patents: A Transatlantic Perspective* (Kluwer Law International 2014) 3.
- Andrew L Russell “Standardization in History: A Review Essay with an Eye to the Future” in Sherrie Bolin (ed), *The Standards Edge: Future Generations* (Ann Arbor, MI: Sheridan Press 2005) 247-260.
- Sven Sattler “Standardization under EU competition rules – the Commission’s new horizontal guidelines” [2011] 32 *European Competition Law Review* 343.
- DongBack Seo “Analysis of Various Structures of Standards Setting Organizations (SSOs) that Impact Tension among Members” [2013] 11 (2) *International Journal of IT Standards and Standardization Research* 52.
- Daniel F Spulber, “Innovation Economics: The Interplay Among Technology, Standards, Competitive Conduct, and Economic Performance” [2013] 9 (4) *Journal of Competition Law & Economics* 777.
- Robert Tallman “U.S. and E.U. Antitrust Enforcement Efforts in the Rambus Matter: A Patent Law Perspective” [2012] 52 *IDEA* 31.
- Claudia Tapia, ‘Industrial property rights, technical standards and licensing practices (FRAND) in the telecommunications industry’ (DPhil thesis, Augsburg University 2010).
- David J Teece and Edward F Sherry “Standards Setting and Antitrust” [2002] 87 *Minnesota Law Review* 1945.
- John Temple Lang “Patent pools and agreements on standards” [2011] 36 (6) *European Law Review* 887.
- Joel M Wallace “Rambus v. F.T.C. in the Context of Standard-Setting Organizations, Antitrust, and the Patent Hold-Up Problem” [2009] 24 *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 661.
- Richard Whish and David Bailey, *Competition Law* (OUP 2012).
- Alexandros S Zografos “The SEP Holder’s Guide to the Antitrust Galaxy: FRAND and Injunctions” [2014] 37 (1) *World Competition* 53.

VI. List of Works Cited

B. *International Legal Acts*

World Intellectual Property Organization Arbitration Rules, 1 October 2002 (as amended 1 June 2014).

C. *European Union Legislation*

Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union [2008] OJ C115/1.

D. *European Union Court of Justice Case Law*

Case 27/76 *United Brands v Commission* [1978] ECR 207.

Case 85/76 *Hoffmann-La Roche v Commission* [1979] ECR 461.

C-241/91 P and C-242/91 P *RTE and ITP v Commission* [1995] ECR I-00743.

T-111/96 *ITT Promedia v Commission* [1998] ECR II-02937.

C-126/97 *Eco Swiss China v Benetton International NV* [1999] ECR I-03055.

C-418/01 *IMS Health GmbH & Co. OHG v NDC Health GmbH & Co. KG* [2004] ECR I-05039.

T-119/09 *Protégé International v Commission* [2012] (unpublished).

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Düsseldorf C-170/13 *Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd v ZTE Corp., ZTE Deutschland GmbH*.

E. *European Commission Decisions*

Rambus (Case COMP/38.636) Commission Decision [2009] OJ 2010 C30/17.

Google/Motorola Mobility (Case COMP/M.6381) Commission Decision [2012] OJ c 75.

Samsung – Enforcement of UMTS standard essential patents (Case AT.39939) Commission Decision [2014] (unpublished).

Motorola – Enforcement of GPRS standard essential patents (Case AT.39985) Commission Decision AT.39985 [2014] (unpublished).

F. *Other European Union Materials*

- Commission, Communication ‘A Stronger European Industry for Growth and Economic Recovery Industrial Policy Communication Update’ COM (2012) 582 final.
- Commission, ‘Guidelines of 14 January 2011 on the applicability of Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to horizontal co-operation agreements’ OJ C 11.
- Commission, ‘Guidelines on the application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty’ OJ C 101.
- Proposed Commitment of Rambus Inc. Case C-3/38.636 (8 June 2009) <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/cases/dec_docs/38636/38636_1003_5.pdf> accessed 11 March 2014.
- Commission, Press Release ‘Commission confirms sending a Statement of Objections to Rambus’ MEMO/07/330.

G. *Legislation from other jurisdictions*

- Law on Employee Inventions (Gesetz über Arbeitnehmererfindungen), 25 July 1957 (as amended 24 June 1994).

H. *Case law from other jurisdictions*

- Georgia-Pac. Corp. v. U.S. Plywood Corp.* 318 F. Supp. 1116, 1120 (S.D.N.Y. 1970) modified and aff’d 446 F.2d 295 (2d Cir. 1971) cert. denied 404 U.S. 870 (1971).
- Image Technical Servs. v Kodak* (9th Cir. 1997).
- BGH, GRUR 2009, 694 – Orange Book Standard.
- Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd/Apple Inc.* D.C. Hague March 14 2012 Dkt. Nos. 400367 / HA ZA 11-2212, 400376 / HA ZA 11-2213, 400385 / HA ZA. 11-2215.

I. *Internal documents of SSOs*

- European Telecommunications Standards Institute’s Guide on Intellectual Property Rights (as amended 19 September 2013).
- DVB’s Memorandum of Understanding (as amended 3 January 2014).
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute’s Intellectual Property Rights’ Policy (as amended 19 March 2014).

J. *Conference materials*

- Magdalena Brenning, 'Competition & Intellectual Property Policy Implications of Late or No IPR Disclosure in Collective Standard-Setting' (American Bar Association's International Roundtable on International Standards, Brussels, June 2002) <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/speeches/text/sp2002_037_en.pdf> accessed 21 August 2014.
- Damien Geradin, 'Standardization and Technological Innovation: Some Reflections on ex ante Licensing, FRAND, and the proper means to reward innovators' (Intellectual Property and Competition Law Conference, Brussels, June 2006) <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=909011> accessed 19 August 2014.
- Joseph Straus, Courts as Pacemakers of Innovation - As Reflected in Case Law on Patentable Subject Matter (The Role of Courts in IP and Innovations, Proceedings of the 2013 Judicial Symposium in Korea, Seoul).
- International Association for the Protection of Intellectual Property Summary Report (Executive Committee Meeting in Lisbon, Portugal, June 2002).
- Standard-Setting, Competition Law and the Ex Ante Debate, Cisco Systems, Presentation to ETSI SOS (Interoperability III Meeting, Sofia Antipolis, February 2006) <<http://www.etsi.org/images/files/SOSInteroperability/SOSInteropIIIpresentation3-02.pdf>> accessed 19 August 2014.

K. *Websites and blogs*

- Miguel Rato, European Union (Global Competition Review, 28 May 2014) <<http://globalcompetitionreview.com/know-how/topics/80/jurisdictions/10/european-union/>> accessed 12 August 2014.
- Jonathan Kanter, 'What a Difference a Year Makes: and Emerging Consensus on the Treatment of Standard-Essential Patents' (*CPI Antitrust Chronicle*, 15 October 2013) <<https://www.competitionpolicyinternational.com/what-a-difference-a-year-makes-an-emerging-consensus-on-the-treatment-of-standard-essential-patents/>> accessed 19 August 2014.
- Information from WIPO website 'WIPO Arbitration for FRAND Disputes' <<http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/center/specific-sectors/ict/frand/>> accessed 23 August 2014.