

# **andererseits – Yearbook of Transatlantic German Studies**

---

## **Editors**

William Collins Donahue, University of Notre Dame  
Georg Mein, Université du Luxembourg  
Rolf Parr, Universität Duisburg-Essen

## **Editorial Board**

Moritz Baßler, Universität Münster  
Tobias Boes, University of Notre Dame  
Claudia Breger, Columbia University, New York  
Rüdiger Görner, Queen Mary University of London  
Jens M. Gurr, Universität Duisburg-Essen  
Vittorio Hösle, University of Notre Dame  
Martin Kagel, University of Georgia  
Thomas Küpper, Universität Duisburg-Essen  
Heidi Madden, Duke University, Durham  
Suzanne L. Marchand, Louisiana State University  
Ansgar Mohnkern, Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Tanja Nusser, University of Cincinnati  
Thomas Pfau, Duke University, Durham  
Mark W. Roche, University of Notre Dame  
Kai Sina, Universität Münster  
David E. Wellbery, University of Chicago

## Section editors

### Special Sections/Schwerpunkte & Academic Notes/Akademische Beiträge

William Collins Donahue, University of Notre Dame

Georg Mein, Université du Luxembourg

Rolf Parr, Universität Duisburg-Essen

### Reviews/Rezensionen

Stefan Hermes, Universität Duisburg-Essen

## Peer Review Policy

Submissions may be in English or German and should be sent by email (WORD documents preferred) to the editors. Submissions must be original contributions and should not be under consideration for any other journal or scholarly anthology at the same time.

Articles should include an abstract including the title of the paper, name(s) and affiliation(s) of the author(s), and keywords (3–5 keywords organized alphabetically); length of abstract: 150–200 words. Submitted articles may range from 4000 to 8000 words; well-founded exceptions are possible.

When a new submission is received, it is assigned to the section editor and one of the three executive editors, who read the paper, consult and decide whether it should be sent for peer review based on the editorial criteria of *andererseits* of novelty, soundness, and scholarly significance.

If the decision is not to send a submission for review, the section editor will contact the author(s) with that decision.

If the editors decide to accept a submission for peer review, they will contact two scholars with relevant expertise for each submission. Referees are not identified to the authors, except in cases when a referee particularly requests this.

Referees submit brief reports to the section editor, usually within eight weeks – often sooner. The editorial team discusses the reports and makes the final decision.

Finally, the peer reviewed section editor contacts the author(s) with one of the following decisions: A – Accepted without changes. B – Accepted pending minor revisions. C – Invitation to resubmit pending significant revisions. D – Not accepted for publication.

# [transcript]

## **PUBLISHING. KNOWLEDGE. TOGETHER.**

transcript publishing stands for a multilingual transdisciplinary programme in the social sciences and humanities. Showcasing the latest academic research in various fields and providing cutting-edge diagnoses on current affairs and future perspectives, we pride ourselves in the promotion of modern educational media beyond traditional print and e-publishing. We facilitate digital and open publication formats that can be tailored to the specific needs of our publication partners.

### **OUR SERVICES INCLUDE**

- partnership-based publishing models
- Open Access publishing
- innovative digital formats: HTML, Living Handbooks, and more
- sustainable digital publishing with XML
- digital educational media
- diverse social media linking of all our publications

Visit us online: [www.transcript-publishing.com](http://www.transcript-publishing.com)

Find our latest catalogue at [www.transcript-publishing.com/newbookspdf](http://www.transcript-publishing.com/newbookspdf)

