

Sabrina Klein

Out means Out?

Brexit as a Case of Treaty Succession



Nomos

Völkerrecht und Außenpolitik

Herausgegeben von
Prof. Dr. Oliver Dörr
Prof. Dr. Jörn Axel Kämmerer
Prof. Dr. Markus Krajewski

Band 97

Sabrina Klein

Out means Out?

Brexit as a Case of Treaty Succession



Nomos

The research for this book was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - DFG-Graduiertenkolleg „Dynamische Integrationsordnung. Europa und sein Recht zwischen Harmonisierung und Pluralisierung (DynamInt)“ (397044234).

The publication of this book was supported by the Deutsch-Britische Juristenvereinigung e.V., the Konrad-Redeker-Stiftung and the Open Access Publication Fund of Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.



Funded by

DFG

Deutsche
Forschungsgemeinschaft

German Research Foundation

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Juristische Fakultät, Diss., 2023

Original title: Out means Out? The Effect of Brexit on the International Agreements of the EU and its Member States

1st Edition 2025

© Sabrina Klein

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-1602-0 (Print)

ISBN 978-3-7489-4521-5 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748945215>



Onlineversion
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.de>

Für Friedrich, Eva und Ute

Vorwort

Die Idee zu dieser Arbeit entstand während meiner Tätigkeit im Referat für Völkervertragsrecht des Auswärtigen Amts. Dort kam ich nicht nur zum ersten Mal mit den völkerrechtlichen Fragen des Brexit in Berührung. Ich durfte auch mit großartigen Kolleginnen und Kollegen und begeisterten Völkerrechtlern zusammenarbeiten. Ihnen allen und insbesondere meinen damaligen Referatsleitern Jens Lorentz und Dr. Reinhard Haßenpflug möchte ich für den fachlichen Austausch danken, der die Grundlage für diese Arbeit gelegt hat.

Verwirklichen konnte ich meine Idee zu dieser Arbeit dank der hervorragenden Forschungs- und Promotionsbedingungen des DFG-Graduiertenkollegs *DynamInt* an der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. Diesem habe ich nicht nur tolle Kolleginnen und Kollegen zu verdanken, die aus der Promotion eine Gemeinschaftserfahrung gemacht haben. Dank *DynamInt* konnte ich auch Forschungsaufenthalte am *Amsterdam Center for International Law* und am *King's College London* verbringen, die nach der Covid-Zeit nicht nur fachlich eine große Bereicherung waren. Für die freundliche Aufnahme dort möchte ich mich insbesondere bei Prof. Catherine Brölmann und Prof. Holger Hestermeyer herzlich bedanken.

Zudem möchte ich mich bei denjenigen bedanken, die die Veröffentlichung meiner Arbeit möglich gemacht haben: Bei den Mitarbeitern von Nomos für ihre Geduld bei all meinen Anliegen, der Deutsch-Britischen Juristenvereinigung e.V. und der Konrad-Redeker-Stiftung für ihre großzügigen Druckkostenzuschüsse sowie dem Team des Open-Access-Publikationsfond der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, dank dem meine Forschung frei zugänglich ist.

Mein besonderer Dank gilt meinen beiden Betreuern: Prof. Georg Nolte, an dessen Lehrstuhl meine Begeisterung für das Völkerrecht entstanden ist und der mich zum Schreiben dieser Arbeit ermutigt hat, und Prof. Matthias Ruffert, der mit *DynamInt* ein besonderes Promotionsumfeld geschaffen hat und mir während meiner Zeit dort jederzeit mit Rat und Tat zur Seite stand.

Darüber hinaus möchte ich mich von ganzem Herzen bei meiner Familie und meinen Freunden bedanken. Meinen Eltern danke ich für den Ansporn, das Projekt Promotion zu wagen, für die Unterstützung während

Vorwort

der gesamten Zeit und für das gemeinsame Feiern des Abschlusses. Meiner Schwester danke ich für ihre Anrufe, Besuche und die notwendige Ablenkung. Kerstin Schuster hat mich durch meine gesamte Zeit an der Humboldt-Universität begleitet – ohne ihre Herzlichkeit wäre weder meine Zeit am Lehrstuhl von Prof. Georg Nolte noch bei *DynamInt* die Gleiche gewesen. Prof. Lena Riemer hat mich für immer mit dem Jessup-Fieber infiziert. Sie war während meiner Promotionszeit nicht nur mein großes Vorbild, sondern auch die beste Mittagessensbegleiterin, die ich mir hätte wünschen können.

Schließlich gilt mein größter Dank Roland Klein, der mir immer zur Seite steht. Ich könnte nicht stolzer sein, am Ende unserer Promotionszeit nicht nur einen Namen, sondern auch die Aufnahme in diese Reihe mit ihm zu teilen.

Berlin 2024

Sabrina Klein

Content Overview

Table of Contents	13
List of Abbreviations	19
Introduction	23
§ 1 Setting the Scene: Brexit and EU Treaty Practice	27
I. Background: The EU as a Global Actor	27
II. Brexit Practice: Out Means (Almost Always) Out	37
III. The Research Question: What's International Law Got to Do with It?	53
Part I: Brexit and the Law of Treaties	61
§ 2 EU Withdrawal: Exiting an International Organisation	67
I. (Un)Identified Legal Object? The EU as an International Organisation	68
II. Art. 50 TEU: A Regular Withdrawal Clause	74
III. Brexit as Treaty Withdrawal	80
§ 3 Automatic Treaty Termination: A Legal Consequence of EU Withdrawal?	83
I. Lex generalis: Legal Consequences of Exiting an International Organisation	84
II. Lex specialis: Arrangements between Member States	88
III. EU Withdrawal: Applying the Treaty Law Template	93
IV. Brexit and its (Limited) Automatic Consequences	105

§ 4	EU Withdrawal: Grounds for Treaty Termination?	107
	I. Termination by Design: EU Membership as a Resolutive Condition?	109
	II. Termination by Invocation: EU Withdrawal as a Fundamental Change of Circumstances	136
	III. Brexit and its (Practical) Effects	156
	Concluding Remarks: Brexit and Treaty Law – Match or Misfit?	159
	Part II: Brexit and the Law of Succession	163
§ 5	EU Withdrawal and Succession: The Case for an Analogy	165
	I. The Law of Succession: An Alternative Framework?	165
	II. Methodical Excursus: Analogical Reasoning in International Law	187
	III. EU Withdrawal and Separation: Treating Like Cases Alike	191
	IV. Applying Art. 34 VCSSRT to the Case of Brexit	204
§ 6	EU Withdrawal and Succession: Justifying the Analogy	207
	I. Methodical Excursus: Valid Analogical Reasoning and International Law	208
	II. On Dissimilarities: The Irrelevance of the EU’s Lack of Statehood	212
	III. On Similarities: The Relevance of the Concept of Treaty Stability	248
	IV. Countervailing Considerations: The Principle of Consent	263
	V. Brexit Practice: Between Treaty Stability and Consent?	269
§ 7	Brexit and Recent Practice. Brexit as Recent Practice?	271
	I. Post-1978 Practice: Putting Art. 34 VCSSRT to the Test	274
	II. Brexit and Succession Practice: Applying a New Template	292
	III. Brexit and the Law of Succession: Match or Misfit?	303

Concluding Remarks: Towards a Law of EU Succession	309
Summary and Outlook	319
References	331
Literature	331
Cases	345
International Agreements and Legislation	347
Documents and Miscellaneous Sources	351

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	19
Introduction	23
§ 1 Setting the Scene: Brexit and EU Treaty Practice	27
I. Background: The EU as a Global Actor	27
A. EU Treaty Practice: A Formal Categorisation	29
B. The Global Scale of EU Treaty Practice	32
II. Brexit Practice: Out Means (Almost Always) Out	37
A. The UK Position: From Continuity to ‘Global Britain’	38
B. The EU Position: Of Guidelines and Directives	40
C. The UK-EU Withdrawal Agreement: Transitioning International Agreements	43
D. Rolling Over: The UK’s (Re)Conclusion of International Agreements	48
III. The Research Question: What’s International Law Got to Do with It?	53
Part I: Brexit and the Law of Treaties	61
§ 2 EU Withdrawal: Exiting an International Organisation	67
I. (Un)Identified Legal Object? The EU as an International Organisation	68
II. Art. 50 TEU: A Regular Withdrawal Clause	74
A. The International Law Template and Art. 50 TEU	75
B. Unionalisation of the Withdrawal Procedure?	78
III. Brexit as Treaty Withdrawal	80

§ 3 Automatic Treaty Termination: A Legal Consequence of EU Withdrawal?	83
I. Lex generalis: Legal Consequences of Exiting an International Organisation	84
II. Lex specialis: Arrangements between Member States	88
A. The Practice: Conventions Concluded between Member States	88
B. The Limits: Agreements Concluded with Non-Member States	91
III. EU Withdrawal: Applying the Treaty Law Template	93
A. Art. 50 TEU: <i>Lex specialis</i> Arrangements for International Agreements?	94
B. <i>Inter se</i> Agreements: The UK-EU Withdrawal Agreement as <i>lex specialis</i> ?	96
C. The EU's External International Agreements	98
1. The EU's International Agreements and Art. 216(2) TFEU	99
2. <i>Lex mixity</i> ? The Status of EU Member States in Mixed Agreements	100
IV. Brexit and its (Limited) Automatic Consequences	105
§ 4 EU Withdrawal: Grounds for Treaty Termination?	107
I. Termination by Design: EU Membership as a Resolatory Condition?	109
A. Explicit Termination and Withdrawal Clause	110
1. The EU's <i>inter se</i> Agreements	112
2. The EU's Mixed Agreements	114
B. Implicit Termination Clauses	118
1. Definition of Parties: EU Member State Status in International Agreements	119
2. Territorial Scope of Application	124
3. Frustration of the Object and Purpose	125
4. Legal Consequences of Changes to An International Agreement	131

II. Termination by Invocation: EU Withdrawal as a Fundamental Change of Circumstances	136
A. Automatic Termination: The Inappropriateness of Art. 62 VCLT	137
B. Invoking Art. 62 VCLT: Terminating International Agreements Post-Withdrawal	140
1. Possible Obstacles in the Case of EU Withdrawal	140
a. The Applicability of Art. 62 VCLT	141
b. The Consequences of Invoking Art. 62 VCLT	144
c. <i>Lex mixity</i> again? Art. 62 VCLT and Bilateral Mixed Agreements	148
2. The Substantive Conditions of Art. 62 VCLT: EU Withdrawal as a Fundamental Change of Circumstances?	152
III. Brexit and its (Practical) Effects	156
Concluding Remarks: Brexit and Treaty Law – Match or Misfit?	159
Part II: Brexit and the Law of Succession	163
§ 5 EU Withdrawal and Succession: The Case for an Analogy	165
I. The Law of Succession: An Alternative Framework?	165
A. Brexit as Separation?	167
B. The EU, its Member States and Statehood	170
C. Exiting the European Union: A Legal Lacuna	177
1. Beyond the VCSSRT: A Broader Customary Law of Succession?	178
2. <i>Lex EU</i> : A Specific Customary Law of EU Succession?	180
3. Filling a Legal Lacuna: Recourse to Legal Reasoning	185
II. Methodical Excursus: Analogical Reasoning in International Law	187
III. EU Withdrawal and Separation: Treating Like Cases Alike	191
A. The Source: Unions of States	192
B. The Target: The European Union	198

C. The Inferred Characteristic: Continuity of International Agreements	201
IV. Applying Art. 34 VCSSRT to the Case of Brexit	204
§ 6 EU Withdrawal and Succession: Justifying the Analogy	207
I. Methodical Excursus: Valid Analogical Reasoning and International Law	208
II. On Dissimilarities: The Irrelevance of the EU's Lack of Statehood	212
A. Changing Sovereignty? Brexit and the Definition of Succession	212
1. The Irrelevance of Sovereignty to a Succession of States	213
2. The Relevance of Competence and Responsibility to Succession	217
3. Replacing in Relevant Aspects	220
B. Locating Sovereignty: Unions of States and the EU	225
1. The Relevance of Unions of States to Art. 34 VCSSRT	226
2. The Irrelevance of Statehood to Unions of States	230
a. Classical Dichotomy versus Federal Middle Ground	231
b. A Reasonable Systematisation of Practice?	236
C. Due to Sovereignty? Differences in the Practice of Unions of States and the EU	240
1. The (Ir)Relevance of the EU's Accession Practice to Codification	241
2. The Practice of the EU and Unions of States: Relevantly Similar	243
III. On Similarities: The Relevance of the Concept of Treaty Stability	248
A. The Relevance of the Law of Treaties to the Law on Succession	249
B. The Relevance of Treaty Stability to the Drafting of Art. 34 VCSSRT	252
C. The Relevance of Treaty Stability in the Case of EU Withdrawal	260

IV. Countervailing Considerations: The Principle of Consent	263
A. The Irrelevance of the Principle of Consent in Drafting Art. 34 VCSSRT	263
B. The Relevance of the Identity of the Treaty Partner in Bilateral Agreements	266
V. Brexit Practice: Between Treaty Stability and Consent?	269
§ 7 Brexit and Recent Practice. Brexit as Recent Practice?	271
I. Post-1978 Practice: Putting Art. 34 VCSSRT to the Test	274
A. The Practice: Considering Instances of Separation	275
B. The Evaluation: Considering the Reception of the Practice	282
1. Distinguishing between Separations and Dissolutions	283
2. Distinguishing between Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements	285
3. Devolution Agreements, Unilateral Declarations and Good Faith Negotiations	288
II. Brexit and Succession Practice: Applying a New Template	292
A. Procedural Similarities? From Unilateral Declarations to Rollovers	292
B. Brexit and International Agreements: Between Continuity and a Clean Slate?	298
III. Brexit and the Law of Succession: Match or Misfit?	303
Concluding Remarks: Towards a Law of EU Succession	309
Summary and Outlook	319
References	331
Literature	331
Cases	345
International Agreements and Legislation	347
Documents and Miscellaneous Sources	351

List of Abbreviations

AA	Association Agreement
AG	Advocate General
CETA	Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement
CoE	Council of Europe
DARIO	Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations
DoC	Declaration of Competences
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EDF	European Development Fund
EDF Agreement	Internal Agreement between the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States of the European Union, meeting within the Council, on the Financing of European Union Aid
EEC Treaty	Treaty Establishing the European Economic Community
EPA	Economic Partnership Agreement
ES Convention	Convention Defining the Statute of the European Schools
EU	European Union
EUI	European University Institute
EUI	Convention Convention Setting up a European University Institute
EU-UK TCA	Trade and Cooperation Agreement between the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community, of the one part, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, of the other part

List of Abbreviations

FTA	Free Trade Agreement
GPA	Agreement on Government Procurement
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ILC	International Law Commission
ILO	International Labour Organization
ILO Constitution	Constitution of the International Labour Organization
OEEC	Organisation for European Economic Cooperation
PCA	Partnership and Cooperation Agreement
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
REIO	Regional Economic Integration Organisation
RIO	Regional Integration Organisation
SFRY	Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia
SR	Special Rapporteur
TA	Trade Agreement
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
UAR	United Arab Republic
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
UK-EU WA	Agreement on the Withdrawal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UNCSSRT	United Nations Conference on the Succession of States in Respect of Treaties
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
USA	United States of America
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

VCLT-IO	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or between International Organizations
VCSSRT	Vienna Convention on Succession of States in Respect of Treaties
WTO	World Trade Organization
WTO	Agreement Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization

