



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT



THE GEORGE
WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY
LAW SCHOOL
WASHINGTON DC

MIPLC Studies

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Christoph Ann, LL.M. (Duke Univ.)

Technische Universität München

Prof. Robert Brauneis

The George Washington University Law School

Prof. Dr. Josef Drexler, LL.M. (Berkeley)

Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property,
Competition and Tax Law

Prof. Dr. Thoas M.J. Möllers

University of Augsburg

Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus,

Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property,
Competition and Tax Law

Volume 5

Mario Cisneros

Patentability Requirements for Nanotechnological Inventions

An Approach from the European Patent Convention
Perspective



Nomos

MIPLC

Munich
**Intellectual
Property**
Law Center

Augsburg
München
Washington DC

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://www.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://www.d-nb.de>.

ISBN 978-3-8329-4588-6

1. Auflage 2009

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 2008. Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten. Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

To Victoria

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank to everyone who contributed in one or another way to my dissertation. First, I would like to show my gratitude to the members of the Managing Board of the Munich Intellectual Property Law Center, especially Prof. Joseph Straus. Next, I thank my supervisor Prof. Scott Kieff for his patience, support and guidance. I acknowledge the directors of the Program, especially Seth Ericsson. I wish to thanks to my classmates at the MIPLC LLM Program, for inspiring me with their ideas, discussions and debates. I would also like to thank my parents and two sisters. Most importantly, this dissertation would not have been possible unless the support and love of my wife, Victoria.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	11
II. Patentable Subject Matter	13
1. Nanoscience v. nanotechnology	13
2. Inventions and discoveries	15
3. Non patentable knowledge	18
4. Ethical concerns on nanotechnology and the impact on patentability issues	21
5. Concerns on the patent thicket	24
III. Novelty	31
1. Patenting naturally existing structures	31
2. Statistical presence and sub-ranges	37
3. Higher degree of purity	41
4. The inherent properties	43
IV. Inventive Step	45
V. Industrial Applicability	49
VI. Conclusions	53
List of Works Cited	55

