

Theodor Shulman

The Challenge of Stability

Niklas Luhmann's Early Political Sociology and
Constitutional Adjudication in the United States
and Germany



Nomos

Beiträge zum
ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht

Edited by

the Max Planck Society
for the Advancement of Science
represented by Prof. Dr. Armin von Bogdandy
and Prof. Dr. Anne Peters

Volume 322

Theodor Shulman

The Challenge of Stability

Niklas Luhmann's Early Political Sociology and
Constitutional Adjudication in the United States
and Germany



Nomos

Open Access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Frankfurt, Univ., Diss., 2023

ISBN 978-3-7560-0623-6 (Print)
978-3-7489-4158-3 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-7560-0623-6 (Print)
978-3-7489-4158-3 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Shulman, Theodor

The Challenge of Stability

Niklas Luhmann's Early Political Sociology and Constitutional Adjudication in the United States and Germany

Theodor Shulman

230 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-7560-0623-6 (Print)
978-3-7489-4158-3 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2023

© Theodor Shulman

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden
www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG
Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-0623-6 (Print)
ISBN 978-3-7489-4158-3 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748941583>



Online Version
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*I am indebted to the Max Planck Institute for Comparative
Public Law and International Law for its very generous financial
and intellectual support.*

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	13
I. The Radicality and Currency of <i>Legitimation durch Verfahren</i>	14
II. Luhmann's Early Political Sociology and Constitutional Adjudication	18
A. Applying Luhmann's Sociology to a Normative Problem: Chapter 3	18
B. Using Systems Theory to Remedy an Analytical Problem: Chapter 4	19
III. How to Characterize this Book	20
A. Methodology	20
B. The Research Landscape	22
C. Structure	22
Chapter 2: Niklas Luhmann's Theory of Procedural Legitimation	25
I. Complexity and Meaning, Or Luhmann's Theory of Social Systems	29
A. Man's Experience of the World	29
B. Intersubjectivity	31
C. Social Systems	33
D. Systemic Differentiation	36
II. The Impossibility of Justification in a Differentiated Society	38
A. Habermas's Discourse-Theoretical Conception of Legitimate Law	39
B. Luhmann's Counterargument from Functional Differentiation	40
1. The Impossibility of Consensus in a Differentiated Society	40
2. The Necessity of Decisionism in a Differentiated Society	41
a) Luhmann's Political Sociology	42
b) Luhmann's Sociology of Law	43

Table of Contents

III. Niklas Luhmann's Theory of Why People Comply with the Law	44
A. Judicial Proceedings and the Entanglement of Self	45
1. Role Reciprocity and the Presentation of Self	46
2. Courtroom Publicity	49
3. Conditional Programming	51
4. Contact Systems	53
B. Political Elections and the Legislative Process	54
1. Elections	54
2. The Legislative Process	55
IV. Critiquing Legitimation durch Verfahren	57
A. Justifiability and the Concept of Political Legitimacy	58
1. Jürgen Habermas's Debate with Niklas Luhmann	58
2. Stefan Lange and Chris Thornhill's Nuanced Appraisal	59
3. Putting Luhmann's Skepticism of Justifiability in Perspective	61
B. The Sources of Political Stability	64
V. Conclusion	66
Chapter 3: Judicial Review, Normative Legitimacy, and Legal Autonomy	69
I. The Countermajoritarian Difficulty and the Two Criteria of Political Legitimacy	75
A. The Countermajoritarian Difficulty	75
B. The Two Criteria of Political Legitimacy	78
1. The Political-Equality Criterion	79
2. The Minimal-Justice Criterion	80
II. Judicial Review of Legislation and the Political-Equality Criterion	83
A. The 'Chain of Legitimation'	83
B. Constitutional Provisions for Judicial Review	84
C. Public Support for Judicial Review	87

D. Does the Court Implement Our Self-Government by Articulating Our Rights?	88
1. Enforcing Constitutional Law	89
a) How the Legislature and the Court Implement Our Constitutional Rights	91
i. The Legislature	93
ii. The Court	94
b) The Bounds of Reasonable Legal Judgment	96
i. The United States	96
ii. Germany	97
c) How Far Does the Right to Bind Future Majorities Go?	98
i. The Argument from Democratic Choice	99
ii. The Argument from Constitutional Precommitment	99
d) Who Gets to Predict Legislative Behavior?	101
2. Public Reason	103
3. The Need for Unanimity	105
4. Re-Politicizing Our Constitutional Values	107
a) Forming the General Will	107
b) Holding Out the Promise of Change	108
c) Why the Constitutional Court?	109
III. Judicial Review of Legislation and the Minimal-Justice Criterion	110
A. Protecting Our Basic Human Rights	111
1. Distinguishing Between Constitutional and Human Rights	111
a) Underenforcing Our Basic Human Rights	112
b) Overenforcing Our Basic Human Rights	113
c) Zero-Sum Rights Controversies	114
2. Judicial Review as Insurance Against Future Violations	114
B. Emancipating Marginalized Communities	116
1. Preliminary Observations	117
a) Partial vs. Complete Illegitimacy	117
b) Defining Marginalized Communities	117
c) Determining the Essential Rights	118
2. Devising a Test for a Court's Emancipatory Impetus	120
3. Does Judicial Review Pass the Futility Test?	121
a) How Expansive Can We Expect the Courts' Rulings to Be?	121
b) Focusing on the Concrete Change in the Law	122
4. Conclusion	125

IV. Judicial Review and the Protection of Our Legal Autonomy	125
A. The Notion of Legal Autonomy	127
B. The Notion of Legal Autonomy and Niklas Luhmann's Political Sociology	129
C. Generating a Presumption of Universal Acquiescence	131
1. Judicial Proceedings and the Absorption of Protest	131
2. Legislative Proceedings and the Generation of Systemic Trust	132
a) Sensitizing People to the Possibility of Change	133
b) An Alternative to Positivity Theory?	133
3. Maximizing Outcome Equality	136
a) The Judicial-Appointment Process	137
b) Disavowing Partisanship	137
c) Safeguarding the Openness of Constitutional Reasoning	138
i. Examples	139
ii. Increasing Interpretive Flexibility	140
D. Is Luhmann's Theory of Systemic Trust Sufficiently Plausible?	142
1. Compliance and Institutional Legitimacy	142
2. The Causes of Institutional Legitimacy	143
V. Conclusion	144
Chapter 4: Judicial Appointments and the Specter of Politicization	147
I. The Judicial-Appointment Process in Germany	150
A. The Nomination Phase	150
1. The Interparty Agreement	151
2. Party-Political Affiliations	154
B. The Confirmation Process	156
1. To Hear or Not to Hear	156
2. A Silent Parliament	158
II. The Concept of Politicization by Judicial Appointment	158
A. The Concept of (Judicial) Politicization	159
1. Politicization Within One Entity vs. Between Entities	159
2. The Two Angles to Politicization Between Two or More Entities	161

B. Transforming Constitutional Adjudication into ‘Politics by Other Means’	163
1. What It Means for Constitutional Adjudication to Be or Appear Political	163
2. How the Confirmation Process Helps Politicize Constitutional Adjudication	165
3. The Effects of Politicization on Constitutional Adjudication	166
III. Observations on the Concept of Politicization by Judicial Appointment	167
A. Partisan vs. Unanimous Confirmation Votes	167
B. The Purpose of the Parliamentary Confirmation Process	170
1. The United States	172
2. Germany	173
C. Politicization by Judicial Appointment and Institutional Legitimacy	174
1. Perceived Politicization and Institutional Legitimacy	174
2. Contentious Appointments and Institutional Legitimacy	175
3. Conclusion	178
D. The Meaning of Partisanship	179
IV. Discussing Politicization from a Systems-Theoretical Perspective	182
A. The Concepts of Social Systems and Systemic Differentiation	182
B. Systems Theory and Politicization by Judicial Appointment	184
C. Politicization by Judicial Appointment and the Confirmation Process in America	187
1. From Unanimous to Partisan Confirmation Votes	188
2. The Confirmation Hearings	190
D. Politicization’s Effect on Constitutional Adjudication and the Political System	191
1. Partisan Capture and the Political System’s Internal Differentiation	192
2. Functional Differentiation and Judicial Authoritativeness	194
E. The Likely Objection to My Conceptual Lens	196
1. Autopoietic Closure	197
2. Autopoietic Closure and Politicization Research	198

Table of Contents

VI. Conclusion	201
Conclusion	203
Bibliography	205